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It gives me pleasure to introduce the 4th edition of the EGS Guidelines. The Third edition proved to be extremely successful, being translated into 7 languages with over 70000 copies being distributed across Europe; it has been downloadable, free, as a pdf file for the past 4 years. As one of the main objectives of the European Glaucoma Society has been to both educate and standardize glaucoma practice within the EU, these guidelines were structured so as to play their part.

Glaucoma is a living specialty, with new ideas on causation, mechanisms and treatments constantly appearing. As a number of years have passed since the publication of the last edition, changes in some if not all of these ideas would be expected.

For this new edition of the guidelines a number of editorial teams were created, each with responsibility for an area within the specialty; updating where necessary, introducing new diagrams and Flowcharts and ensuring that references were up to date. Each team had writers previously involved with the last edition as well as newer and younger members being co-opted.

As soon as specific sections were completed they had further editorial comment to ensure cross referencing and style continuity with other sections.
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Introduction
Chapter

The aim of these Guidelines is to present the view of the European Glaucoma Society (EGS) on the diagnosis and management of glaucoma. Our Guidelines are intended to support ophthalmologists in managing patients affected by, or suspected of having, glaucoma. The Guidelines should be considered as recommendations rather than as strict treatment protocols.

In the last edition, a simplified grading system for rating the strength of recommendation and the quality of evidence was introduced and has been retained in the present edition. The strength of recommendation is graded as either I (strong) or II (weak). A strong recommendation (I) is to be interpreted as “we recommend” and/or “very relevant in clinical practice” and a weak recommendation (II) as “we suggest” and/or “less relevant in clinical practice”.

The quality of evidence is classified as high (A), moderate (B), low (C) or very low (D). As an example, high quality evidence would be supported by high quality randomised clinical trials (RCTs). Observational studies would be typically graded as low-quality evidence. Consensus from our Panel would be graded as (D).

Clinical care must be individualised to the patient, the treating ophthalmologist and the socioeconomic milieu. The availability of Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) makes it possible to apply scientific evidence to clinical recommendations. Irrespective of the relative wealth of each European region, economical factors must be considered by physicians, in order to provide sustainable healthcare.

The EGS and all contributors disclaim responsibility and all liability for any adverse medical or legal effects resulting directly or indirectly from the use of any of the definitions, diagnostic techniques or treatments described in the Guidelines. The EGS does not endorse any product, procedure, company or organisation.

I.1 Terminology, Classification and Definitions

Classification and disease definitions are arbitrary, and a consensus can be reached only if they are acceptable to most ophthalmologists on both theoretical and practical grounds. There are conditions where a precise classification is particularly challenging, such as in congenital forms associated with other anomalies. The following factors are to be considered in order to identify and separate the different glaucoma categories.

1. Anatomy / Structure (See Ch. 1)
   Open-angle, closed-angle, optic nerve head, etc.
   e.g. clinical signs, exfoliation, pigment dispersion

2. Function (See Ch. 1)
   e.g. visual field

3. Intraocular pressure (IOP) level (See Ch. 1)
   3.1. At which diagnosis is made (See Ch. 2)
   3.2. At which damage occurred (See Ch. 1)
   3.3. Target IOP (See Ch. 3.2)²
   3.4. General conditions: life expectancy, comorbidities
MISSION STATEMENT
The goal of glaucoma treatment is to maintain the patient’s visual function and related quality of life, at a sustainable cost. The cost of treatment in terms of inconvenience and side effects as well as financial implications for the individual and society requires careful evaluation (See Ch. 3). Quality of life is closely linked with visual function and, overall, patients with early to moderate glaucoma damage have good visual function and modest reduction in quality of life, while quality of life is considerably reduced if both eyes have advanced visual function loss.

I.2 Treatment Principles

A. Treatment Goals (See Ch. 3.1)
   A.1. Quality of life
   A.2. Quality of vision
   A.3. Cost containment

B. Suggested ways of reaching the goal (see Ch. 3 and 4)
   B.1. Selection of patients to be treated
      B.1.1. Identification of patients with disease
      B.1.2. Identification of patients at risk of developing the disease [I,D]
         B.1.2.1. Identification of the clinical entity, possibly using a systematic classification (See Ch. 2)
         B.1.2.2. Consideration of mixed mechanisms
      B.1.3. Treatment of the above when actual or expected rate of decay risks interfering with quality of life [I,C]
   B.2. Decreasing the risk of ganglion cell loss since it reduces visual function
      - Determine the target IOP for the individual [I,D]. In general, when there is more advanced damage, lower IOPs are needed to prevent further progression [I,D]
      - IOP lowering [I,A]
      - Drugs
      - Laser
      - Surgery
      - Verify the target IOP (See Ch.3.2)
      - Monitor the Rate of Progression (Field and Disc) [I,D]
      - Adjust management according to ROP
      - Blood flow (see Ch. 1 and Ch. 3) or neuroprotection (See Ch. 3.); both under debate [II,D]
      - Consider always compliance, persistence and assiduity of follow-up
   B.3. Incorporation of a quality of life measure in the outcome of treatment

C. Audit outcomes e.g. efficacy, safety, cost [I,D] (See Ch. Introduction III)
   C.1. Failures include patients suffering from the consequences of insufficient IOP lowering,
Since resources are limited worldwide, the following points are relevant to glaucoma treatment guidelines:

- prevention of visual disability in those at risk of decreased quality of life;
- avoid widespread treatment of elevated IOP per se;
- enforce effective treatment/follow-up in patients with severe functional loss and/or rapid progression;
- implement strategies to detect all patients with manifest disease.

These points are supported by the results of Randomized Clinical Trials for glaucoma (See Chapter Introduction II).

**FC I – Suggested Questions for Your Glaucoma Patient**

**AT BASELINE**

**HISTORY/RISK FACTORS**

SPECIFICALLY ENQUIRE ABOUT
- ALL MEDICATIONS
- FAMILY HISTORY (GENERAL/OPHTHALMOLOGICAL)
- CORTICOSTEROID THERAPY (TOPICAL/SYSTEMIC)
- OCULAR TRAUMA (CONTUSION)
- REFRACTIVE SURGERY
- CARDIOVASCULAR OR RESPIRATORY DISEASES/OTHER CHRONIC OR SEVERE DISEASES
- VASCULAR DISORDERS
- DRUGS ALLERGY

**DIRECT QUESTIONS AT FOLLOW-UP**

- HOW ARE YOU?
- HOW DO YOU THINK YOUR EYES ARE DOING?
- DO YOU THINK YOUR CONDITION IS BETTER, STABLE OR WORSE?
- DO YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH YOUR DAILY TASKS?
- DO YOU UNDERSTAND YOUR DIAGNOSIS?
- ARE THE GLAUCOMA MEDICATIONS INTERFERING WITH YOUR DAILY ACTIVITIES?
- ARE YOU WORRIED ABOUT YOUR EYES?
- HAVE YOU BEEN USING YOUR EYE DROPS AS PRESCRIBED?
- DO YOU ADMINISTER THE DROPS BY YOURSELF OR BY A RELATIVE?
- IF YOU BY YOURSELF, PLEASE SHOW ME HOW YOU DO IT

PLEASE SHAKE HANDS WITH PATIENTS. BESIDES BEING KIND AND ENCOURAGING, YOU WILL FEEL THE TEMPERATURE OF THEIR PERIPHERAL SKIN.
Evaluation of Functional Loss / Time for Individualized Treatment

**Figure 1.** Evaluation of functional loss/time for individualized treatment

- **IOP** = the IOP level causing damage
- **L** = the difference of visual function between the age-matched normal and the function at the time of diagnosis
- **RoP** = angle between physiological loss and disease progression, representing progression rate
- **T** = time interval between birth and the time of diagnosis

**FACTORS** = some of the individual features influencing clinical management (in alphabetical order):
1. Corneal thickness
2. Family history
3. Gonioscopy
4. IOP, mean and fluctuation
5. Life expectancy
6. Pigment dispersion/exfoliation
7. Stage of ON damage
8. Stage of VF damage
9. Systemic diseases

**DEFINITIONS**
- **L** = Total functional loss
- **RoP** = Rate of Progression

The EGS guidelines are to be adapted to individual patients, socioeconomic environment, medical facilities, skills of the average ophthalmologist and health professional, and to available resources.
II - RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS FOR GLAUCOMA

In the following pages we briefly summarize results from the large randomized glaucoma trials (RCTs, and derive comments relevant to clinical decision-making).

II.1 Treatment Vs No Treatment Trials

II.1.1 Collaborative Normal Tension Glaucoma Study (CNTGS)

CNTGS compared treatment versus no treatment in normal tension glaucoma. Eligible patients had verified progression or threat to fixation. The primary outcome measure was disease progression as evident from visual fields or stereo disk photographs. 140 patients were randomized. The treatment goal was a 30% reduction from baseline IOP, obtained with medications. In patients undergoing surgery a 20% reduction was accepted.

Summary of results: A 30% reduction from baseline was maintained in nearly 50% of patients. Progression occurred in 12% (7/61) of treated eyes and 35% (28/79) of controls.

- A beneficial effect of IOP lowering was found only after the data were censored for the effect on VF of cataract formation
- In the intent-to-treat analysis no benefit of treatment was found
- Cataracts were more common in patients treated with surgery
- No correlation with IOP levels maintained during follow up was found in either group
- Progression rates varied a lot. The mean progression rate in the untreated arm was 0.41 dB/year. Prior documented progression did not increase the risk of future progression compared to subjects without such history

II.1.2 Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial (EMGT)

EMGT was a randomized, prospective trial comparing treatment versus no treatment to evaluate the effectiveness of IOP reduction in early, previously untreated open-angle glaucoma. Secondary aims were to assess factors related to glaucoma progression, and to determine the natural history of the disease. During a population-based screening among 44,243 residents in Sweden, 316 eyes of 255 patients were recruited. Treated patients received a standardized treatment protocol of laser trabeculoplasty and topical betaxolol. Treatment or no-treatment remained unchanged as long as definite progression had not occurred. Primary outcome measure was progression of disease, defined by sustained increases of visual field loss or optic disc changes.

Summary of results: This study proves and quantifies the value of IOP reduction in patients with POAG, NTG and pseudoexfoliation glaucoma.
• A 25% decrease of IOP from baseline (mean untreated IOP 20.6 mmHg) reduced the risk of progression by 50%. Risk of progression decreased 10% with each mmHg IOP reduction from baseline to the first follow-up visit.
• Risk of progression was smaller with lower baseline IOP values and with a larger initial IOP drop induced by treatment.
• IOP reduction for the fixed treatment protocol, and for ALT depended very much on pre-treatment IOP.
• Important risk factors for progression were: higher IOP, exfoliation syndrome, more baseline damage, higher age, disc haemorrhages, thinner CCT (in HTG), and low blood pressure (in NTG).
• IOP fluctuation was not a risk factor for progression.
• IOP did not increase but remained constant over time in untreated eyes with POAG, but increased over time in eyes with exfoliation glaucoma.
• Increase in lens opacity occurred more in the treatment arm than in the control arm.
• Disease progression rates varied substantially between individual patients.
• Untreated progression rates (natural history) were slower in NTG than in HTG, while eyes with exfoliation glaucoma progressed much faster.
• Progression criteria were more sensitive than those of AGIS and CIGTS, and definite progression was associated with a mean worsening of MD of less than 2dB.
• In the great majority of cases progression was found first by perimetry.
• QoL did not differ between treatment arms.
• The frequency of disc haemorrhages was higher with lower IOP and was not influenced by treatment.

II.1.3 The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS)

The OHTS was a multicentre, randomized, prospective clinical trial, designed to study the effect of topical ocular hypotensive medication in delaying or preventing the onset of glaucoma in patients with ocular hypertension (OH). 1,636 patients were recruited. Randomization was between treatment with IOP lowering medications and no treatment. The treatment goal was to lower the IOP to < 24 mmHg and at least 20% from baseline. The primary outcome was the development of primary open-angle glaucoma defined as reproducible visual field defects or reproducible optic disc deterioration. After the initial results were reported, also the control group received treatment.

Summary of results: Mean IOP reduction was 22.5% in the treated group, but also the control group showed decrease of IOP, 4.0%.
• After 5 years 4.4% of patients in the treated group had developed signs of glaucoma damage versus 9% in controls (p < 0.0001), a 50% reduction of risk.
• Thus > 90% of untreated patients had not converted to glaucoma after 5 years.
• After 13 years 22% of patients who had initially been randomized to the control group had converted to glaucoma versus 16% in the group that was treated already at the start of the study.
• POAG conversion was detected first in disc photographs in around 50% of patients and by field testing in approximately 40%. 
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- Risk factors for progression were: thinner CCT, higher IOP, disc haemorrhages, older age, larger vertical and horizontal cup-to-disc ratios, greater PSD
- Disc haemorrhages detectable in photographs had been missed at 87% of clinical examinations and rate of progression was higher in eyes with haemorrhages\(^{23}\)
- Cataract formation was more common in the medication group\(^{24}\)
- Results obtained from initially untreated patients who were later started on prostaglandins indicate that monocular trials (at least of prostaglandin drops) may have very limited value\(^{25}\)
- Retinal vein occlusions were uncommon but somewhat more common in the control group (2.1%) than in the treated group (1.4%), not statistically significant\(^{26}\).

II.1.4 European Glaucoma Prevention Study (EGPS)

The EGPS was a multicentre, randomized, double-masked, placebo-controlled clinical trial. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of IOP reduction by dorzolamide in preventing glaucoma damage in patients with OH. The patients were randomized into 2 groups: active therapy (dorzolamide) and placebo. Main outcome measures were visual field and/or optic disc changes\(^{27}\).

**Summary of results\(^{28}\):** 1,081 patients were enrolled. The median duration of follow-up was 55 months. The IOP difference between the treatment and the control group was small. The mean IOP reduction was 15% after 6 months and 22% after 5 years in the dorzolamide group, but there was also a 9% reduction after 6 months and 19% after 5 years in the placebo group, to a large part attributable to high attrition.

The study failed to detect a statistically significant difference between the chosen medical therapy and placebo, either in IOP lowering effect, or in the rate of progression to POAG, and attrition was large\(^{28}\).

The same predictors for the development of POAG were identified independently in both the OHTS observation group and the EGPS placebo group: baseline older age, higher intraocular pressure, thinner CCT, larger vertical cup-to-disc ratio, and higher Humphrey VF pattern standard deviation\(^{29}\).

In a later paper diuretics were pointed as a possible risk factor\(^{30}\).
II.2 Studies Comparing Treatments

II.2.1 Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study (CIGTS)

The aim was to find out if glaucoma is better treated by initial treatment with medications or by immediate filtration surgery \(^{31}\). 607 patients with newly diagnosed open-angle glaucoma randomized to initial treatment with either medication or trabeculectomy (with or without 5-fluorouracil). A target IOP algorithm was used specific for each individual eye. Primary outcome variables were VF progression and Quality of Life (QoL). Secondary outcome variables were Visual Acuity (VA), IOP, and cataract formation. No event analysis has been provided identifying numbers of progressing eyes.

Summary of results\(^{32-34}\): IOP reduction was larger with surgery (48%; mean post treatment IOP 14-15 mmHg;) than with medications (35%; mean post treatment IOP 17-18 mmHg)\(^{35}\).

- For many years mean perimetric progression (analysed as mean MD among all subjects) was small in both groups\(^{32}\), but after 8 years 21% of surgical patients and 25% of medical patient had progressed, defined as a worsening of MD by 3 dBs\(^{35}\).
- After adjustment for baseline risk factors, larger IOP variation measures were associated with significantly worse MD values after 3 to 9 years in the medicine but not in the surgical group\(^{36}\).
- QoL was initially better in the medically treated group\(^{37}\).
- 1.1% of surgical patients had developed endophthalmitis after 5 years\(^{38}\).
- Patients randomized to the surgery arm underwent cataract surgery more than twice as often as patients in the medical treatment group\(^{33}\).
- Reversal of optic disc cupping was seen in 13% in the surgical group, but was not associated with improved visual function\(^{39}\).
- Risk factors for progression have not been reported in a ways similar to that of the other large RCTs, but risk factors for higher IOP have been, and included higher baseline IOP, worse field status and lower level of education\(^{34}\).

Inclusion criteria may have allowed recruitment of patients with ocular hypertension resulting in a case mix with a smaller risk of showing progression.

II.2.2 Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS)

AGIS was a multicentre, prospective randomized study in patients with advanced open-angle glaucoma patients who could not be controlled by maximum tolerated medical therapy alone. 591 patients (789 eyes) were randomised between two rather complicated treatments regimes:

1. ATT: argon laser trabeculoplasty then if needed followed by trabeculectomy and then by a 2\(^{nd}\) trabeculectomy, or
2. TAT: trabeculectomy then argon laser trabeculoplasty if needed, and then trabeculectomy.
Enrolled eyes had consistent elevation of intraocular pressure (IOP) of ≥ 18 mmHg. Patients with MD worse than 16 dB were excluded thus excluding eyes with really advanced glaucoma as in several of the other RCTs.\(^{40}\)

**Summary of results:**

- In a post-hoc analysis of patients with 6-years of follow-up or more a eyes with average IOP > 17.5 mmHg over the first three 6-months visits showed a significantly more/more frequent visual field deterioration compared to eyes with IOP less than 14 mmHg during the same time. There was no average visual field progression, as measured by MD, in eyes with IOP < 18 mmHg at 100% of the visits, whereas eyes with less perfect IOP control showed a mean significant visual field worsening.\(^ {41}\)
- After 7 years mean reduction of IOP was greater for eyes assigned to the TAT protocol, and the cumulative probability of failure of the first intervention was greater for eyes assigned to ATT.
- The percentage of eyes with decreased visual acuity or visual field progression was lower for the ATT sequence than for TAT in Afro-American patients, but in Caucasians results were more favourable in the ATT during the first 4 years, but then switched in favour of TAT.\(^ {42,43}\)
- The probability of cataract formation after 5 years was high after trabeculectomy, 78%\(^ {35}\). Initial trabeculectomy retarded the progression of glaucoma more effectively in Caucasians than in Afro-Americans.\(^ {44}\)
- Risk factors associated with progression were older age, longer follow-up, and, not surprisingly, increasing number of glaucoma interventions.\(^ {45}\)
- A flawed analysis erroneously indicated that IOP fluctuations were a risk factor for progression, while a later corrected indicated that such fluctuations were a risk in NTG only.\(^ {46}\)
- Both ALT and trabeculectomy failed more often in younger patients and in eyes with higher pre-treatment IOP AGIS investigators.\(^ {47}\)

### II.3 Summary

These large RCTs have had enormous importance for glaucoma management. EMGT and OHTS are the first studies that without doubt showed that IOP reduction reduces rate of progression in manifest glaucoma and the incidence of glaucoma in ocular hypertension. In addition the RCTs show that IOP reduction reduces progression also in glaucoma eyes with normal IOP levels, and that risk reduction with IOP lowering is large; several of the studies show risk reductions of approximately 10% for every mmHg lower pressure. Together they also identify the important factors for progression, in glaucoma, e.g., older age, higher IOP, more damage, pseudoexfoliation and disc haemorrhages, in ocular hypertension higher IOP, older age, thinner CCT and disc haemorrhages. The RCTs have demonstrated the value of glaucoma treatment, resulted in more ambitious treatment and provided a much more solid foundation for evidence-based glaucoma care.
Introduction Chapter

III - COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF GLAUCOMA CARE

III.1 Case Detection And Screening for Glaucoma

There are no systematic reviews or studies that provide evidence for direct or indirect links between glaucoma screening and visual field loss, visual impairment, optic nerve damage, intraocular pressure, or patient-reported outcomes. Also economic simulation models of cost effectiveness of screening report inconclusive results with large uncertainties. There is no evidence that interventions (e.g., training) improve opportunistic case finding.

III.2 Clinical and Cost Effectiveness of Diagnostic Tests Used for Screening, Detection and Monitoring for Glaucoma

No randomized screening, diagnostic and follow-up trials reporting the clinical effectiveness or cost-effectiveness have been published. Although there are numerous comparative diagnostic studies there is no evidence which test or combination of tests improve patient outcomes at a sustainable cost. There is a high degree of variability in the design and conduct of largely cross-sectional studies of diagnostic accuracy of technologies for glaucoma. Diagnostic studies typically compare the performance of a small number of technologies, and indirect comparisons with other tests have to be interpreted with caution (e.g., because of differences in population, study definitions, reference standard, etc.). The risk of bias of diagnostic study designs is an additional concern. One of the major challenges to evaluate a diagnostic test in glaucoma is the lack of a perfect reference standard. There are multiple diagnostic technologies that can be potentially used to detect glaucoma. Diagnostic studies have been conducted in a variety of settings (e.g., screening, case detection in the community, and diagnosis at hospital eye services).

III.3 Treatment of Glaucoma and Ocular Hypertension in Preventing Visual Disability

There is high-level evidence that treatment (including medical, laser, and surgical treatments) decrease intraocular pressure and reduce the risk of development (e.g., in patients with OHT) and deterioration (i.e., in patients with established glaucoma) of optic nerve damage and visual field loss compared to no treatment. However, the direct effects of treatments on visual impairment and the comparative efficacy of different treatments are not clear. Which treatments improve patient-reported outcomes is also unclear. Based on the economic simulation models in the US, UK, Holland, and China, treating glaucoma appears to be cost effective compared to ‘no treatment’. There is uncertainty whether to treat none, some or all patients with ocular hypertension. When treated, the cost-effectiveness models of different therapeutic interventions give variable results.
Comment:
All published simulation models are based on characteristics of participants enrolled in relatively small and tight randomized controlled trials (RCTs) which may not include all important predictors in the general population and every-day practice. In addition, RCTs may give an optimistic impression of outcomes compared to ‘real life’ with poorer compliance and adherence to care both in patients and clinicians in implementing the guide lines and care protocols. As the data of glaucoma induced visual disability are limited, the blindness rates in the modeling studies have different estimates. Similarly, the data on utility values and influence of glaucoma severity in health status are limited. Retrospective observational data is incomplete and selective. Reliable and ‘realistic’ data (preferably from large randomized trials or prospective cohorts of ‘usual patients’) is not available so far.

III.4 Follow-Up Protocols And Models Of Care

There is no solid evidence of the optimum monitoring schemes, (e.g. frequency and timing of visits, technologies to be used for detecting progression) for patients with manifest glaucoma and ocular hypertension. Some modeling and retrospective studies suggest that more treatment may allow less frequent monitoring visits in ocular hypertension and stable glaucoma. One RCT suggests that shared care may save costs.
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### GLOSSARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-FU</td>
<td>5-Fluorouracil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>Acute Angle-Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Anterior Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGIS</td>
<td>Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPI</td>
<td>Argon Laser Peripheral Iridoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>Argon Laser Trabeculoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC</td>
<td>Anterior Chamber Paracentesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>Benzalkonium Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACG</td>
<td>Chronic Angle-Closure Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM</td>
<td>Complementary And Alternative Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>Central Corneal Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Cup/Disc Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Corneal Hysteresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIGTS</td>
<td>Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central Nervous System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTGS</td>
<td>Collaborative Normal Tension Glaucoma Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>Chronic obstructive Pulmonary Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF</td>
<td>Corneal Resistance Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>Dynamic contour tonometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Diffuse Defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Enhanced Corneal Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGPS</td>
<td>European Glaucoma Prevention Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGS</td>
<td>European Glaucoma Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>The European Medicines Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGT</td>
<td>Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Flow Chart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Fourier-domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDT</td>
<td>Frequency Doubling Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Fixation Losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td>False Negatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPPS</td>
<td>Glaucoma Adherence and Persistency Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAT</td>
<td>Goldmann Applanation Tonometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHT</td>
<td>The Glaucoma Hemifield Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GON</td>
<td>Glaucomatous Optic Neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>Glaucoma Progression Analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Glaucoma Probability Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>Goniosynechialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSS</td>
<td>Glaucoma Staging System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Heidelberg Edge Perimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPG</td>
<td>High Pressure Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>High-pass Resolution Perimetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT</td>
<td>Heidelberg Retina Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV</td>
<td>Herpes Simplex Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAC</td>
<td>Intermittent Angle-Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE</td>
<td>Irido-Corneal Endothelial syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL</td>
<td>Intraocular Lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP</td>
<td>Intraocular Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISNT</td>
<td>Inferior-Superior-Nasal-Temporal rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITC</td>
<td>Iridotrabecular Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPI</td>
<td>Laser Peripheral Iridotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Localized Defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP</td>
<td>Laser Trabeculoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>Loss Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>Monoamine Oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Mean Defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMC</td>
<td>Mitomycin C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA</td>
<td>Moorfields Regression Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT</td>
<td>Non-Contact Tonometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-1</td>
<td>Neurofibromatosis type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-2</td>
<td>Neurofibromatosis type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>Nerve Fibre Indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDA</td>
<td>N-Methyl-D-Aspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Normal Pressure Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAG</td>
<td>Open Angle Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>Optical Coherence Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Ocular Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHTS</td>
<td>The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>Optic Nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONH</td>
<td>Optic Nerve Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA</td>
<td>Ocular Pulse Amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORA</td>
<td>Ocular Response Analyser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSD</td>
<td>Ocular Surface Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>Primary Angle-Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACG</td>
<td>Primary Angle-Closure Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACS</td>
<td>Primary Angle-Closure Suspect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>Peripheral Anterior Synechiae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCG</td>
<td>Primary Congenital Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-IOI</td>
<td>Anteriorly Dislocated Posterior Chamber Intraocular Lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>Posterior Chamber Intraocular Lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDS</td>
<td>Pigment Dispersion Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDT</td>
<td>Photo Dynamic Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEX</td>
<td>Pseudoexfoliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFV</td>
<td>Persistent Fetal Vasculature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>Pigmentary Glaucoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Peripheral Iridotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIOL</td>
<td>Phakic Intraocular Lens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POAG  Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma
POH  Pigmentary Ocular Hypertension
PPT  Pressure-Phosphene Tonometer
PSD  Pattern Standard Deviation
RCT  Randomized Controlled Trial
RNFL  Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer
RT  Rebound Tonometer
SAP  Standard Automated Perimetry
SD  Standard Deviation
SITA  Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm
SLT  Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty
SPK  Superficial Punctate Keratitis
SWAP  Short Wavelength Automated Perimetry
TCA  Topographic Change Analysis
TDO  Time Domain
TM  Trabecular Meshwork
UBM  Ultrasound Biomicroscopy
UGH  Uveitis-Glaucoma-Hyphema Syndrome
VEGF  Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
VF  Visual Field
VFI  Visual Field Index
VZV  Varicella Zoster Virus
XFG  Exfoliative Glaucoma
XFS  Exfoliation Syndrome
YAG  Yttrium-Aluminium-Garnet
1.1 - INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE (IOP) AND TONOMETRY

The intraocular pressure (IOP) in the population is approximately normally distributed with a right skew. The mean IOP in normal adult populations is estimated at 15-16 mmHg, with a standard deviation of nearly 3.0 mmHg\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^10\). Traditionally, normal IOP has been defined as two standard deviations above normality, i.e. 21 mmHg, and any IOP above this level is considered to be elevated. The level of IOP is a major risk factor for the development of glaucoma and its progression. For example, the risk of having glaucoma for those with IOP measurements of 26 mmHg or greater is estimated to be 12 times higher than that for those with IOP within the normal range\(^1\).

IOP diurnal variations can be substantial and are larger in glaucoma patients than in healthy individuals. Evaluating the IOP at different times of the day can be useful in selected patients [II,D].

1.1.1 Methods of measurement (tonometry)

Tonometry is based on the relationship between the intraocular pressure and the force necessary to deform the natural shape of the cornea by a given amount (except Dynamic Contour Tonometry, see below). Corneal biomechanical properties, such as thickness and elasticity, can affect the IOP measurements (Table 1.1). Tonometers can be described as contact or non-contact. Some instruments are portable and hand-held (e.g., Icare, Tonopen).

1.1.1.1 Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT)

The most frequently used instrument, and the current reference standard [I,D], is the Goldmann applanation tonometer (GAT), mounted at the slit lamp\(^15\). The method involves illumination of the biprism tonometer head with a blue light (obtained using a cobalt filter) that is used to flatten the anesthetised cornea which has fluorescein in the tear film. The scaled knob on the side of the instrument is then turned until the inner border of the two hemi-circles of fluorescent tear meniscus, visualized through each prism, just touch (Fig. 1.1). There are potential problems of using GAT in that contact with the tear film and the cornea may raise concerns regarding transmissible disease. Chemical disinfection and disposable tonometer heads are used with the hope to reduce the risk of cross infection [I,D]. Errors with GAT can be due to incorrect technique (Fig. 1.2) and to the biological variability of the eye and orbit. Of particular note is the influence of the central corneal thickness (CCT). A tight collar or tie, Valsalva’s manoeuvre, breath-holding, squeezing the lids or the examiner touching the lids can all falsely increase the IOP reading.
1.1.1.2 Alternative tonometers (in alphabetical order):

Table 1.2 below summarises the comparisons of IOP between other tonometers and GAT. A substantial proportion of IOP results differ by more than 2 mmHg\(^2\). A complete list of all available technologies is beyond the scope of the guidelines.

- **Dynamic contour tonometry (DCT, or Pascal)**
  This slit-lamp mounted instrument contains a sensor tip with concave surface contour and a miniaturized pressure sensor. The result and a quality score measure are provided digitally. This technique is reportedly less influenced by corneal thickness than GAT. The DCT additionally measures the ocular pulse amplitude (OPA) which is the difference between the mean systolic and the mean diastolic IOP\(^{13-18}\).

- **Non-contact tonometry (NCT)**
  The NCT or air puff tonometry uses a rapid air pulse to flatten the cornea, thus working on the same basic principle as the Goldmann tonometer. The advantages include speed, no need for topical anaesthesia and no direct contact with the eye. There are several models available in the market. Some patients have found the air puff uncomfortable. There is currently insufficient evidence to replace GAT with non-contact tonometry\(^{19,20}\).

- **Ocular Response Analyser (ORA)**
  The ORA utilises air-puff technology to record two applanation measurements, one while the cornea is moving inward, and the other as the cornea returns. The average of these two IOP values provides a Goldmann-correlated IOP measurement (IOP\(_G\)). The difference between these two IOP readings is called Corneal Hysteresis (CH), a result of viscous damping in the corneal tissue. The CH measurement provides a basis for two additional new parameters: Corneal-Compensated Intraocular Pressure (IOP\(_CC\)) and Corneal Resistance Factor (CRF). The IOP\(_CC\) is a measurement that is less affected by the corneal properties. Four good quality readings per eye are recommended\(^{21-25}\) [II,D].

- **Ocuton S**
  The Ocuton S is a self-measurement applanation tonometer that calculates and displays the IOP value automatically through direct contact of the measuring prism with the cornea. Topical anaesthetic is required\(^{26,27}\).

- **Pneumatonometry**
  The pneumatonometer relies on the Mackay-Marg principle and measures intraocular pressure noninvasively through applanation tonometry\(^{28}\). The sensing unit of the pneumatonometer, covered with a Silastic diaphragm, pressurized air flows constantly through an opening centrally into the space between the nozzle and the diaphragm. When in contact with the cornea, the pressure of the airstream is increased and this increment is converted into IOP. This raises the pressure of the air stream in the central chamber, and this increment is converted into IOP\(^{29}\). Measured values are usually higher than with GAT\(^{30}\); this technique can be useful for non-cooperating, bedridden patients or infants.
Patient Examination

- **Rebound tonometry (Icare)**
  The rebound tonometer is a simple portable device. Although it is a contact tonometer topical anaesthetic drops are not required and the tonometer has a disposable tip to minimise the risk of cross-infection. The device processes the rebound movement of a rod probe resulting from its interaction with the eye; rebound increases (shorter duration of impact) as the IOP increases. Six measurements are taken to provide accurate measurement results. The rebound tonometer can be particularly useful in children [II,C]. The Icare ONE Home device is a variation that has been designed for self tonometry31-35.

- **Tono-Pen**
  The Tono-Pen is a hand-held portable tonometer that determines IOP by making contact with the cornea (central contact is recommended) through a probe tip, causing applanation/indentation of a small area. Topical anaesthetic eye drops are used. After four valid readings are obtained the averaged measurement is given together with the standard error36-38.

Both the Icare and Tono-Pen are useful for patients with corneal disease and surface irregularity as the area of contact is small [II,C].

- **Transpalpebral tonometry**
  This type of tonometry includes devices that measure IOP through the eyelid avoiding direct corneal contact. The Diaton® tonometer is a hand held, pen like, portable device applying this principle. The pressure-phosphene tonometer (PPT) (Proview®) has been developed as a self measurement tonometer. The threshold pressure for creating a phosphene (perception of light) associated with the localised indentation is the estimated IOP. There is insufficient evidence to replace GAT by transpalpebral tonometry39-43 [I,D].

- **Triggerfish® (Sensimed)** has a sensor embedded in a contact lens, based on strain gauges claimed to record changes in the area of the corneo-scleral junction. There is no evidence to support the use of this device in clinical practice44.

1.1.2 Intraocular pressure and central corneal thickness

Central corneal thickness (CCT) influences GAT readings (Table 1.1). However, there is no agreement as to whether there is a validated and useful correction algorithm for GAT and CCT. The normal distribution of CCT is 540 ±30 μm (mean +/- SD)45. CCT variations after corneal refractive surgery make difficult to interpret GAT46. A record of pre-operative CCT is helpful to manage patients undergoing refractive surgery [II,D].

Except for unusual circumstances, there is no evidence to support the use of methods alternative to Goldmann applanation tonometry for the routine management of patients suspected of having, or that do have, glaucoma.
Figure 1.1. When there is contact between the tonometer prism (left) and the cornea, the stained tear meniscus can be observed through the prism.
**Figure 1.2.** Correct technique (A): the prism is correctly aligned to the centre of the cornea and the applied pressure is then adjusted until the inner part of the semicircles touch each other. When the reading is taken before the semicircles are aligned as in (A), the applanation pressure will not correspond correctly to the IOP shown on the dial (B). Incorrect alignment can combine with incorrect amount of fluorescein, adding error on error (C).

**Note:** In case of high or irregular astigmatism, corrections should be made. One option is to do two measurements, the first with the biprism in horizontal position and the second in vertical position and the readings should be averaged. Another way of correcting large regular astigmatism (> 3 D) is to align the red mark of the prism with the axis of the minus cylinder.
Table 1.1 Influence of corneal status, thickness and tear film on the intraocular pressure (IOP) value measured with the Goldmann Applanation Tonometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cornea Status</th>
<th>IOP reading erroneously high</th>
<th>IOP reading erroneously low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thin central cornea</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick central cornea</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial oedema</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive tear film</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient tear film</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal refractive surgery*</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Corneal refractive surgeries alter tonometry reading since they modify thickness, curvature and structure of the cornea.

Table 1.2 Differences in IOP between different tonometers and Goldmann Applanation Tonometry (GAT). Pooled estimates and summary 95% limits of agreement.11–45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tonometer</th>
<th>Mean Difference between Tonometer and GAT</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>95% Limits of Agreement</th>
<th>% within 2 mmHg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCT</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>+1.3 -2.3</td>
<td>+3.0 -6.6</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.1 +0.7</td>
<td>-3.5 +4.0</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>+0.9 +2.2</td>
<td>-4.3 +7.3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocuton S</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-1.2 +6.7</td>
<td>-4.0 +9.6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-(Icare)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>+0.5 +1.5</td>
<td>-4.3 +6.3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TonoPen</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.4 +0.9</td>
<td>-5.2 +5.7</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transpalpebral</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.3 +0.3</td>
<td>-7.0 +5.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DCT = Dynamic Contour Tonometer; NCT = Non-Contact Tonometer; ORA = Ocular Response Analyzer; RT = Rebound Tonometer.
1.2 - GONIOSCOPY

Gonioscopy is an important part of the comprehensive adult eye examination and essential for evaluating patients suspected of having, or who do have glaucoma[^7-8] (See FC II).

The purpose of gonioscopy is to inspect the anterior chamber angle. It is based on the recognition of angle landmarks and must always include an assessment of at least the following:

- a) level of iris insertion, both true and apparent
- b) shape of the peripheral iris profile
- c) width of the angle approach, i.e.: angular separation between the corneal endothelium and the anterior surface of the peripheral iris
- d) degree of trabecular pigmentation
- e) areas of iridotrabecular apposition or synechia

---

[^7-8]: European Glaucoma Society 2014

*Irdeo Corneal Endothelial syndrome*
1.2.1 Anatomy

Reference landmarks

Schwalbe’s line: this collagen condensation of the Descemet’s membrane between the trabecular meshwork and the corneal endothelium appears as a thin translucent line. Schwalbe’s line may be prominent and anteriorly displaced (posterior embryotoxon), or there may be heavy pigmentation over it. A pigmented Schwalbe’s line may be misinterpreted as the trabecular meshwork, particularly when the iris is convex. Indentation (‘dynamic’) gonioscopy and the corneal wedge method are helpful to distinguish between the structures by reliably identifying Schwalbe’s line.

Trabecular Meshwork (TM): this extends posteriorly from Schwalbe’s line to the scleral spur. Close to Schwalbe’s line is the non-functional trabecular meshwork, blending into the posterior, functional and usually pigmented TM. If the TM is not seen in 180° or more, angle closure is present. Most difficulties concerning examination of the TM relate to the determination of whether observed features are normal or pathological (particularly pigmentation), blood vessels and iris processes.

Pigmentation: pigment is found predominantly in the posterior meshwork. It is seen in adults, rarely before puberty and the extent can be highly variable. The most common conditions associated with dense pigmentation are: pseudoexfoliation syndrome, pigment dispersion syndrome, previous trauma, previous laser treatment of the iris, uveitis and after an acute angle-closure attack.

Blood vessels: these are often found in normal iridocorneal angles. They characteristically have a radial or circumferential orientation, have few anastomoses and do not run across the scleral spur. They can be seen most easily in subjects with blue irides. Pathological vessels are usually thinner, have a disordered orientation and may run across the scleral spur to form a neovascular membrane. Abnormal vessels are also seen in Fuchs’ heterochromic iridocyclitis and chronic anterior uveitis.

Schlemm’s canal: is not normally visible, though it may be seen if it contains blood. Blood reflux from episcleral veins may occur in cases of carotid-cavernous fistulae, Sturge Weber syndrome, venous compression, ocular hypotony, sickle cell disease or due to suction from the goniolens.

Scleral spur: is of white appearance and located between the pigmented TM and the ciliary body.

Iris processes: are present in one third of normal eyes, more evident in younger subjects. When numerous and prominent they may represent a form of Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome/anomaly. They are distinguished from goniolsynechiae which are thicker and wider and may go beyond the scleral spur.

Ciliary band and iris root: the iris insertion is usually at the anterior face of the ciliary body, though the site is variable. The ciliary band may be wide, as in myopia, aphakia or following trauma, or narrow or not seen as in hyperopia and anterior insertion of the iris.
1.2.2 Techniques

Gonioscopy is an essential part of all glaucoma patients evaluation [I,D]. Gonioscopy should always be performed in a dark room, using the thinnest slit beam, taking care to avoid shining the light through the pupil because of pupil constriction in light exposure51, 52 [I,D]. There are two main techniques for viewing the anterior chamber angle:

- **Direct Gonioscopy**
  The use of some contact goniolenses like the Koeppe or Barkan lens permits the light from the anterior chamber to pass through the cornea so that the angle may be viewed (Fig. 1.3 top).

- **Indirect Gonioscopy**
  The light from the anterior chamber is made to exit via a mirror built into a contact glass (Fig. 1.3 bottom).

Some features of this technique are:

- Patients must lie on their back
- Gives a direct view of the anterior chamber angle
- Good magnification
- Easy orientation for the observer
- Possible simultaneous comparison of both eyes

Requires high magnification with illuminated loupes or portable slit-lamp. Angle view possible with direct ophthalmoscope by dialing high plus lens.

Some features of this technique are:

- Patient must be at the slit lamp
- Indirect view of the anterior chamber angle
- Faster than direct gonioscopy during routine ophthalmological exam
- It can be used to see the fundus (using the central part of the lens) at the slit lamp
- Inability to compare the two eyes simultaneously
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Figure 1.3
### The most common Gonioscopy lenses:

**Direct**
- Koepppe (contact fluid required)
- Layden (sized for infants; contact fluid required)
- Worst

**Indirect**
- Posner or Zeiss or Sussman 4 mirror (contact fluid not required)
- Goldmann lens, 1 to 4 mirrors (contact fluid required)
- CGA 1.4° Lasag (contact fluid required)
- Magnaview (contact fluid required)

### 1.2.2.1 ‘Dynamic indentation’ gonioscopy

It is recommended to use a small diameter lens for indentation (e.g.: 4-mirror) [I.D]. When gentle pressure is applied by the lens on the center of the cornea, the aqueous humour is pushed back. In appositional angle-closure, the angle can be re-opened. If there is adhesion between the iris and the meshwork, as in goniosynechiae, that portion of angle remains closed (Fig. 1.4(3)).

When pupillary block is the prevalent mechanism the iris becomes peripherally concave during indentation. In iris plateau configuration this iris concavity will not be extended by indentation to the extreme periphery, which is a sign of anteriorly placed ciliary processes (double hump sign). When the crystalline lens has a particularly prominent role, indentation causes the iris to move only slightly backwards, retaining a convex profile (Fig. 1.4(4)).

To differentiate appositional from synechial closure “indentation” or “dynamic” gonioscopy is essential.
Patient Examination

**Figure 1.4.** Dynamic indentation gonioscopy. When no angle structure is directly visible before indentation, angle-closure may be present, and it can be synechial or appositional (1). If during indentation the iris moves peripherally backwards and the angle recess widens (2), the picture in (1) is to be interpreted as appositional closure and a suspicion of relative pupillary block is raised (2). When during indentation the angle widens but iris strands remain attached to the angle outer wall (3), the picture in (1) is to be interpreted as synechial closure. A large and/or anteriorly displaced lens causes the iris to move only slightly and evenly backwards during indentation (4) making the lens a likely component of angle-closure.

1.2.2.2 Gonioscopy technique without indentation

With indirect Goldmann-type lenses it is preferable to start by viewing the inferior angle, which often appears wider than the superior angle, because it is easier to identify the different structures. Then to continue rotating the mirror [II,D]. The anterior surface of the lens should be kept perpendicular to the observation axis so that the appearance of the angle structure is not changed as the examination proceeds. The four quadrants are examined by a combination of slit-lamp movements and prism rotation.

In case of a narrow approach, it is possible to improve the visualization of the angle recess by asking the patient to look in the direction of the mirror being used.
Practical points

- **Related to the technique**
  Gonioscopy should be performed in a dark room and with a small slit beam [I,D]. The most widely used technique is indirect gonioscopy where the angle is viewed in a mirror of the lens. The position of the globe is of importance. Angle width grading must be performed with the eye in primary position to avoid misclassification. If the patient looks in the direction of the mirror the angle appears wider and vice versa. A second pitfall is inadvertent pressure over the cornea, which will push back the iris, and gives an erroneously wide appearance to the angle. This occurs when the diameter of the lens is smaller than the corneal diameter e.g.: 4-mirror lenses. With a large diameter goniolens, indentation is transmitted to the periphery of the cornea distorting the angle.

- **Related to the anatomy**
  Recognition of angle structures may be impaired by variations in the anterior segment structures like poor pigmentation, iris convexity or existence of pathological structures.

**Pharmacological mydriasis**
Dilation of the pupil with topical or systemic drugs can trigger angle-closure. Angle-closure attacks can occur, even bilaterally, in patients treated with systemic parasympatholytics before, during or after abdominal surgery and has been reported with many systemic drugs such as serotonergic ‘appetite’ suppressants. Although pharmacological mydriasis with topical tropicamide and neosynephrine is safe in the general population even in eyes with a narrow approach, IOP elevation can occur in occasional patients (approx. 10%)\(^{54}\). Screening with van Herick’s test can detect angles at risk prior to dilating (Fig. 1.6).

**Systemic drugs with effects on the angle**
Theoretically, although any psychoactive drugs have the potential to cause angle-closure, it is unlikely that pre-treatment gonioscopy findings alone are of help to rule out such risk. In eyes with narrow angles, it makes sense to repeat gonioscopy and tonometry after initiation of treatment [II,D]. Prophylactic laser iridotomy needs to be evaluated against the risks of angle-closure or of withdrawal of the systemic treatment [II,D]. (See Ch. 2.4). None of these drugs is contraindicated per se in open-angle glaucoma. Ciliochoroidal detachment with bilateral angle-closure has been reported after oral sulpha drugs and topiramate.

**1.2.3 Grading**
The use of a grading system for gonioscopy is highly desirable\(^{48, 56, 57}\) [I,D]. It stimulates the observer to use a systematic approach in evaluating angle anatomy, it allows comparison of findings at different times in the same patients, or to classify different patients. The Spaeth gonioscopy grading system is the most detailed (Fig. 1.5)\(^{48}\). Other practical grading systems are those of Shaffer\(^{58}\) and Kanski\(^{59}\); both are based on angle width and visibility of the structures.
Document the insertion level of the iris root before and during compression dynamic gonioscopy

1. **Insertion of iris root**
   - A: Anterior to Schwalbe’s line
   - B: Behind Schwalbe’s line
   - C: On the Scleral Spur
   - D: Behind the Scleral Spur
   - E: On the Ciliary Band

2. **Angular width of angle recess**
   - Slit
   - 10°, 20°: narrow
   - 30°, 40°: wide

3. **Configuration of the peripheral iris**
   - s: Steep, anteriorly convex
   - r: Regular
   - q: Queer, anteriorly concave

4. **Plateau Configuration**

---

**Figure 1.5.** The Spaeth Grading System of gonioscopy finding.
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Figure 1.6. The Van Herick test.
1.2.3.1 Slit lamp-grading of peripheral AC depth - The Van Herick Method

The Van Herick grading is an important part of any comprehensive eye examination (Fig. 1.6) [II,D]. This method is very useful if a goniolens is not available [I,D] and can identify the need for gonioscopy in patients not otherwise suspected of glaucoma but it is not a substitute for gonioscopy. This technique is based on the use of corneal thickness as a unit measure of the depth of the anterior chamber at the furthest periphery, preferably on the temporal side. Grade 0 represents iridocorneal contact. A space between iris and corneal endothelium of < 1/4 corneal thickness, is a Shaffer grade I. When the space is ≥ 1/4 < 1/2 corneal thickness the grade is II. A grade III is considered not occludable, with an irido/endothelial distance ≥ 1/2 corneal thickness.

1.2.4 Anterior Segment Imaging Techniques

UBM, anterior segment OCT and Scheimpflug cameras can be useful in some circumstances. Added to gonioscopy, these techniques help elucidate the mechanism of angle-closure in many cases [II,D]. Due to their limited availability and costs however, they are applied to cases which are most difficult to interpret [61-69]. UBM is very helpful in diagnosis behind the iris and the pigmented epithelium (tumours, cysts). Anterior segment OCT and Scheimpflug cameras are suitable for volumetric measurements and documentation of the dynamics of the chamber angle at different light conditions. These instruments currently give information only on the examined sector and not about the total circumference. None of these imaging methods provides sufficient information about the anterior chamber angle anatomy to be considered a substitute for gonioscopy [70-89].
1.3 - OPTIC NERVE HEAD AND RETINAL NERVE FIBRE LAYER

Glaucoma changes the appearance of the optic nerve head (ONH) and the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) in a characteristic fashion. Contour changes can best be appreciated with a magnified stereoscopic view. Therefore the initial examination, and follow-up examinations for contour change, should be made preferably through a dilated pupil [I,D]. Interim examinations, aimed at detecting striking features such as disc haemorrhages, may be performed through an undilated pupil stereoscopic examination of the posterior pole is best performed with a:

- Indirect non-contact fundus lens with sufficient magnification at the slit-lamp or
- Direct contact fundus lens at the slit-lamp

The direct ophthalmoscope is also useful for ONH and RNFL examination. Although three-dimensional information using parallax movements is possible, binocular examination through a dilated pupil is superior. The clinical evaluation of the ONH and RNFL should assess the following features [I,D].

1.3.1 Clinical Examination - Qualitative

1.3.1.1 Neuroretinal Rim

In a healthy eye, the shape of the rim is influenced by size, shape and tilting of the optic nerve head. The disc is usually slightly vertically oval, often more so in black subjects who may also have larger discs. In normal sized discs, the neuroretinal rim is typically at least as wide at the 12 and 6 o’clock positions as elsewhere and usually widest (83% of eyes) in the infero-temporal sector, followed by the supero-temporal, nasal and then temporal sectors (the ‘ISNT’ rule, see fig. 1.10)

This pattern is less obvious in larger discs, in which the rim is distributed more evenly and in a smaller discs where cupping may not be evident. Larger and a smaller discs are harder to interpret: e.g., in small discs the changes associated with glaucoma may not result in cupping, but ‘saucerization’ of the disc surface instead, and in large optic discs the normal rim is relatively narrow and can potentially be misinterpreted as glaucomatous.

The exit of the optic nerve from the eye may be oblique, giving rise to a tilted disc. Tilted discs are more common in myopic eyes, and show a wider, gently sloping rim in one disc sector and a narrower, more sharply-defined rim in the opposite sector. Discs in highly myopic eyes are even harder to interpret.

Glaucoma is characterized by progressive narrowing of the neuroretinal rim. The pattern of rim loss varies and may take the form of diffuse narrowing, localized notching, or both in combination (Fig. 1.7). Narrowing of the rim, while occurring in all disc sectors, is generally more common and greatest at the inferior and superior poles.
Figure 1.7. Progression of glaucomatous damage at the optic disc:
Early localized loss (A1), advancing to localized plus diffuse rim loss (A2).
Early localized rim loss, polar notches (B1); more advanced polar notches (B2).
Diffuse or concentric rim loss, early (C1); advanced (C2).
Diffuse rim loss (D1), followed by localized rim loss (notch) (D2).
1.3.1.2 Retinal nerve fibre layer

The RNFL appearance is best assessed with a red-free (green) photograph. Clinically, the RNFL can be assessed with the red-free light or a short, narrow beam of bright white light at high magnification to explore the parapapillary region. In healthy eyes, smaller retinal vessels are embedded in the RNFL. The RNFL surface is best seen if the focus is adjusted just anterior to the retinal vessels.

The fibre bundles are seen as silver striations. About two disc diameters from the disc the RNFL thins and feathers out. Slit-like, groove-like, or spindle-shaped apparent defects, narrower than the retinal vessels, may be seen in the normal fundus. The RNFL becomes less visible with age, and is more difficult to see in less pigmented fundi.

Defects are best seen within two disc diameters of the disc. Focal (wedge and slit) defects are seen as dark bands, wider than retinal vessels and extending from the disc margin, unless obscured by vessels. These focal defects are more easily seen than generalized thinning of the RNFL, which manifests as a loss of brightness and density of striations. When the RNFL is thinned, the blood vessel walls are sharp and the vessels appear to stand out in relief against a matt background. The initial abnormality in glaucoma may be either diffuse thinning or localized defects. Since the prevalence of RNFL defects is < 3% in the normal population, their presence is likely to be pathological.

1.3.1.3 Optic disc haemorrhages

The prevalence of small (‘splinter’) haemorrhages on or bordering the optic disc has been estimated to be ≤ 0.2% in the normal population. On the other hand, a large proportion of glaucoma patients have optic disc haemorrhages (ODHs) at one time or another (Fig. 1.8). They are very often overlooked at clinical examinations, and are easier to find in photographs. Many studies have shown that ODHs are associated with disease progression.
1.3.1.4 Vessels at the optic disc

Narrowing of the neuroretinal tissue will change the position of the vessels at the optic disc with bending, bayoneting or baring of circumlinear vessels. Those positional changes are particularly important to observe when looking for progression, in comparison to a baseline photo.

1.3.1.5 Parapapillary atrophy

Parapapillary atrophy can be differentiated into an Alpha zone, which is present in almost any eye, and into a Beta zone, which is present in approximately 25% of normal eyes and in a significantly higher percentage of eyes with glaucoma\textsuperscript{104-106}. The Alpha zone has been defined as irregular hyperpigmentation and hypopigmentation and it is located in the periphery of parapapillary atrophy. The Beta zone is characterized by visible sclera and visible large choroidal vessels and a location between the peripapillary ring and Alpha zone. Both zones are usually located at the temporal margin of the optic disc, more often in the inferotemporal region than in the superotemporal region. Histologically, the Alpha zone corresponds to irregularities in the retinal pigment epithelium, and the Beta zone shows a complete loss of retinal pigment epithelium, an almost complete loss of photoreceptors and a closure of the choriocapillaris. The Beta zone may be associated with a greater amount of glaucomatous optic neuropathy and a higher risk of further progression of glaucoma\textsuperscript{107}. The location of the Beta zone outside the optic disc spatially correlates with the location of the most marked loss of neuroretinal rim inside of the optic disc, together with the longest distance to the central retinal vessel trunk in the optic nerve head\textsuperscript{104}. In clinical routine, a large ophthalmoscopical Beta zone (in particular in non-myopic eyes) should be regarded as an extra clue, and not as a definite sign of glaucoma (Fig. 1.9) [I,C].

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{ONH with parapapillary atrophy. The Alpha zone is located peripheral to beta zone, and is characterized by irregular hypo- and hyperpigmentation. The Beta zone of atrophy is adjacent to the optic disc edge, external to Elschnig’s ring (a white circular band that separates the intra- from the peri-papillary area of the optic disc), with visible sclera and large choroidal vessels.}
\end{figure}
1.3.1.6 The ISNT rule

In normal eyes with a normal optic disc shape, with a greater vertical diameter, the neuroretinal rim shows a characteristic shape: it is usually widest at the inferior disc pole, followed by the superior disc pole, the nasal disc region, and finally the temporal disc region. For mnemonic reasons, this sequence of disc sectors was abbreviated as “ISNT” (Inferior-Superior-Nasal-Temporal) rule. In many eyes, the rim can be wider superiorly than inferiorly, however in almost all normal eyes the rim is smallest in the temporal 60° of the optic nerve head (Fig. 1.10). The most important letter in the “ISNT”-rule is therefore the “T”. The application of the ISNT rule is helpful for detecting early glaucomatous optic nerve damage, since in the early stage of glaucoma, the rim gets smaller preferentially in temporal inferior disc region or the temporal superior disc region, leading to a rim shape in which the rim can be equal in width in the inferior or superior region as compared with the temporal region. For the assessment of the ISNT rule, it is important to consider that the area of the peripapillary ring does not belong to the neuroretinal rim. It holds true in particular for the temporal disc region.

**Figure 1.10. The ISNT rule.**
1.3.2 Clinical Examination - Quantitative

1.3.2.1 Optic disc size (vertical disc diameter)

The optic disc size greatly varies in the population. The width of the rim and, conversely, the size of the cup, vary with the overall size of the disc. The mean vertical disc diameter is approximately 1.5 mm\textsuperscript{109}.

The vertical diameter of the optic disc can be measured at the slit lamp using a handheld high power convex lens. The slit beam should be coaxial with the observation axis; a narrow beam is used to measure the vertical disc diameter using the inner margin of the white Eilschnig’s ring as the reference. A correction factor needs to be used depending on the magnification of the handheld lens (Fig. 1.11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lens</th>
<th>+60D Volk-Nikon</th>
<th>+78D Volk</th>
<th>+90D Volk-Nikon</th>
<th>Superfield NC Volk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>correction factor</td>
<td>0.94-1.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.36-1.59</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured vertical diameter of optic disc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disc area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volk 60 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital 1.0x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikon 60 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haag-Streit Goldmann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1.11. Optic disc size assessed at the slit lamp with handheld high power convex lens.*
1.3.2.2 Rim Width and Cup/Disc ratio

A large Cup/Disc Ratio (CDR) has been used as a sign of glaucoma damage. However, the CDR depends on the disc size, and a large CDR in normal large discs may be erroneously considered glaucomatous and a small CDR in glaucomatous small discs may be erroneously considered as normal\(^{110}\) (Fig. 1.12). The use of CDR to classify patients is not recommended and the attention should be focused on the disc rim \([I,D]\). In healthy eyes, cupping tends to be symmetrical between the two eyes, the vertical CDR difference being less than 0.2 in over 96% of normal subjects. A difference in CDR between eyes with equal optic disc size is suggestive of acquired damage and glaucoma.

---

**Figure 1.12.** Optic nerve heads with different disc areas but with the same rim area and the same number of retinal nerve fibres: small size disc (disc area less than 2 mm\(^2\) and C/D=0.3), mid-size disc (disc area between 2 and 3 mm\(^2\), C/D=0.5) and large disc (disc area greater than 3 mm\(^2\) and C/D=0.8).
1.3.3 Recording of the Optic Nerve Head (ONH) Features

At baseline, some form of imaging is recommended to provide a record of the ONH appearance [I,D]. If colour photos are not available, a detailed manual drawing is recommended. Even if it is difficult to draw a good picture of the ONH, the act of making a drawing encourages a thorough clinical evaluation of ONH [II,D]. Stereoscopic is preferred to non-stereoscopic photography [I,D]. Colour photography with a 15° field gives optimal magnification. Sequential photographs can be used to detect progression of optic disc damage.

1.3.3.1 Quantitative Imaging

Quantitative imaging of the optic nerve head, retinal nerve fibre layer and inner macular layers have been widely used to assist glaucoma diagnosis and to detect glaucomatous progression during follow-up.

1.3.3.2 Classification

For cross sectional classification, imaging instruments typically provide three potential outcomes: “within normal limits”, “borderline” and “outside normal limits”. No imaging device provides a clinical diagnosis but just a statistical result, based on comparison of the measured parameters with the corresponding normative database of healthy eyes. Therefore an interpretation of the result in the context of all clinical data is mandatory [I,D]. The clinician should also assess the quality of the image and analysis and judge whether the normative database is relevant for the particular patient before including the classification in the assessment of the patient [I,D]. For instance, imaging artefacts and software errors are quite common and more frequent in eyes that are highly myopic or have very tilted nerves, and few devices have normative data appropriate to these eyes. The various imaging technologies have their own advantages and limitations, and their classification shows only partial agreement in early glaucoma111. In addition, agreement between classification with quantitative imaging and visual field testing is only moderate in early glaucoma.

1.3.3.3 Detection of progression

Most commercial imaging devices have software for quantifying glaucomatous progression, including the rate of progression. The classification algorithms described above should not be used to assess progression [I,D]. In general, normative databases are not needed for progression analysis because the patient’s baseline images provide the reference for change. High quality baselines images are, therefore, of considerable importance. The user should assess the test series for the quality of images and software analysis before including the software output in the assessment of the patient [I,D]. Agreement between structural progression and functional deterioration, over the relatively short duration of reported studies, is only partial or poor112, 113. Provided the images in a series are of good quality and progression analysis is
consistent over several tests, imaging devices provide useful data, additional to those gained from visual field testing, concerning a patient’s glaucoma damage.

1.3.3.4 Imaging instruments

A complete list of all available technologies is beyond the scope of the guidelines.

- **Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT)**
  The Heidelberg Retina Tomograph (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) is used to profile and measure the three-dimensional anatomy of the optic nerve head and surrounding tissues. It can also detect progressive changes in optic nerve head surface topography. To classify an optic nerve head, three methods can be used: the Moorfields Regression Analysis (MRA), the linear discriminant analysis formulas and the Glaucoma Probability Score (GPS). The classification algorithms tend to over-report ‘outside normal limits’ in large optic discs. For progression analysis, the software provides a map of surface height changes compared to baseline (Topographic Change Analysis [TCA]); the area and volume of changing regions is presented as a plot over time. Graphs of rim area over time are also available.

- **Scanning laser polarimetry (GDx-ECC)**
  The GDx-ECC instrument (Carl Zeiss Meditech Inc., Dublin, CA, USA) measures retinal nerve fibre layer thickness around the optic nerve head on the basis of retardation of the illuminating laser light. All polarizing structures in the eye cause retardation, especially the cornea. With Enhanced Corneal Compensation (ECC), polarization artefacts arising both from the anterior segment and behind the retina are attenuated. The main parameter to help distinguish healthy subjects from glaucomatous patients is the NFI (nerve fibre indicator), although clinicians should also evaluate the distribution of the retinal nerve fibre layer around the optic disc (the ‘TNSIT’ curve). Trend and change from baseline analyses for progression are available.

- **Optical coherence tomography (OCT)**
  Optical coherence tomography is based on interferometry. Current instruments, Fourier-domain (FD) or Spectral domain (SD) and swept-source OCT systems, provide faster image acquisition, higher resolution and better image segmentation than time-domain OCT. Several companies produce FD/SD OCT instruments. Their technical, software and normative database characteristics vary; thus the values measured with different OCT systems are not interchangeable. Three main parameter groups are measured and analysed for classification and detection of progression: Optic Nerve Head, Retinal Nerve Fibre Layer and Ganglion Cell Complex. In general, the optic nerve head parameters with OCT may be less informative than the retinal nerve fibre layer and the ganglion cell complex parameters. To identify and measure glaucomatous progression with OCT systems trend analysis of the retinal nerve fibre layer thickness and inner macular retinal thickness parameters are particularly useful.
**How to use imaging at baseline [II,D]**
Glaucoma suspects with normal or unreliable visual field
Glaucoma with early and moderate damage

**How to use imaging for monitoring progression [II,D]**
Frequency should be similar to that for VF testing
- Patients should be followed with the same test/method to facilitate estimation of progression [I,D].
- Baseline, repeated within 3 months after baseline, and then up to 4 more times in the first two years in case of high risk of progression [II,D].
- Baseline, repeated annually, for ocular hypertensives [II,D].

Although knowing the test-retest variability would be indispensable in determining the optimal frequency of performing imaging tests, in every-day clinical work it seems currently impossible to take into account the large number of parameters and their largely variable reproducibility nor to verify the cost effectiveness of imaging for glaucoma120.
1.4 - PERIMETRY

1.4.1 Perimetry Techniques

Visual field testing is important for the diagnosis of glaucoma, and even more important for follow-up and management of glaucoma [I,D]. A complete list of all available technologies and strategies is beyond the scope of the guidelines.

1.4.1.1 Computerised and manual perimetry

Static computerised perimetry should be preferred in glaucoma management. Kinetic e.g. Goldmann perimetry is not suitable for detection of early glaucomatous field loss and small defects will often be lost between isopters121. Computerised perimetry is also less subjective; the results are numerical and tools for computer-assisted interpretation are available. Manual kinetic perimetry may be helpful in patients who are unable to perform automated perimetry.

1.4.1.2 Standard Automated Perimetry - SAP

Glaucoma perimetry has become more standardised over time and today the term Standard Automated perimetry (SAP) is often used. SAP refers to static computerised threshold perimetry of the central visual field performed with white stimuli on a dimmer white background.

- Test algorithms and programs
  In glaucoma care threshold perimetry is the recommended standard [I,D]. Commonly used threshold algorithms are: ‘SITA Standard’ and ‘SITA Fast’ in the Humphrey perimeter. SITA Fast has the advantage of reduced test time but this may come at the cost of increased variability. In the Octopus perimeter the commonly used threshold algorithms called the ‘Dynamic Strategy’. TOP algorithm is more rapid, but may have lower resolution than other threshold tests because threshold values are determined by averaging test results from several adjacent test point locations122.

Glaucoma perimetry is performed using a Goldmann size III stimulus in the central 25–30° field where the great majority of retinal ganglion cells are located [I,D]. Common test point patterns are the identical 30-2 and 32 test point patterns of the Humphrey and Octopus perimeters respectively and G1 and G2 patterns of the Octopus, which cover the central 30°. A commonly used pattern is the 24-2 pattern of the Humphrey perimeter, which covers a somewhat smaller area and thereby reduces test time. Only a small amount of information is lost if the smaller patterns are used as compared to the larger ones, and common test artefacts from, e.g., trial lens rims or droopy lids are less common with the more central patterns.

- Selecting a test
  It is recommended that clinicians select and familiarise themselves with suitable SAP tests. Patients should be followed with the same test to facilitate estimation of
progression [I,D]. For those with very advanced disease it may be necessary to consider using a Goldmann size V stimulus rather than size III, or a perimetric strategy which focuses more closely on the remaining area of visual field. In both perimeters one may use test point patterns covering only the central 10° of the field in eyes which have only ‘tunnel’ fields left, e.g. the Octopus M1 or M2 or the Humphrey 10-2 [I,D]. The Humphrey Field Analyzer and the Octopus perimeter are the two most commonly used SAP perimeters in Europe. Other less frequently used SAP perimeters also having threshold programmes are available.

1.4.1.3 Non-conventional perimetry

Other modalities of computerised perimetry use different stimuli to SAP. Examples are SWAP (Short Wavelength Automated Perimetry), FDT (Frequency Doubling Technology), HEP (Heidelberg Edge Perimetry) and HRP (High-pass resolution perimetry or ring perimetry) and flicker perimetry. There is insufficient evidence that these tests offer any advantage over SAP123-126.
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1.4.1.4 Patient instructions

The role of the operator is of great importance. To patients who are naive to the test, the operator must explain what to expect and how to react to stimuli. The operator needs to be in the vicinity of the perimeter to react to any patient queries [I,D]. A quiet, dimly lit environment should be ensured. A short demonstration, before the actual test starts, will also help patients understand the test. The operator should have taken the tests to better understand the experience of taking the test. It should be explained that most stimuli will be very dim and even patients with normal visual fields will be expected to ‘miss’ many stimuli [II,D].

1.4.2 Interpreting test results

1.4.2.1 Printouts

Humphrey and Octopus both provide similar statistical analyses of single field test results presented on printouts containing maps of the visual field plus visual field indices and other means of interpreting a test result.

- **The numerical threshold map** provides the ‘raw’ estimated threshold values at each test point location.
- **The grey scale or colour coded map** provides a graphical representation of the numerical threshold map.
- **The numerical total deviation map** shows point-wise differences between the age-corrected normal threshold value at each test point location and the measured value.
- **The numerical pattern deviation map** shows the same values but after correction for diffuse loss of sensitivity. Thus, it highlights focal loss of sensitivity.
- **Probability maps** provide the statistical significance of the numerical deviations.

1.4.2.2 Reliability indices

These indices are meant to estimate patient reliability. With proper instructions almost all patients are able to perform reliable tests. High frequencies of false positive answers (FP), are clearly a sign of poor reliability, but high frequencies of false negatives (FN) are of relatively little value. High rates of fixation losses (FL) may indicate poor attention to the fixation target. In most modern perimeters patients’ fixation is continuously monitored during the test by an automatic eye/gaze tracker.

The operator has an important role in monitoring in assessing the reliability of the test as it is performed and informing the clinician e.g. by annotating the test result if necessary.
1.4.2.3 Visual field indices

Visual field indices are numbers summarising perimetric test results. An useful index is MD (mean defect in the Octopus system or mean deviation in the Humphrey system). MD represents the average difference between normal age-corrected sensitivity values and the measured threshold values at all test point locations. A new index developed for the Humphrey perimeter is VFI, which is similar to the MD value but more centrally weighted, expressed in percent rather than in decibels and more resistant to diffuse loss.\(^\text{[127, 128]}\)

The global indices include PSD (Humphrey) and LV (Octopus) measure the local spatial variability of the visual field. PSD and LV can be used for diagnosis, but they are less informative than the probability maps. Software to produce graphs mapping visual field loss to expected anatomical regions is available.

1.4.2.4 Recording the visual field indices

A simple method to record serial data from VF is the GSS; this will give a visual overview, without any statistical support.\(^\text{[129, 130]}\)

1.4.2.5 Summarising diagnostic features

- **The Glaucoma Hemifield Test (GHT)**
  The Glaucoma Hemifield Test is incorporated in the Humphrey perimeter. This analysis classifies results as ‘within normal limits’, ‘outside normal limits’ or ‘borderline’. The classification of outside normal limits is designed to identify glaucoma. Two more GHT classifications are ‘general depression of sensitivity’ and ‘abnormally high sensitivity which goes hand in hand with high frequencies of FP responses’.

- **The Bebié curve**
  The Bebié curve or the cumulative defect curve in the Octopus system is a summary graph of localised and diffuse sensitivity loss. In entirely diffuse loss the curve of the measured sensitivities is lower than but parallel to the displayed normal curve. In focal loss the right part of the measured curve is depressed as compared to the normal reference curve.

- **Diagnosis based on clustered points**
  Clustered test point locations with significantly reduced sensitivity are more reliable indicators of early glaucomatous field loss than scattered points. A rule, which is often used to classify a test result as glaucomatous, stipulates a minimum of three clustered points with significantly depressed sensitivity, of which one should have a significance of p<1% \([I,D]\). Usually, the test point locations immediately surrounding the blind spot are ignored in this analysis.
1.4.2.6 Confirmation of classification

Field defects which appear clearly glaucomatous and fit with the clinical picture may not need confirmation to support a diagnosis [I,D]. Visual fields with subtle defects may require confirmatory tests. (See FC IV).

The learning effect.
Many subjects show an improvement in performance reflected as improved reliability and sensitivity over the first few tests.
1.4.2.7 Assessing progression

In follow-up it is important to know whether the visual field of an eye is deteriorating and the rate of progression [I,D]. When assessing change from baseline, apparent progression needs to be confirmed in two or more tests [I,D].

There are two main approaches to computer-assisted progression analyses:

1. **Event analyses** (designed to answer the question of whether the field has progressed)
   - With Glaucoma Change Probability Maps (GCPMs) all visual field tests are compared to baseline consisting of an average of two baseline tests. Test point locations that have deteriorated more than the expected test-retest variation are flagged. Eyes that show deterioration of at least three test point locations are flagged as possibly progressing if the finding is repeated in two consecutive tests and likely progressing if existing in three consecutive tests. The rules used in EMGT\textsuperscript{121} are part of the HVF Analyser's guided progression analyses (GPA) program.

2. **Trend analyses** (quantify the rate of progression)
   - The perimetric rate of progression is the velocity of worsening of the visual field, and is usually measured by performing linear regression analysis of the MD index or the newer VFI index over time. With MD rate of progression is expressed in dB/year, and with VFI in %/year.
   - Trend analysis of global indices includes linear regression of MD and VFI for the Humphrey and linear regression of MD, LV, DD and LD for the Octopus. The Octopus provides trend analysis of functionally related clusters of test points. Several stand-alone software programs are available to perform trend analysis of individual test locations, clusters or global indices, depending on the product. These include Peridata, PROGRESSOR and Eye Suite. Some of the systems described above use trend data to try to predict the future status of the visual field.

1.4.2.8 Number of tests

Commonly used event and trend analyses require at least five and preferably more tests to detect progression. However in some cases progression may be detected before this. This demonstrates the need for relatively frequent perimetry in those eyes where it is considered necessary to find early progression. Determining the rate of progression of an individual eye requires a long enough time span (at least two years) and enough field tests. It is important to identify eyes showing a fast rate of progression at an early stage. Ideally, all newly diagnosed glaucoma patients should be tested with SAP three times per year during the first two years after diagnosis [II,D].
1.4.3 Staging of Visual Field Defects

When discussing disease stages in glaucoma, the status of the visual field is often used as the most important reference. A discrete-levels staging system\textsuperscript{132}, modified from the Hodapp-Parrish classification\textsuperscript{133} has been in use for several years. The GSS use a combination of MD and PSD to chart the stage of damage\textsuperscript{129, 130}. Staging systems may be of great interest in scientific studies, cost studies \textit{et cetera}, but they are of limited value in clinical management. Ideally for glaucoma management one should be able to detect and quantify disease progression in small steps rather than identifying only the transition from one stage to the next [I,D].

\begin{tcolorbox}[width=\textwidth]
**The Hodapp Classification**

**EARLY GLAUCOMATOUS LOSS**
\begin{itemize}
  \item a) MD < -6 dB
  \item b) Fewer than 18 points depressed below the 5% probability level and fewer than 10 points below the p < 1% level
  \item c) No point in the central 5 degrees with a sensitivity of less than 15 dB
\end{itemize}

**MODERATE GLAUCOMATOUS LOSS**
\begin{itemize}
  \item a) MD < -12 dB
  \item b) Fewer than 37 points depressed below the 5% probability level and fewer than 20 points below the p < 1% level
  \item c) No absolute deficit (0 dB) in the 5 central degrees
  \item d) Only one hemifield with sensitivity of < 15 dB in the 5 central degrees
\end{itemize}

**ADVANCED GLAUCOMATOUS LOSS**
\begin{itemize}
  \item a) MD > -12 dB
  \item b) More than 37 points depressed below the 5% probability level or more than 20 points below the p < 1% level
  \item c) Absolute deficit (0 dB) in the 5 central degrees
  \item d) Sensitivity < 15 dB in the 5 central degrees in both hemifields
\end{itemize}
\end{tcolorbox}
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CHAPTER 2
Classification and Terminology
2.1 - PRIMARY CONGENITAL FORMS/CHILDHOOD GLAUCOMAS

Primary congenital glaucoma is a rare disease but has a major impact on the child's development and quality of life over his/her whole life span. Early diagnosis and appropriate therapy can make a huge difference in the visual outcome and can prevent lifelong disability. Surgical treatment is always necessary1, 2 [I,C].

2.1.1 Primary congenital glaucoma (PCG): from birth to >2 years of life

(1) Neonatal or newborn onset (0-1 month)
(2) Infantile onset (>1 until 24 months)
(3) Late onset or late recognized (>2 years)
(4) Spontaneously non-progressing cases with normal IOP but typical signs of PCG may be classified as PCG

Etiology:
Angle dysgenesis is caused by incomplete development of the trabecular meshwork before and/or after birth. Strong monogenetic influence. Heredity shows recessive inheritance with variable penetrance in most cases or is sporadic. Specific chromosomal abnormalities have been identified at chromosomes 1p36 and 2q212.

Pathogenic mechanism:
Decreased aqueous outflow causes significant elevation of IOP. Isolated trabeculodysgenesis is the most common form of primary congenital glaucoma.

Epidemiology:
Congenital glaucoma occurs in about 1 in 12-18,000 births among Caucasians. Incidence can be 5 to 10 times higher if consanguinity of parents is present. Severe visual disability is common. PCG is more common in males (65%), and is bilateral in 70% of patients.

Symptoms:
Crying unhappy child during first weeks or year of life.
Not always symptomatic.

Signs:
Photophobia, tearing, blepharospasm, and eye rubbing are typical early signs.
Eyes are larger compared to age, with corneal diameter usually >10.5 mm at birth and >12 mm in the first year of life. Axial length is increased, >20 mm at birth or >22 mm after 1 year. Corneal oedema is frequent; epithelial and stromal oedema can be associated with ruptures of Descemet’s Membrane, or Haab’s striae, not to be confused with forceps delivery trauma.
IOP can sometimes be measured in the awakened child with hand-held tonometers. Under general anesthesia the level of IOP is often artificially lowered by sedation and anesthetic medications: IOP values alone are insufficient to confirm the diagnosis unless IOP is extremely elevated and confirmed by corneal signs. Severe cases show
extremely extended globe when the diagnosis is delayed, described as “buphthalmos” (Ox eye). A useful approach is to compare the width of the palpebral apertures. The optic nerve head shows pressure distension or uniform cup enlargement in newborns; cupping is a typical signs for later stages (CDR >0.3). Optic disc signs may be reversible with the normalization of IOP. Gonioscopy shows anterior insertion of the iris, forming a scalloped line with persistent uveal tissue and poorly differentiated structures and/or trabeculodysgenesis often described as Barkan’s “membrane”.

**Treatment:**
Initial surgery is indicated in nearly all cases with primary congenital glaucoma. Classical initial surgery is goniotomy or trabeculotomy. 360° trabeculotomy using a catheter to open the whole circumference of Schlemm’s canal has been described with favourable results. Filtration surgery may be indicated if these are unsuccessful. Repeat surgery is relatively frequent. Medical treatment is usually neither effective nor practicable in the long term. Medications, including oral CAIs can be used while decision is made on a surgical approach and for eyes where surgery fails to achieve IOP control. Cycloablation is an intermediate or add-on procedure when primary trabecular surgery has failed. Severe cases and secondary childhood glaucomas, particularly aphakic childhood glaucoma, sometimes need long-tube drainage device surgery. Cases with later manifestation usually do not have enlargement of the globe and may have a more favourable outcome with surgery.

2.1.2 Late-onset childhood open-angle glaucoma/Early Juvenile (onset >2 to puberty)

**Etiology and pathophysiology:**
as in PCG, except:
- no ocular enlargement
- no congenital ocular anomalies or syndromes
- asymptomatic until field loss advanced

**Signs:**
open angle, elevated IOP, optic nerve and visual field damage depending on the stage of disease

**Treatment:**
See above 2.1.1.1

The treatment of pediatric glaucoma cases is particularly challenging due to the nature of the disease and to the intrinsic difficulties in operating them and in examining patients of this age. Treatment is to be adapted to the primary anomaly, and the mechanism of IOP elevation. Whenever possible these cases should be referred to tertiary care centers.
2.1.3 Secondary Childhood Glaucoma

A variety of pathogenetic mechanisms are possible. A complete list and extensive discussion are outside the scope of the guidelines.

2.1.3.1 Glaucoma associated with non-acquired ocular anomalies

- Axenfeld Rieger anomaly (Syndrome if systemic associations)
- Peters anomaly (Syndrome if systemic associations)
- Ectropion uveae
- Congenital iris hypoplasia
- Aniridia
- Persistent fetal vasculature/PFV (if glaucoma present before cataract surgery)
- Oculodermal melanocytosis (Nevus of Ota)
- Posterior polymorphous dystrophy
- Microphthalmos
- Microcornea
- Ectopia lentis

2.1.3.2 Glaucoma Associated with Non-acquired Systemic Disease or Syndrome

- Chromosomal disorders such as Trisomy 21 (Down syndrome)
- Connective tissue disorders
  - Marfan syndrome
  - Weill-Marchesani syndrome
  - Stickler syndrome
- Metabolic disorders
  - Homocysteinuria
  - Lowe syndrome
  - Mucopolysaccharidoses
- Phacomatoses
  - Neurofibromatosis (NF-1, NF-2)
  - Sturge-Weber syndrome
  - Klippel-Trenaunay-Weber syndrome
  - Rubinstein-Taybi
  - Congenital rubella

2.1.3.3 Glaucoma Associated with Acquired Condition

- Uveitis
- Trauma (hyphema, angle recession, ectodialentis)
- Steroid induced
- Tumors (benign/malignant, ocular/orbital)
- Retinopathy of Prematurity
2.1.3.4 Glaucoma Following Childhood Cataract Surgery

Secondary glaucoma is a frequent serious complication after cataract surgery in early infancy. The incidence may increase up to 50% if cataract surgery is performed before the 9th month of life\textsuperscript{12, 13}. This secondary glaucoma is difficult to treat and often needs long-tube drainage device surgery for long-term IOP control.

2.1.3.5 Treatment of secondary childhood glaucoma [I,D]

The management of these cases is particularly challenging. Medical treatment is usually not effective nor practicable in long term. Medications, including oral CAIs can be used while decision is made on a surgical approach and in case of failed surgery while awaiting for further options. Primary surgery: early goniotomy or trabeculotomy or filtration surgery may be indicated if these are unsuccessful. Repeat surgery is relatively frequent. Treatment to be adapted to the primary anomaly, the mechanism of IOP elevation and the quality of life of the patient. These cases require highly specialized care.
2.2 - PRIMARY OPEN-ANGLE GLAUCOMAS

The open-angle glaucomas are chronic, progressive optic neuropathies, that have in common characteristic morphological changes at the optic nerve head and retinal nerve fibre layer in the absence of other ocular disease or congenital anomalies. Progressive retinal ganglion cells death and visual field loss are associated with these changes.

2.2.1 Epidemiology

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness both in Europe and worldwide. It is the most frequent cause of irreversible blindness. POAG is unusual under the age of 50 yrs. Its prevalence increases with age. However, the reported percentage largely depends on definition.

2.2.2 Risk factors for open-angle glaucoma

As most population studies did not differentiate between POAG and secondary open angle glaucomas such as pseudoexfoliation (PEX) glaucoma, the following statements apply to both varieties, here are labelled OAG.

- Risk assessment
  Consideration of risk factors is important: it helps to identify individuals who can be targeted for early detection and to guide management decisions about the initiation and escalation of treatment in established glaucoma patients.

- Terminology
  Risk Factors: risk factors for open-angle glaucoma (OAG) are those statistically associated with the development of OAG or conversion from ocular hypertension to glaucoma.
  Prognostic Factors: prognostic factors for OAG are statistically associated with the progression of established OAG. Neither risk factors nor prognostic factors establish causation. Sometimes the terms risk factors and prognostic factors are used interchangeably.
  Predictive Factors: the term predictive factors should be used for factors which are associated with increased risk for glaucoma and which are part of the definition of OAG, such as optic disc parameters and visual field indices.
2.2.2.1 Risk factors for the development of OAG (See FC V)

Initial evidence on potential risk factors for OAG has been provided by cross-sectional population-based studies. Firm conclusions on risk factors for the development of OAG can only be drawn by longitudinal population-based cohort studies.27-30

a) **Age**

Cross-sectional population-based studies have consistently reported that the prevalence of OAG increases dramatically with age.14-17,19-25,31 Longitudinal population-based studies have confirmed that older age is an important risk factor for OAG.27-30 Two studies reported a 6% and 4% increased risk per year of age at baseline of developing OAG.

b) **Intraocular pressure (IOP)**

Higher IOP has been consistently associated with the prevalence and incidence of OAG.27,28,30,32 According to longitudinal data, the risk of developing OAG increases by 11-12% in Caucasians, 10% in people of African origin and 18% in Latinos for each 1 mmHg increase in IOP. To date, IOP is the only modifiable risk factor for OAG.

c) **Race/ethnicity**

The prevalence of glaucoma is several times higher in African-Americans and Afro-Caribbeans than in Caucasians. In Latinos, it has been shown that the prevalence and incidence of OAG is higher than in Caucasians, but lower than in Afro-Caribbeans.

d) **Family history of glaucoma**

Two studies studying different ethnic groups found that the risk of having OAG was 9.2-fold and 4-fold higher, respectively for individuals having a first-degree relative with confirmed OAG, compared with those who did not. Also, self-reported family history of glaucoma has been associated with increased risk of developing OAG.

e) **Pseudoexfoliation**

Population-based studies which specifically assessed pseudoexfoliation and pseudoexfoliative glaucoma have consistently reported that pseudoexfoliation is associated with increased prevalence of OAG. Based on longitudinal data, the presence of pseudoexfoliation is associated with an 11.2-fold increased risk of developing OAG.

f) **Central corneal thickness (CCT)**

In two population based studies, there was a 41% and 30% increased risk of developing OAG per 40 μm thinner CCT.

g) **Myopia**

Several cross-sectional population-based studies identified moderate to high myopia (greater than -3 diopters) as a factor associated with increased OAG.
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prevalence. A Dutch study showed that subjects with high myopia (greater than -4 D) had a 2.3-fold increased risk for developing OAG. Latinos in California had a risk of OAG increased by 48% with each 1 mm increase in axial length.

h) Ocular perfusion pressure
The association of low ocular perfusion pressure with increased OAG prevalence has been a consistent finding in population-based studies. Recent evidence suggests that this association may depend on whether subjects are treated for systemic hypertension or not. A phenotype characterized by vascular dysregulation has been described. The Barbados Eye Study confirmed that low ocular perfusion pressure increases the risk for the development of OAG. Because of our limited understanding of this complex variable and of its interaction with potential risk factors for glaucoma, the exact place of ocular perfusion pressure in glaucoma management remains unclear.

i) Other factors
There have been reports on other factors that may be associated with increased risk for OAG, such as diabetes, systemic blood pressure, migraine, Raynaud syndrome and obstructive sleep apnoea. However, data from the literature are inconsistent.

j) Risk factors by type of OAG
In general, population-based studies analyses have not differentiated between types of OAG. A recent analysis which considered POAG and PEX glaucoma revealed that IOP was the only factor associated with both of them; vascular systemic diseases and their treatment were associated only with POAG. This may suggest differences in pathogenesis between these two common types of OAG.

2.2.2.2 Risk factors and predictive factors for the conversion of ocular hypertension to POAG

The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS), and the European Glaucoma Prevention Study (EGPS) are two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) which evaluated the effect of IOP-lowering treatment on the conversion of ocular hypertension to POAG. The following risk factors and predictive factors were consistently reported in both the OHTS and the EGPS:

- Age (risk increased by 26% per decade)
- IOP (risk increased by 9% per 1 mmHg)
- Vertical and horizontal cup-to-disc ratio (risk increased by 19% per 0.1 larger)
- Pattern standard deviation (PSD) in the visual field (risk increased by 13% per 0.2 dB greater)
- CCT (2.04 fold increased risk per 40 μm thinner)
Based on the pooled OHTS–EGPS predictive model, a quantitative calculator was developed to estimate the 5-year risk for the conversion of ocular hypertension to POAG\(^7\). This tool is available to the clinician and may help to discuss frequency of visits and possible treatment. However, limitations should also be considered. Because the calculator was based on the OHTS and EGPS data sets, results may not apply to individuals < 40 years old, individuals who have untreated IOPs < 22 mmHg or who are not of Caucasian or of African origin. Also, the calculator does not take into account other factors associated with increased risk for glaucoma, such as family history of glaucoma and pseudoexfoliation. In addition, life expectancy issues should be addressed.

### 2.2.2.3 Prognostic factors for progression of OAG

Factors associated with the progression of established OAG have been identified by large RCTs: Early Manifest Glaucoma Trial (EMGT)\(^7\), Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS)\(^7\), Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study (CIGTS)\(^7\), Collaborative Normal Tension Glaucoma Study (CNTGS)\(^7\).

- **Age**
  Older age is significantly associated with increased risk for the progression of OAG. In the EMGT, after a mean follow-up of 8 years, patients ≥ 68 years old had a 51% increased risk of progression compared to those who were younger\(^7\). In the AGIS the risk of progression increased by 30% with every 5 years increase in age\(^7\); in CIGTS the risk increased by 35% for every decade\(^7\). Also, in the untreated arm of the EMGT, progression was considerably faster in older than in younger patients\(^7\).

- **IOP**
  Most of the above RCTs suggest a positive effect of IOP reduction on the onset or progression of glaucomatous damage. In the EMGT the risk of progression decreased by about 10% with each mmHg of IOP reduction from baseline to the first follow-up visit\(^7\). Conversely, the role of long term IOP fluctuation in glaucoma progression is still debated\(^7\). Also, the role of diurnal IOP fluctuation in glaucoma progression needs to be investigated more thoroughly in RCTs.

- **Pseudoexfoliation**
  In the EMGT, the risk of progression increased by a 2.12-fold in those with pseudoexfoliation compared with those without pseudoexfoliation\(^7\). In addition, in the untreated arm of the EMGT, progression was considerably faster in eyes with pseudoexfoliation, despite similar baseline IOP values between the pseudoexfoliative and non pseudoexfoliative eyes\(^7\). Pseudoexfoliation has not been evaluated in the AGIS, CIGTS and CNTGS.

- **CCT**
  In the EMGT, thinner CCT was a significant but weak prognostic factor for OAG
and this association was observed only in patients with higher baseline IOP\textsuperscript{72}. The role of CCT in glaucoma progression has not been evaluated in the AGIS, CIGTS and CNTGS.

e) **Disc haemorrhages**

In the CNTGS the presence of optic disc haemorrhages was significantly associated with glaucoma progression\textsuperscript{80}. Also, in the EMGT patients with disc haemorrhages had significantly shorter time to progression\textsuperscript{81}. A systematic review (January 1950-January 2013) evaluating risk factors for glaucoma among routine diagnostic examination reported disc haemorrhage (LR, 12; 95% CI, 2.9-48) being highly suggestive of glaucoma, but the absence of a haemorrhage was nondiagnostic (LR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83-0.98)\textsuperscript{82}.

**FC V - Assessment and Follow-up**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OHT</th>
<th>POAG Suspect</th>
<th>POAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consider risk factors (e.g. IOP, cup/disc ratio, CCT)</td>
<td>Consider disc size and risk factors (e.g. family history, IOP)</td>
<td>Establish target IOP (+ ideally 6 reliable VF in 2 years (to recognize fast progression))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-risk</td>
<td>Re-evaluate 6-12 months</td>
<td>Stable (under treatment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-evaluate 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>Progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Change Rx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reconsider target IOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-evaluate 6-12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Re-evaluate &lt; 6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Follow-up intervals are just recommendations**

POAG = primary open-angle glaucoma  
OHT = ocular hypertension  
IOP = intraocular pressure  
CCT = central corneal thickness  
Rx = therapy  

**RULE OUT PRIMARY ANGLE-CLOSURE**
2.2.3 Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma

Definition:
Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is a chronic progressive optic neuropathy with characteristic morphological changes at the optic nerve head and retinal nerve fibre layer in the absence of other ocular disease or congenital anomalies. Progressive retinal ganglion cell death and visual field loss are associated with these changes.

Etiology:
The etiology of primary open angle glaucoma remains unclear. Multiple genetic factors and the influence of co-morbidities are likely to play a role.

Pathophysiology:
The current concept of how damage is elicited includes deformation of the lamina cribrosa caused by IOP levels that are not tolerated by the individual eye. This is thought to result in axonal damage with consequent apoptotic death of the retinal ganglion cells. A substantial proportion of patients develop POAG at IOPs within the normal range. Any increase in IOP is caused by elevated outflow resistance in the trabecular meshwork outflow pathways.

Treatment:
POAG is treated by reducing intraocular pressure using medication, laser or incisional surgery (See Ch 3). So far, there is no evidence for other suggested treatment modalities, e.g. neuroprotection or modifying blood flow.

2.2.3.1 Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma / High Pressure Glaucoma (POAG/HPG)

The relative risk for POAG rises continuously with the level of the intra-ocular pressure (IOP), and there is no evidence of a threshold IOP for the onset of the condition. It is presumed that risk factors other than IOP have a relatively greater importance if there is glaucomatous optic neuropathy at the lower (statistically ‘normal’) pressure levels. POAG has been arbitrarily subdivided into High Pressure and Normal-Pressure disease to reflect this, even though they may represent a spectrum of optic neuropathies variably sensitive to the IOP. See Ch. Introduction.

Etiology:
Unknown

Pathomechanism:
Unknown.
TIGR and Myoc mutations may be associated\textsuperscript{83, 84}.

Features:
- Onset: from the young adult age onwards
- Signs and symptoms:
  - Asymptomatic until field loss advanced
  - Elevated IOP without treatment (diurnal tension curve)
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- Optic nerve head: acquired characteristic glaucomatous damage and/or retinal nerve fiber layer changes (diffuse or localized defects) (See Ch. 1)
- Visual field: usually detectable glaucomatous defects corresponding to the optic disc damage may be present
- Gonioscopy: open anterior chamber angle (not occludable, no goniodysgenesis). (See Ch. 1).

Treatment:
Refer also to Introduction II and Ch. 3
A target pressure is to be identified for each case (See also Ch. 3.2 and FC IX-X) [I,D].
  a) Medical treatment (See FC XI-XIII)
     1. Mono therapy
     2. Combination therapy as needed in selected patients
  b) Laser trabeculoplasty (LTP)
  c) Filtration Surgery with / without antimetabolites
  d) Adjunctive medical therapy when needed
  e) Insertion of aqueous long- tube drainage implants
  f) Cyclodestructive procedures

Choice of primary therapeutic modality needs to be made on an individual patient basis [I,D].
Laser trabeculoplasty can be considered as primary treatment and as an alternative to additional medications [I,A].

2.2.3.2 Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma / Normal–Pressure Glaucoma (POAG/NPG)

Etiology:
Unknown

Pathomechanism:
Unknown.
Optineurin mutation has been found in families with NPG

Features:
- Onset: from the 35th year onwards
- Signs and symptoms:
  o Normal IOP without treatment (diurnal curve or 24-hour phasing). Asymptomatic until field loss advanced
  o Optic nerve head damage typical of glaucoma
  o Disc haemorrhages
- Visual field defects typical of glaucoma; e.g. paracentral defects
- Gonioscopy: open anterior chamber angle (exclude intermittent angle-closure; See Ch. 2)
- No history or signs of other eye disease or steroid use.
- Consider central corneal thickness if findings do not match; CCT may be thinner than average (See Ch. 1.1).
Treatment:
Refer also to Chapter Introduction II, Ch. 3 and FC VI
There are few prospective clinical trials indicating clearly the advantages of treatment [I,A].
Target pressure: in most cases a peak IOP = 8 mm - 15 mm Hg on diurnal curve or a
30% IOP reduction from baseline (See Ch. 3.2) [I,D]

a) Medical therapy:
   I. Any drug singly or in combination which is effective and tolerated,
      whose IOP lowering effect is sufficient to reach a maintain the target
      IOP [I,D]
   II. Avoid medications with potential vasoconstrictive effects or with
       systemic hypotensive effects [II,D]
   III. Oral calcium channel blockers are being investigated in selected
        patients by some investigators.

b) Laser trabeculoplasty [I,D]
c) Glaucoma Surgery:
   In cases of progressive glaucomatous damage, in spite of maximal medical
   therapy or laser trabeculoplasty, or failure to reach target pressure [I,D].
   Intensive postoperative care with bleb manipulation may be needed to
   maintain low IOPs [I,D]

Follow-up intervals, depending on the stage of disease and on the rate of progression,
with examination of [II,D]:
   • Optic disc
   • Visual field
   • IOP
   • ONH and RNFL documentation

2.2.4 Primary Juvenile Glaucoma

• Etiology: Unknown
• Pathomechanism: Decreased aqueous outflow
• Features:
  o Onset: beyond infancy, usually after puberty or early adulthood. Heredity:
    if familiar frequently dominant trait. Genes associated with primary juvenile
    glaucoma have been identified as MYOC and CYP1B1
  o Signs and symptoms:
    • No enlargement of the globe
    • Asymptomatic until field loss is advanced
    • Elevated IOP without treatment (diurnal tension curve)
    • Optic nerve head and RNFL: Diffuse damage typical, but any type of
      glaucomatous damage
    • Visual field: glaucomatous defects
    • Gonioscopy: wide open anterior chamber angle, often poorly
      differentiated
    • No congenital or developmental anomalies
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Treatment [I,D] (See FC VI):

a. Medical therapy: any effective and well tolerated topical regimen. Pilocarpine causes fluctuating myopic shift, visual symptoms and headache particularly in the young and should be avoided.
b. Surgery: early surgery often required filtering procedure or trabeculotomy; consider antimetabolites.
c. Laser trabeculoplasty: not recommended due to poor and short-lived IOP lowering effect.

2.2.5 Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma Suspect (POAG)

Etiology:
Unknown

Pathomechanism:
Unknown

Features:
- Visual field and/or optic disc and/or nerve fiber layer normal or suspicious, with at least one being suspicious
- IOP can be normal or increased

Treatment [II,D] (See FC VI):
Risks and benefits of treatment need to be weighed against the risk of the development of glaucomatous disc damage. The risk of developing glaucoma increases with the number and strength of risk factors. The indication for any form of therapy is relative

a) Medical therapy: any topical agent alone or in combination as long as well tolerated and effective
b) Avoid adjunctive medical treatment unless strictly needed
c) Laser trabeculoplasty: not usually indicated
d) Filtering operation: not indicated
e) Follow-up [II,D] at intervals of 6-12 months initially, to be increased if all parameters remain normal with examination of:

I. Optic disc
II. Visual field
III. IOP
IV. ONH RNFL documentation initially and every 2-3 years
2.2.6 Ocular Hypertension (OH)

Etiology:
Unknown

Pathomechanism:
Unknown

Features:
- Signs and symptoms:
  - IOP > 21 mm Hg without treatment
  - Visual field: normal
  - Optic disc and retinal nerve fibre layer: normal
  - Gonioscopy: open anterior chamber angle (exclude intermittent angle-closure. See Ch 2.4.2.2)
  - No history or signs of other eye disease or steroid use.
- Other risk factors: none

FC VI - Treatment Options

If the above procedures not successful or feasible, consider repeat filtration surgery with anti-metabolites or long-tube drainage implant/cyclodestructive procedure

(*) Up to 2-3 different drugs. Do not add a drug to a non-effective one; consider switching

POAG = primary open-angle glaucoma
XFG = exfoliative/pseudoexfoliative glaucoma
PDG = pigment dispersion glaucoma

© European Glaucoma Society 2014
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Treatment:
Although in the past it has been used as a diagnosis, Ocular Hypertension should be used to indicate that the IOP is consistently outside 2 or 3 standard deviations above the mean. Consider corneal thickness (See Introduction II and Ch. 1.1; FC II and IV). A modest increase in IOP is not sufficient reason for treatment, but consider it in patients with repeated IOPs in the high twenties, even without risk factors. For treatment modality See Ch. 4.2.3-a (See also Ch. 2.2.3. and flow-charts).

- If left untreated (See Ch. Introduction II)
  - up to 9.5% develop glaucoma over 5 year of follow-up
  - the risk of developing glaucoma increases with increasing IOP
  - prophylactic IOP-lowering therapy to be discussed with individual patients considering the presence of risk factors

  - Follow-up [II,D] at intervals of 12-24 months initially, to be increased if all parameters remain negative, with examination of:
    - Optic disc
    - Visual field
    - IOP
    - ONH and RNFL photographs initially and every 2-3 years

Patients for the ocular hypertension treatment study (Ch. Introduction II) were selected excluding myopes, labile diabetics, poor compliance. In most of Europe black Africans are a minority.

Assess each patient individually when deciding whether or not to treat [I,D].
2.3 - SECONDARY GLAUCOMAS

Secondary glaucomas are a heterogeneous group of conditions, in which elevated IOP is the leading pathological factor causing glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Most forms of secondary glaucoma like uveitic or traumatic glaucoma have complex pathomechanisms including both an open or closed angle.

2.3.1 Secondary Open-Angle Glaucoma

2.3.1.1 Secondary Open-Angle Glaucomas Caused By Ocular Disease

2.3.1.1.1 Exfoliative (pseudoexfoliative) glaucoma

Features:
- Onset: usually older than 60 years
- Asymptomatic until visual field loss advanced
- One or both eyes affected, often bilateral and asymmetrical

Etiology and pathogenic mechanisms.
Exfoliative glaucoma (XFG) develops from exfoliation (pseudoexfoliation) syndrome (XFS), in which an abnormal fibrillo-granular protein (exfoliation material) is produced in the eye and the body. Development of XFS is strongly associated with certain variants of the LOXL1 gene, but the onset of glaucoma may depend on other environmental and/or genetic factors. In the eye exfoliation material accumulates in a characteristic pattern on the anterior lens capsule, pupillary margin, trabecular meshwork and the zonules. Pigment granules from the posterior layer of the iris are liberated and together with the exfoliation material play an important role in the development of decreased aqueous humour outflow and elevation of IOP, which may lead to the development of glaucoma. Clinically both XFS and XFG may appear in only one eye, but they may develop gradually in the fellow eye in many cases. To identify exfoliation material pupil dilation is recommended. Typically XFG develops after 60 years of age, the IOP is considerably higher than that in POAG, and the diurnal IOP fluctuation is high. As a consequence, in the involved eye(s) optic nerve head damage and visual field deterioration are frequently severe already at the time of the first visit. At a population level, this may partially be counterbalanced by the fact that in certain countries XFG is significantly less undiagnosed than POAG. In XFG the risk for progression is particularly high even when the eye is under IOP lowering treatment. XFS and XFG are associated with poor pupil dilation and other ocular alterations like dense nuclear cataract and zonular damage which make cataract surgery more difficult and increase the risk for surgical complications. Due to zonular damage lens dislocation and resulting secondary angle closure may occur.
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Epidemiology:
XFG is the most common type of secondary open angle glaucomas, its prevalence varies considerably across populations. According to population-based data, XFG develops in approximately 15% to 26% of eyes with XFS (depending on the definition of glaucomatous damage) over a 5 year period. Also, it has been reported that XFS and XFG are associated with systemic diseases, particularly cardiovascular alterations. However, there are recent reports which do not support this association.

Signs and symptoms:
IOP: > 21 mm Hg, frequently higher than in average POAG cases. Visual field loss as in POAG; frequently severe at least in one eye. Dandruff-like exfoliation material on the pupil border and on the surface of the anterior lens capsule except the central zone, better visualized after pupillary dilation. The pupillary collarette is irregular and typically has a moth-eaten appearance. Pigmentary loss from the central or mid-iris and positive transillumination are possible. The angle can be open, narrow or closed; usually the TM is heavily pigmented with a blackish hue and dandruff-like particles and pigment granules may be seen in the lower angle recess. When pigment accumulates along an undulating line on or anterior to the Schwalbe’s line, this feature is called Sampaolesi’s line, which is pathognomonic of XFS. Loose zonules are frequent with occasional phacodonesis, lens subluxation and more frequent complications during cataract surgery. Narrow or closed-angle is relatively common.

Treatment:
Decrease the IOP with all medications, laser trabeculoplasty and filtering surgery used in the treatment of POAG. Usually XFG responds well to laser trabeculoplasty. To achieve target IOP usually more medications are needed than in POAG. Due to the high diurnal IOP fluctuation, to characterize untreated baseline IOP and IOP under treatment several IOP measurements are necessary. When XFG is clinically unilateral the fellow eye must be also carefully followed because there is a high probability of development of XFG.

2.3.1.1.2 Pigmentary glaucoma

Etiology:
Melanin granules cause an increase of trabecular meshwork outflow resistance and hence an elevation of IOP. The current understanding is that trabecular meshwork cells phagocytise pigment, which subsequently leads to their death.

Pathogenic mechanism:
Melanin pigment is released from the iris pigmented epithelium as the result of rubbing between lens zonules and posterior surface of the iris. Posterior bowing of the iris with “reverse pupillary block” configuration is noted in many eyes with pigment dispersion.
Three entities can be described:

- Pigment dispersion syndrome (PDS) - ocular condition, usually bilateral characterized by dispersion of iris pigment
- Pigmentary ocular hypertension (POH) - pigment dispersion syndrome with elevated IOP and without glaucomatous optic neuropathy
- Pigmentary glaucoma (PG) - glaucomatous optic neuropathy and pigment dispersion syndrome

Epidemiology:
PG represents 1-1.5% of all glaucoma cases\(^{97}\). It is more common in Caucasian myopic men. It typically diagnosed at the age of 30-50 years. The risk of developing glaucoma in patients with PDS is 25 - 50%.
The estimated incidence of PDS and PG is 4.8/100 000 and 1.4/100 000 population per year respectively. The risk of developing pigmentary glaucoma from pigment dispersion syndrome is 10% at 5 years and 15% at 15 years\(^{98}\).

Symptoms:
Patients may experience transient visual blurring or halos during episodes of IOP rise, particularly after exercise or pupillary dilation, uncommonly associated with mild to moderate pain.

Signs:
PDS maybe either unilateral or bilateral. Signs of PDS or PG are very deep anterior chamber with backward bowing of the peripheral iris, midperipheral iris transilluminations with a radial spoke like pattern due to pigment loss best visible with retroillumination, pigment deposition on the iris surface and lens equator among zonular insertions, pigment deposition in the corneal endothelium typically accumulating vertically as a Krukenberg spindle. The presence of Krukenberg spindle is not necessary to make the diagnosis of PDS and may occur in other conditions such as exfoliation syndrome. Gonioscopy shows a homogenously dark brown, densely pigmented trabecular meshwork around 360° and pigment at or anterior to the Schwalbe’s line, not to be confused with the Sampaolesi’s line of pseudoexfoliation; dim light in the examination room is recommended in order pupillary constriction and enhance gonioscopic observation of peripheral iris shape. Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) can be helpful to confirm reverse pupillary block. PDS and PG can be independently combined with primary angle closure.
IOP is typically elevated with large fluctuations. Gradual decrease of IOP after 60 years of age has been reported\(^{99}\).

Treatment:
- Medical treatment recommendations for PG are not different to that of POAG [I,D]. Pilocarpine is no longer a preferred drug, but if used, one should check the peripheral retina for tears [II,D].
- Laser trabeculoplasty [I,C] is effective. However, the heavily pigmented trabecular meshwork warrants power settings lower than usual [I,D]. The initially good pressure fall may be lost over time. Repeat ALT is rarely successful\(^{100}\). c) Nd:YAG laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI) has been proposed as a means for eliminating
reverse pupillary block (if present). The benefit from an LPI in patients with PDS and pigmentary ocular hypertension is not established. Filtering procedures are usually as successful as in POAG. Young myopic patients are at increased risk of hypotony maculopathy.

Examination after exercise should be considered, especially when visual symptoms after exercises are reported. Increased pigment dispersion with posterior iris bowing during exercise is a sign of potentially progressing disease; in such patients, LPI may be considered.

2.3.1.1.3 Lens-induced open-angle glaucoma

Etiology: In lens induced open angle glaucoma trabecular meshwork outflow pathways are obstructed by lens particles and/or inflammatory cells.

Pathogenic mechanism:
- Phacolytic glaucoma: the trabecular meshwork is obstructed by lens material leaking from mature or hypermature cataract
- Traumatic lens injury: the trabecular meshwork is obstructed by lens particles from a traumatically or surgically injured lens
- Phacoanaphylactic glaucoma: lens proteins lead to granulomatous uveitis affecting the trabecular meshwork.
- Sympathetic Ophthamia: in rare cases uneventful cataract surgery in one eye can induce inflammation of the previously healthy contralateral eye leading to IOP spikes

Clinical features: Patients usually suffer from unilateral pain with redness and inflammation. Reduced vision and elevated IOP. Signs of injured lens and/or mature/hypermature cataract or cataract surgery are present, with or without iritis (cf aqueous flare and keratic precipitates).

Treatment: Extraction of lens or lens fragments followed by topical anti-inflammatory medication, vitrectomy if needed.

2.3.1.1.4 Glaucoma associated with intraocular haemorrhage

Etiology: Either acute bleeding in the anterior chamber or long standing blood in the vitreous of any source can cause IOP elevation. Sickle cell trait / disease should always be considered because these patients may be at a higher risk for elevated IOP.

Pathogenic mechanism: Large quantity of normal red blood cells (hyphaema) or haemoglobin-laden macrophages
(haemolytic glaucoma) or degenerated red blood cells (ghost cell glaucoma) obstruct the trabecular meshwork.

**Symptoms:**
Pain and eye irritation.

**Signs:**
Elevated IOP is more common with larger hyphaemas and is more often due to recurrent haemorrhage or re-bleeding. Re-bleeding can follow traumatic hyphaema, usually after 3-7 days (incidence 5 - 10%). Patients with sickle cell disease / trait have an increased incidence of elevated IOP. They are also more prone to developing glaucomatous neuropathy and can be more difficult to treat. In haemolytic glaucoma red-tinged cells in the aqueous humour and reddish brown discoloration of the trabecular meshwork are present. “Ghost cells” occur 1 to 4 weeks after vitreous haemorrhage and reach the anterior chamber. Small khaki-coloured cells may be seen circulating in anterior chamber. Gonioscopic examination may show layering of the ghost cells over the inferior part of trabecular meshwork.

**Treatment:**
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed [I,D]. It is recommended to avoid carbonic anhydrase inhibitors and hyperosmotic agents in patients with sickle cell disease.
- Conservative treatment, bed rest, topical cycloplegics and steroids, can be considered for uncomplicated hyphaema [II,D]. Antifibrinolytic agents such as tranexamic acid can reduce the risk if re-bleeding. However it is not clear whether any of the interventions have an effect on visual acuity.
- Wash-out through a paracentesis of the anterior chamber [II,D] and/or vitrectomy for removing RBCs from vitreous if IOP remains high with the risk of causing corneal blood staining and/or optic neuropathy [II,D].

### 2.3.1.1.5 Uveitic glaucoma

**Etiology:**
Acute IOP elevation is typical in Posner-Schlossman syndrome or in viral infection such as HSV and VZV. Chronic IOP elevation is typical for Fuchs’ uveitis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, Behcet disease, pars planitis, sympathetic ophthalmia, sarcoidosis and syphilis.

**Pathogenic mechanism:**
Obstruction and oedema of the trabecular meshwork are caused by inflammatory cells, precipitates, debris, secondary scarring and neovascularization of the chamber angle. Secondary angle-closure glaucoma can be due to synechial closure of the chamber angle or seclusio pupillae with subsequent appositional angle closure. Corticoid treatment can also contribute to IOP elevation.

**Symptoms:**
Pain, redness, photophobia, decreased vision are possible.
Signs:
Features depend on the underlying cause. Elevated IOP; some forms are associated with wide oscillations or periodic rise in IOP.

Treatment [I,D]:
- Topical and systemic anti-inflammatory therapy according to the underlying disease
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
  - traditionally topical β-blockers and CAIs were used as first-line treatment.
  - prostaglandin analogues are used as first-line therapy in eyes with controlled uveitis. There are studies that support the efficacy and safety of prostaglandin analogues as IOP lowering medication in uveitic glaucoma\(^{109}\).
- Glaucoma surgery suited for the type of inflammatory disease, ALT and SLT should be avoided\(^{110,111}\).

2.3.1.1.6 Neovascular Glaucoma
(See Secondary Angle Closure Glaucoma)

2.3.1.1.7 Glaucoma due to intraocular tumour

Etiology:
Reduced aqueous humour outflow due to primary or secondary intraocular tumours, mainly of the anterior segment.

Pathogenic mechanism:
Infiltration of the trabecular meshwork by the tumour or tumour cells floating in the aqueous humour. Trabecular meshwork obstruction due to tumour related inflammation, tumour debris, haemorrhage or pigment dispersion. Secondary angle closure glaucoma may also develop\(^{112}\).

Symptoms and signs:
Elevated IOP. A highly variable clinical picture, combining evidence of both tumour and glaucoma.

Treatment [I,D]:
- Treatment of underlying tumour (irradiation, surgical tumour excision, enucleation)
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication; medical therapy is often first-line treatment while awaiting definitive treatment:
  - topical β-blockers, α-agonists, topical and systemic CAIs are safe and effective
  - prostaglandin analogues (increasing uveoscleral outflow) and pilocarpine (increasing trabecular outflow) may theoretically promote metastasis
- Cycloablation
- Incisional glaucoma surgery indicated only after successful tumour therapy.
2.3.1.8 Glaucoma associated with retinal detachment

**Etiology and pathogenic mechanism:**
Long standing retinal detachment that leads to ischaemic neovascularization. Retinal detachment is usually associated with a reduction of IOP. Gas tamponade can elicit significant IOP spikes. Dispersed silicon oil may cause chronic IOP elevation. The trabecular meshwork may be obstructed by neovascularization caused by proliferative retinopathy, or by scarring, pigment dispersion and inflammation, or by cellular debris from retinal cells outer segments (Schwartz’s syndrome). Surgery for retinal detachment can also cause glaucoma.

**Symptoms and signs:**
Elevated IOP and retinal detachment are present. Redness and pain are common features.

**Treatment [I,D]:**
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
- Surgery for retinal detachment
- Consider glaucoma surgery

2.3.1.2 Open-angle glaucoma due to ocular trauma

Ocular trauma leads to glaucoma by several different mechanisms. The secondary traumatic glaucomas can be caused by both open-angle and angle-closure mechanisms. In order to identify and treat the causes of IOP elevation; careful evaluation of the ocular damage must be performed.

**Etiology:**
Blunt non-penetrating or penetrating trauma to the eye.

**Pathogenic mechanisms:**
Blunt non-penetrating trauma can lead to reduced trabecular outflow due to traumatic changes of the trabecular meshwork. Scarring and inflammation of the trabecular meshwork, obstruction by red blood cells and debris, angle recession, lens-induced glaucoma. Elevated IOP may occur a very long time after the trauma. Positive steroid response after anti-inflammatory treatment should also be considered. Penetrating injury may damage one or more intraocular structure leading to elevated IOP.

**Symptoms and signs:**
Redness, pain, decreased vision with acute IOP elevation, or no symptoms with chronic IOP elevation. Acute or late IOP elevation (occurring months or even decades later) may follow blunt trauma. Chemical burns, hyphaema, traumatic cataract, uveitis, angle recession, ruptured iris sphincter, iridodialysis can be present in various combinations.
Note: It is not recommended to perform gonioscopy in fresh ocular trauma to avoid compressing the eye. This examination can be delayed for several weeks.
Classification and Terminology

2.3.2 Iatrogenic Secondary Open-Angle Glaucomas

2.3.2.1 Glaucoma due to corticosteroid treatment

**Etiology:**
Topical, intravitreal as well as high dose and long-term systemic corticosteroid therapy can induce acute or chronic IOP elevation. The risk of IOP elevation depends on the chemical structure (strength) of the steroid, dose, frequency and duration of therapy, and route of administration. The risk factors for being steroid responder are: POAG, family history of glaucoma, diabetes, myopia, rheumatoid arthritis, children and elderly patients.

**Pathogenic mechanism:**
Corticosteroids induce changes in the trabecular extracellular matrix (glycoproteins) which lead to decreased outflow facility. A TIGR gene modification is present.

**Symptoms:**
Pain and eye irritation are possible but not at all mandatory especially in acute IOP elevation.

**Signs:**
Elevated IOP usually develops 2 to 6 weeks after initiating therapy, but may occur at any time. Usually IOP elevation is slowly reversed after stopping the use of corticosteroid. Corneal oedema can be present. Prolonged IOP elevation can lead to typical glaucomatous optic nerve head changes and visual field damage.

**Treatment [I,D]:**
- Discontinuation of corticosteroid therapy is recommended; steroid-sparing therapy of underlying condition should be considered. If this is not possible, consider switching to weaker steroid (e.g. loteprednol, fluorometholone)
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
- Laser trabeculoplasty
- Glaucoma surgery may be performed in intractable cases

2.3.2.2 Secondary open-angle glaucoma due to ocular surgery and laser

Ocular surgery can cause secondary open-angle glaucoma by some of the mechanisms discussed above: intraocular haemorrhage, inflammatory reaction, lens material, pigmentary loss from uveal tissue, or trauma.
Pathogenic mechanism:
Open-angle glaucoma following ocular surgery or laser is a result of reduced trabecular outflow:

- IOP elevation after intraocular surgery is usually transient. The elevated IOP may be caused by: viscoelastic material, inflammatory debris, vitreous in the anterior chamber after cataract surgery, lens particles, intra-operative application of α-chymotrypsin, and prostaglandin release.
- Acute onset secondary IOP elevation after Nd:YAG laser iridotomy, capsulotomy and laser trabeculoplasty. IOP elevation is usually transient, within the first 24 hours, most frequent in the first 4 hours after treatment.
- IOP elevation with open-angle following vitrectomy with silicon oil implantation develops as a result of:
  - Migration of silicon oil into anterior chamber and obstruction of the trabecular meshwork (early post-op IOP increase) usually due to overfill of oil.
  - Migration of emulsified silicon oil into anterior chamber with obstruction of trabecular meshwork where oil particles are partially phagocytised by macrophages and accumulate in the trabecular meshwork especially in the upper quadrant and can induce trabeculitis (intermediate and late onset IOP increase). Prolonged contact of silicon oil with the trabecular meshwork may cause permanent structural changes. Risk factors for developing IOP elevation following vitrectomy with silicon oil implantation include pre-existing ocular hypertension or glaucoma, diabetes mellitus, and aphakia (closed angle type).
- Uveitis-glaucoma-hyphema (UGH) syndrome - IOP elevation associated with an anterior chamber intraocular lens due to induced iris root bleeding and anterior uveitis. Modern IOLs pose a significantly lower risk of inducing UGH syndrome.

Treatment [I.D.]:
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
- Anti-inflammatory treatment
- Removal of silicone oil may be considered in eyes with IOP elevation secondary to silicon oil emulsification. However current data suggest that removal of silicon oil is not effective in all cases and the risk of re-detachment increases. Trans-scleral cyclophotocoagulation and aqueous drainage devices seem to represent more effective options, although the latter are associated with the risk of silicon oil escape into subconjunctival space. Endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation in eyes requiring silicon oil removal and antiglaucoma treatment seems to be effective option. Conventional filtration surgery is associated with poor prognosis.
- Removal of the intraocular lens may be needed in case of UGH syndrome
- Glaucoma surgery according to the specific condition
2.3.3 Secondary Open-Angle Glaucoma Caused By Extrabulbar Disease

2.3.3.1 Glaucoma caused by increased episcleral venous pressure

Etiology and pathogenic mechanism:
Episcleral, orbital or systemic diseases can cause the elevation of episcleral venous pressure with subsequent reduction of trabecular outflow and IOP elevation. The following disorders can be described:
- Episcleral and orbital causes: chemical burn or radiation damage of the episcleral veins, hemangioma in Sturge-Weber syndrome, Nevus of Ota, endocrine orbitopathy, orbital (retrobulbar) tumor, pseudotumor, orbital phlebitis, orbital or intracranial arteriovenous fistula
- Neurologic conditions: dural shunts, cavernous sinus thrombosis
- Other systemic causes: superior vena cava obstruction, jugular vein obstruction (radical neck dissection), pulmonary venous obstruction
- Idiopathic forms

Symptoms and signs:
IOP elevation can be acute with eye irritation and pain. Visual acuity can be decreased. Dilated, congested episcleral veins, chemosis, facial lymphedema, orbital bruit can be present. Vascular bruits are characteristic signs of A/V fistulae[120]

Treatment [I,D]:
- a) Treatment of the underlying disease
- b) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
- c) Glaucoma surgery
2.4 - PRIMARY ANGLE-CLOSURE

Scientific publications on angle-closure have suffered from the lack of a uniform definition and specific diagnostic criteria. Only in recent years has there been recognition of the need to standardize definitions of the various types. Angle-closure is defined by the presence of iridotrabecular contact (ITC). This can be either appositional or synechial. Either can be due to any one of a number of possible mechanisms. Angle closure may result in raised IOP and cause structural changes in the eye. Primary angle-closure (PAC) is defined as an occludable drainage angle and features indicating that trabecular obstruction by the peripheral iris has occurred. The term glaucoma is added if glaucomatous optic neuropathy is present: Primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG). The main reason to distinguish Primary angle-closure glaucoma from Primary open-angle glaucoma is the initial therapeutic approach (i.e. iridotomy or iridectomy) and the possible late complications (synechial closure of the chamber angle) or the complications resulting when this type of glaucoma undergoes filtering surgery (uveal effusion, cilio-lenticular block leading to malignant glaucoma)\textsuperscript{121,122}.

The prevalence of primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG)

Ethnic background is one of the major factors determining susceptibility to primary angle-closure (PAC). Population surveys show that PAC is more common among people of Asian descent than those from Europe. Among people aged 40 years and over, the prevalence of PAC ranges from 0.1% in Europeans\textsuperscript{123,124} through 1.4% in East Asians\textsuperscript{123,124} and up to 5% in Greenland Inuit\textsuperscript{125}. Of those over 40 years old in European derived populations, 0.4% are estimated to have PACG. Three-quarters of cases occur in female subjects. There are 1.60 million people in Europe and 581 000 people in the USA with PACG\textsuperscript{126}.

Primary glaucoma cases should be examined and the anterior chamber angle shown to be open on gonioscopy before PACG is excluded\textsuperscript{127}.

Provocative Tests

In general provocative tests for angle-closure provide little additional information since even when negative they may not rule out the potential for angle-closure. In addition they may be hazardous, triggering an acute angle-closure attack even while the patient is monitored.
Classification and Terminology

2.4.1 Primary Angle-Closure (PAC)

Angle-closure is defined by the presence of iridotrabecular contact (ITC). Gonioscopy remains the standard technique for identifying ITC. Primary angle-closure (PAC) results from crowding of the anterior segment, and as such, usually occurs in eyes with smaller than average anterior segment dimensions. Pathological angle-closure is defined by the presence of ITC combined with either elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) or peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS), or both. The absence of ocular diseases which may induce the formation of PAS such as uveitis, iris neovascularisation, trauma and surgery, defines primary angle-closure. Additionally, angle-closure resulting from the action of forces at the level of the lens or behind the lens is usually regarded as secondary (i.e. cataract, massive vitreous haemorrhage, and silicone oil or gas retinal tamponade) as the successful management is aimed at the underlying lens or posterior segment pathology. Angle-closure may impair aqueous outflow through simple obstruction of the trabecular meshwork (TM), or by causing irreversible degeneration and damage of the TM.

2.4.1.1 Natural History of PAC

PAC becomes more likely as the separation between the iris and TM decreases\textsuperscript{128}. The risk of iridotrabecular contact in a “narrow” angle begins to increase once the iridotrabecular angle is \( \leq 20^\circ \textsuperscript{129} \). With angles of 20° or less, signs of previous angle-closure, such as PAS or iris pigment on the TM, should be carefully sought as signs of previous closure. Most angle-closure occurs asymptptomatically. Although symptoms of pain, redness, blurring of vision or haloes may help identify people with significant angle-closure, the sensitivity and specificity of symptoms for identifying angle-closure are very poor. The most commonly identified sign which indicates that treatment is required is ITC. There is not a precise extent of gonioscopically evident ITC which will dictate the indication to treatment for all cases. An international group of experts reached a consensus that 2 quadrants or more of ITC is an indication for prophylactic treatment\textsuperscript{130} [II,D]. Clearly, in established disease with high IOP, established PAS or glaucomatous optic neuropathy, any potential for angle-closure should be considered and treated on individual merits.

2.4.1.2 Staging of Primary Angle-closure\textsuperscript{123}

a) Primary Angle-closure Suspect (PACS)
   Two or more quadrants of iridotrabecular contact (ITC), normal IOP, no PAS, no evidence of glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON).

b) Primary Angle-closure (PAC)
   Iridotrabecular contact resulting in PAS and/or raised IOP. No evidence of GON.

c) Primary Angle-closure Glaucoma (PACG)
   Iridotrabecular contact causing GON; PAS and raised IOP may be absent at the time of initial examination.
2.4.1.3 Ocular Damage in Angle-closure

Primary angle-closure (PAC) may cause ocular tissue damage in many ways. Corneal endothelial cell loss occurs after symptomatic “acute” angle-closure. With very high IOP values the iris may suffer ischaemic damage to musculature causing iris whirling (distortion of radially orientated fibres) and/or a dilated, unresponsive pupil. The lens epithelium may suffer focal necrosis causing anterior sub-capsular or capsular opacity of the lens associated with focal epithelial infarct called “Glaukomflecken”. The trabecular meshwork can be damaged by the formation of PAS, or as the result of long-standing appositional closure. Optic neuropathy in angle-closure may manifest in at least 2 ways. After an “acute” symptomatic episode, the disc may become pale but flat, suggesting an anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. Typical glaucomatous optic neuropathy manifests in with an excavated surface and a pattern of visual field loss indistinguishable from open-angle glaucoma. Angle-closure accounts for 50% of all glaucoma blindness worldwide, and is probably the most visually destructive form of glaucoma.

2.4.1.4 Outcome following treatment

In asymptomatic (“chronic”) angle-closure, a high presenting pressure (>35 mmHg), more than 6 clock hours of peripheral anterior synechiae and/or established glaucomatous optic neuropathy are signs that a case of angle-closure will not respond fully to a laser iridotomy\textsuperscript{131}, and that a trabeculectomy may be needed to control pressure” [II,D].

2.4.1.5 Mechanisms of angle-closure

It is important to identify secondary causes of narrow or closed-angles, such as phakomorphic, uveitic and neovascular cases, as the management of these cases is initially directed at controlling the underlying disease. In isometropic eyes it is helpful to compare axial anterior chamber depths of the two eyes. Asymmetry of >0.2 mm (3 standard deviations) is suggestive of a secondary pathological process. A-mode or ultrasound biomicroscopy may be helpful in measuring axial dimensions (length, AC depth and lens thickness) and defining anatomical relationships. In primary angle-closure these will be the same in each eye. Mechanisms responsible for angle-closure are described in terms of anatomical location of obstruction to aqueous flow, successively, at the pupil, the iris and ciliary body, the lens and behind the lens. This is also order of decreasing frequency of each mechanism. Two mechanisms may co-exist, especially levels I and II (i.e. pupil and iris/ciliary body). Often, one mechanism predominates.

I. **Pupillary block mechanism**

Pupillary block is the predominant mechanism in around 75% of cases of primary angle-closure. Pupillary block is an exaggeration of a physiological phenomenon in which the flow of aqueous from the posterior chamber through the pupil to the
anterior chamber is impeded causing the pressure in the posterior chamber to become higher than the pressure in the anterior chamber. As a result, the peripheral iris bows forward and comes into contact with the trabecular meshwork and/or peripheral cornea.

In a minority of cases, this becomes a self-perpetuating cycle with obstruction of trabecular outflow leading to a rise in IOP up to 50-80 mmHg. When total trabecular obstruction occurs rapidly (within a few hours), it causes the symptoms and signs of acute angle-closure (AAC).

The increased resistance to trans-pupillary aqueous flow is believed to result from co-activation of both sphincter and dilator muscles, causing the pupil margin to grip the anterior surface of the lens. This may occur in response to physiological stimuli, such as reading in poor light, or pharmacologically, such as with miotic therapy and concomitant dilator muscle stimulation by phenylephrine (the Mapstone provocation test)\(^\text{132}\). In most cases, the predisposition to pupil block is created by a narrow anterior segment and the age-related increase of lens volume (See Ch. 2.5.1 and 2.5.3).

The prevalence of PAC is higher in elderly people women and in some races (especially East Asians). There is a weaker association with hypermetropia, exfoliation syndrome, diabetes and retinitis pigmentosa.

### II. Anomalies at the level of the iris and/or ciliary body (“plateau iris configuration”)

This group of anterior, non-pupil-block mechanisms are sometimes erroneously referred to under the umbrella term “plateau iris”. They are the result of variations in iris and ciliary body anatomy that brings the peripheral iris into contact with the trabecular meshwork. These include a thicker iris, a more anterior iris insertion and a more anterior ciliary body position. These anatomical factors predict failure of a laser iridotomy to open an appositionally closed angle\(^\text{133}\).

Anteriorly positioned ciliary processes cause “typical” plateau iris configuration\(^\text{134}\). Plateau iris “syndrome” should be differentiated from plateau iris configuration. The “configuration” refers to a situation in which the iris plane is flat and the anterior chamber is not shallow axially. In most cases, the angle-closure glaucoma associated with the plateau iris configuration is cured by a peripheral iridectomy. “Plateau iris syndrome” refers to a post-laser condition in which a patent iridotomy has removed the relative pupillary block, but gonioscopically confirmed angle closure recurs without shallowing of the anterior chamber axially. Plateau iris syndrome is rare compared to the configuration, which itself is not common. It usually occurs in a younger age group than pupillary-block angle-closure. The treatment is laser iridoplasty or the long-term use of pilocarpine postoperatively as long as it is needed [II,D]. This syndrome must be considered in the differential diagnosis when the intraocular pressure rises unexpectedly following an adequate peripheral iridectomy procedure for angle-closure glaucoma\(^\text{135}\).

Ideally, treatment should be instituted before synechial closure of the angle occurs [II,D]

### III. Anomalies at the Level of the Lens

The most widely recognised risk factor for primary angle-closure is a shallow anterior chamber. The anterior surface of the lens marks the depth of the anterior chamber, and as such, PAC patients typically have a thicker, more anteriorly positioned lens
than people with wide open angles. Nuclear sclerotic cataract is a frequent finding in primary angle-closure. If a separate pathological or iatrogenic process causes the lens to suddenly increase in thickness (e.g. “classic” diabetic or post-traumatic cataract), become more anteriorly positioned (retinal gas or oil tamponade) or subluxate (Marfan syndrome or trauma), this may cause secondary angle-closure (See Ch. 2.5.1 and 2.5.3).

IV. Anomalies posterior to the Lens (Aqueous misdirection syndrome)

In rare cases, aqueous misdirection can complicate the management of primary angle-closure. This may occur following trabeculectomy, lens extraction, laser iridotomy and other surgical procedures. Forward movement of the lens iris diaphragm causes secondary angle-closure resulting in IOP elevation. These cases, typically have very small eyes (axial length < 21 mm) and higher hypermetropic refraction (> +6D). It is believed that the ciliary processes come into contact with the lens equator, and/or a firm zonule/posterior capsule diaphragm, causing misdirection of aqueous into the vitreous\textsuperscript{135, 136}. As a consequence, the lens/iris diaphragm is pushed forward and occludes the anterior chamber angle. After iridotomy or iridectomy, the use of miotics raises the IOP, whereas the use of cycloplegics reduces the IOP. This ‘inverse’ or ‘paradoxical’ reaction to parasympathomimetics should be tested only after iridotomy has been performed. Ultrasound biomicroscopy can demonstrate abnormal posterior chamber anatomy in these rare cases (See Ch. 2.5.3).

Asymmetry of anterior chamber depth is a cardinal sign of secondary (types III and IV) angle-closure.

Systemic drugs and angle-closure

Systemic drugs which may induce angle-closure in pre-disposed individuals are: nebulised bronchodilators (ipratropium bromide and/or salbutamol), selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI’s), tricyclic antidepressants, proprietary cold and flu medications, muscle relaxants, anti-epileptics (topiramate) and other agents with a parasympatholytic and sympathomimetic action\textsuperscript{137}.

2.4.1.6 Demographic risk factors for Primary Angle-Closure\textsuperscript{135, 138}

- Older age
- Female
- Asian and Eskimoan Race

Family history if primary angle-closure: family screening is vital in these families as robust evidence now exists for significant increased risk of angle closure in family members of an affected patient: first degree relatives may have a 1 in 4 risk of a PAC disease requiring treatment\textsuperscript{139}.  

---

\textsuperscript{135, 136, 137, 138, 139}
2.4.1.7 Descriptions of subtypes:

Primary angle-closure has previously been divided into 5 clinical subtypes according to mode of presentation. There is debate on whether this approach to classification is useful in determining the prognosis or optimal management.

- Primary Angle-Closure Suspect (PACS)
- Acute Angle-Closure (AAC)
- Intermittent Angle-Closure (IAC)
- Chronic Angle-Closure Glaucoma (CACG)
- Status Post-Acute Angle-closure Attack

2.4.1.7.1 Primary Angle-Closure Suspect (PACS) or “occludable” angle

**Etiology and pathomechanism:**
Pupillary block or plateau iris configuration; each component plays different roles in different eyes (See Ch. 2.4.1.5).

**Features:**

- Two or more quadrants of iridotrabecular contact (ITC)
- Normal IOP
- No peripheral anterior synechia (PAS)
- No evidence of glaucomatous optic neuropathy (GON)
- No glaucomatous visual field defect

The fellow eye of a documented non-secondary angle-closure is considered capable of occlusion.

**Treatment:**
PACS or “occludable angle” is a clinical assessment. Whether to treat or not is the responsibility of the ophthalmologist. There is not a precise extent of gonioscopically evident ITC which will dictate the indication to treatment for all cases.

If a PAC suspect has narrow angle with two or more quadrants of ITC but no synechial angle closure, the treatment to offer the patient is laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI) followed by argon laser peripheral iridoplasty (ALPI) in cases with plateau iris configuration [II,D].

The same applies to fellow eyes of primary angle-closure [I,C]. All cases must be assessed individually [I,D]. In general, the risks of treatment are to be balanced against the perceived risk of angle-closure.

2.4.1.7.2 Acute Angle-Closure (AAC) with pupillary block mechanism

**Etiology:**
Circumferential iris apposition to the trabecular meshwork with rapid and excessive increase in IOP that does not resolve spontaneously.
Pathomechanism:
See Ch. 2.4.1.5

Features:

Signs:
- IOP >21 mmHg, often to 50-80 mmHg.
- Decreased visual acuity
- Corneal oedema, initially mostly epithelial oedema. Shallow or flat peripheral anterior chamber
- Peripheral iris pushed forward and in contact with Schwalbe’s line. Gonioscopy: iridotrabecular contact 360°
- Pupil mid-dilated and reduced or no reactivity
- Venous congestion and ciliary injection
- Fundus: disc oedema, with venous congestion and splinter haemorrhages, or the disc may be normal or show glaucomatous excavation
- Bradycardia or arrhythmia
- Gonioscopy clues from the other eye

Symptoms:
- Blurred vision, “halos” around lights
- Pain
- Frontal headache of variable degree on the side of the affected eye
- Nausea and vomiting, occasionally
- Palpitations, abdominal cramps, occasionally

Treatment options:
See also flowchart FC VII-VIII

A. Medical treatment
B. Laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI)
C. Argon Laser Peripheral Iridoplasty (ALPI)
D. Lens Extraction
E. Trabeculectomy
F. Anterior Chamber Paracentesis
G. Goniosynechialysis (GSL)

Iridotomy or iridectomy together with medical treatment is the preferred definitive treatment of acute angle-closure glaucoma with a pupillary block component [I,D]

A: Medical Treatment [I,D]
Medical treatment serves to lower IOP, to relieve the symptoms and signs so that laser iridotomy or iridectomy is possible
Medical therapy aims for
1. withdrawal of aqueous from vitreous body and posterior chamber by hyperosmotics
2. pupillary constriction to open the chamber angle
3. reduction of aqueous production reduction of inflammation.
All the above steps of medical therapy should be implemented concurrently [I,D]

Consider contraindications to each of the medications to be used

- Reduction of aqueous production
  - acetazolamide 10 mg/Kg intravenously or orally. Topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs) are not potent enough to break the pupillary block
  - topical alpha-2 agonists
  - topical beta-blockers

- Dehydration of vitreous body

Hyperosmotics are the effective agents but carry significant systemic risk in some patients: patients must be evaluated for heart or kidney disease because hyperosmotics increase blood volume which increases the load in the heart [IID]. Glycerol may alter glucose blood levels and should not be given to diabetics (FC VII) [I,D]

- glycerol 1.0 - 1.5 g/Kg orally
- mannitol 1.0 - 1.5 g/Kg intravenously

- Pupillary constriction [I,D]
  - pilocarpine 1% or 2% or aceclidine 2% twice or three times within 1 hour
Note: while the sphincter is ischaemic and the pupil non-reactive to light for sphincter paresis, multiple applications of topical parasympathomimetics is not helpful, will not cause pupillary constriction and may cause forward rotation of the ciliary muscle, thereby increasing the pupillary block. Since miotics in large doses can cause systemic side effects due to trans-nasal absorption leading to abdominal spasms and sweating, intensive topical parasympathomimetics are no longer indicated to treat this condition. Miotics are likely to constrict the pupil only after IOP has been lowered.
  - dapiprazole 0.5%
  - Alpha-1 blockers relax the dilator muscle. They do not reduce pupil size when the sphincter-muscle is paretic.

- Reduction of inflammation
  Topical steroid every 5 minutes for three times, then 4-6 times daily, depending on duration of raised IOP and severity of inflammation.

B: Surgical Treatment

- Neodymium YAG laser iridotomy
  Laser iridotomy should be attempted if the cornea is sufficiently clear [I,C]. Argon laser iridotomy is rarely performed nowadays but thermal laser pre-treatment (e.g., argon) of dark irides reduces total YAG energy required\(^\text{127}\) [II,B]

- Surgical iridectomy
  1) Transcorneal approach.
  - Advantages:
    - No conjunctival scarring
    - A water-tight self-sealing incision is possible
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o Disadvantages:
  - Technically more difficult in dilated fixed pupil and flat anterior chamber
  - More traction an iris with increased risk of haemorrhage

2) Corneoscleral approach
o Advantages:
  - Iridectomy can be basal

o Disadvantages:
  - Conjunctival wound may lead to scarring compromising the outcome of a filtering procedure which may become necessary at a later stage insufficient wound closure and aqueous misdirection may occur in rare cases

3) General advantages of surgical iridectomy:
  - It can be performed even when the cornea is cloudy
  - It allows deepening of the anterior chamber, breaking freshly formed PAS

4) General disadvantages of surgical iridectomy:
  - All the potential risks of any intraocular procedure in an eye with angle closure

C: Argon Laser Peripheral Iridoplasty (ALPI)
There is now some evidence from randomised controlled trials that ALPI can break an attack of acute angle closure as or more swiftly than medical therapy\(^{140}\). Many glaucoma specialists now routinely use ALPI if topical treatment + acetazolamide have not broken an attack within an hour, prior to considering hyper-osmotics. ALPI is also a useful procedure to eliminate appositional angle closure resulting from mechanisms other than pupillary block (i.e: plateau iris configuration)\(^{141}\). Diode Laser Peripheral Iridoplasty has greater penetration of an oedematous cornea but has been less extensively studied. Anterior chamber paracentesis is being evaluated to break the attack in cases that are refractory to medical management\(^{142}\).

D: Lens extraction See FC VII
Clinical reports of phacoemulsification with posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation in the treatment of acute, chronic, and secondary angle-closure +/- glaucoma describe very favourable results. The appropriate role for lens extraction in the management of primary angle closure, however, still remains unproven. The first case-series study showed that cataract extraction was associated with a good reduction in IOP and a reduction in the number of medications required to control IOP\(^{143}\). A few prospective case series or randomized clinical trials have been performed\(^{143-146}\) or are ongoing\(^{147}\) to determine the value and comparative risks and efficacy of lens surgery, both clear lens extraction and cataract surgery, versus medical therapy, laser peripheral iridotomy, laser iridoplasty, and filtration procedures for the treat-
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ment of acute and chronic primary angle closure and for the prevention of chronic angle-closure glaucoma, both after and instead of laser peripheral iridotomy. Cataract surgery in PACG is generally more challenging and prone to complications than in normal eyes or eyes with POAG because of the shallow AC, larger lens, corneal oedema, poorly dilated or miotic pupil, extensive posterior synechiae, lower endothelial cell count, weaker zonules, especially after an acute angle closure attack.

In an eye with a clear lens: laser PI first. If the angle does not open and IOP not well controlled with unquestionable glaucomatous damage, consider to proceed with phacoemulsification and IOL implantation [I,D].

E: Trabeculectomy
Trabeculectomy in chronic PACG is also associated with higher risk of postoperative anterior chamber shallowing, malignant glaucoma, and a significant rate of cataract formation compared to POAG\textsuperscript{137}. Even when filtration surgery has successfully reduced the IOP, the ailing trabecular meshwork does not regain its function, and so the disease is not cured.

Combined lens extraction and trabeculectomy
In a study in CACG eyes with coexisting cataract, combined phacotrabeculectomy resulted in significantly more surgical complications than phacoemulsification alone. Visual acuity or disease progression did not differ between the 2 treatment groups\textsuperscript{148}.

F: Anterior Chamber Paracentesis\textsuperscript{139, 142}
- Rapidly lowers IOP in APAC
- Instantaneous relief of symptoms
- Prevention of further optic nerve and trabecular meshwork damage secondary to the acutely elevated IOP
- The IOP-lowering benefit may decrease by 1 hour after the procedure
- Anti-glaucoma medications are necessary to maintain IOP control.
Paracentesis will not directly break the pupillary block but can allow the cornea to clear permitting to perform LPI

Possible complications include
- Excessive shallowing of the anterior chamber
- Puncture of iris, lens
- Choroidal effusion
- Haemorrhage due to the sudden decompression

G: Goniosynechialysis\textsuperscript{138}
Often performed with other procedures such as lens extraction, to detach synechia from the angle, in eyes with minimal to moderate optic nerve damage. The procedure may be complicated by:
- hyphema
- fibrinous inflammation and
- recurrent synechial closure of the angle
2.4.1.7.3 Acute Angle-Closure (AAC) with plateau iris configuration (See FC VII)

In plateau iris configuration the iris plane is flat and the anterior chamber is not shallow axially. (See above under Staging of Primary Angle-closure).

**Medical treatment [II,D]:**
- Pupillary constriction to pull the peripheral iris centripetally
- In plateau iris configuration, a modest pupillary constriction may prevent further angle-closure
  - pilocarpine 1%, aceclidine 2%, carbachol 0.75%
  - dapiprazole 0.5%

**Surgical treatment [I,D]:**
- Iridotomy is essential to confirm the diagnosis because it eliminates any pupillary block component
- Argon Laser Peripheral Iridoplasty (ALPI) stretches the iris and widens the chamber angle.149

“Plateau iris syndrome” refers to a post-laser iridotomy condition in which a patent iridotomy has removed the relative pupillary block, but gonioscopically confirmed angle closure recurs without central shallowing of the anterior chamber. Isolated plateau iris syndrome is rare compared to the plateau configuration, which itself is not common. It usually occurs in a younger age group than pupillary-block angle-closure. The treatment is laser iridoplasty or the long-term use of pilocarpine postoperatively [II,D]. This condition must be considered in the differential diagnosis when the intraocular pressure rises unexpectedly following an adequate peripheral iridectomy procedure for angle-closure glaucoma.135

2.4.1.7.4 Intermittent Angle-Closure (IAC)

**Etiology:**
Similar but milder clinical manifestations than AAC, it resolves spontaneously.

**Pathomechanism:**
See above Ch. 2.4.1.5

**Features:**

**Signs:**
- May vary according to amount of iridotrabecular contact of chamber angle and mimic acute angle-closure in a mild form
- When not on miotics, pupil is round and reactive
- The optic disc rim may show atrophy with an afferent pupillary defect

**Symptoms:**
- Mild, intermittent symptoms of acute angle-closure type
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Treatment:
Pupillary constriction, iridotomy, iridoplasty or lens extraction are to be considered according to the main mechanism determining angle occlusion [II,D]
2.4.1.7.5 Chronic Angle-Closure Glaucoma (CACG) (See FC VIII)

**Etiology:**
Permanent synechial closure of any extent of the chamber angle as confirmed by indentation gonioscopy.

**Pathomechanism:**
See Ch. 2.4.1.5

**Features:**

**Signs:**
- Peripheral anterior synechiae of any degree at gonioscopy
- IOP elevated to a variable degree depending on the extent of iridotrabecular contact, above 21 mmHg
- Visual acuity according to functional status (may be normal)
- Damage of optic nerve head compatible with glaucoma
- Visual field defects “typical” of glaucoma may be present
- Superimposed intermittent or acute iridotrabecular contact possible

**Symptoms:**
- Visual disturbances according to functional states.
- Usually no pain; sometimes discomfort
- Transient “halos” when intermittent closure of the total circumference causes acute IOP elevations

**Treatment:**
Medical treatment alone is contraindicated as all patients require relief of pupil block by iridotomy, iridectomy or lens extraction [I,D]. If the synechial closure is less than half the circumference, iridectomy/iridotomy may be sufficient.
Since complications of iridotomy are uncommon, its use as the initial procedure is justified in practically every case [I,D].
Argon laser trabeculoplasty is contraindicated as it may increase synechial angle-closure [I,D].
Lens removal may be considered at all stages and can lead to relief of pupil block and sufficient IOP control [II,D].
If IOP cannot be controlled medically after breaking pupil block (with or without lens extraction), a filtering procedure is indicated [II,D].
These eyes are more frequently prone to develop posterior aqueous misdirection and the necessary precautions must be taken when considering surgery.

2.4.1.7.6 Status Post-Acute Angle-closure Attack

**Etiology:**
Previous episode of acute angle-closure attack

**Pathomechanism:**
See Ch. 2.4.1.5
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Features:

Signs:
- Patchy iris atrophy
- Iris torsion/spiralling posterior synechiae
- Pupil either poorly reactive or non-reactive
- “Glaukomflecken” of the anterior lens surface
- Peripheral anterior synechiae on gonioscopy
- Endothelial cell count can be decreased

Therapy:
Management according to angle, lens, IOP and disc/visual field. In case of cataract surgery, non dilatable pupil, low endothelial cell count and loose zonules are of concern.

FC VIII - Management of Chronic Angle Closure

IDENTIFY THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISM(s) RESPONSIBLE

Make sure a patent iridotom is present/made before considering mechanisms other than pupillary block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pupillary Block</th>
<th>Plateau Iris</th>
<th>Lens-induced</th>
<th>Aqueous Misdirection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous suppression/vitreous dehydration (acetazolamide/mannitol and/or α-2 agonists and/or β-blockers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupil constriction (pilocarpine 1-2% or aceclidine 2% or dapiprazole)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indotom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider lens extraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider lens extraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Combined with zonulectomy-iridectomy in pseudophakia
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2.5 - SECONDARY ANGLE-CLOSURE

There are many different causes of secondary angle-closure and the clinical signs vary according to the underlying condition. For example in secondary acute angle-closure, the chamber angle is closed by iridotrabecular contact that can be reversed, whereas in chronic secondary angle-closure, the angle-closure is irreversible due to peripheral anterior synechiae. A complete discussion of these topics is outside the scope of this text.

2.5.1 Secondary Angle-Closure With Pupillary Block

Etiology:
The following is a limited list of other etiologies for relative or absolute pupillary block:
- Enlarged, swollen lens (cataract, traumatic cataract)
- Anterior lens dislocation (trauma, zonular laxity; Weil-Marchesani’s syndrome, Marfan’s syndrome etc.)
- Posterior synechiae, seclusion or occlusion of the pupil
- Protruding vitreous face or intravitreal silicone oil in aphakia
- Microspherophakia
- Miotic-induced pupillary block (also the lens moves forward)
- IOL-induced pupillary block; anterior chamber IOL, phakic intraocular lens (PIOL), anteriorly dislocated posterior chamber intraocular lens (PC-IOL)\(^{150}\)

Pathomechanism:
Pupillary block pushes the iris forward to occlude the angle. In iritis or iridocyclitis, the development of posterior synechiae may lead to absolute pupillary block with consequent forward bowing of the iris or “iris bombé”. Acute secondary angle-closure glaucoma may result.

Features:
- \(\text{IOP}>21 \text{ mmHg}\)
- Disc features compatible with glaucoma

Treatment:
Several steps may be considered, according to the clinical picture of causative mechanisms [II,D]
- Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication
- Nd:YAG laser iridotomy
- Peripheral surgical iridectomy
- Lens extraction, vitrectomy
- Discontinuing miotics in miotic-induced pupillary block
- Pupillary dilation
- Nd:YAG laser synechiolysis of posterior synechiae
2.5.2 Secondary Angle-Closure With Anterior “Pulling” Mechanism Without Pupillary Block

Pathomechanism:
The trabecular meshwork is obstructed by iris tissue or a membrane. The iris and/or a membrane are progressively pulled forward to occlude the angle.

Features:
- IOP>21 mmHg
- Disc features compatible with glaucoma

2.5.2.1 Neovascular glaucoma

The iridotrabecular fibrovascular membrane is induced by ocular microvascular disease with retinal ischemia; initially the neovascular membrane covers the angle, causing a secondary form of open angle glaucoma (See Ch 2.3 Secondary Open Angle Glaucoma)

Treatment [II,D]:
- a) Topical atropine or equivalent
- b) Topical steroid initially
- c) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
- d) Retinal ablation with laser or cryotherapy
- e) Cyclodestruction
- f) Filtering procedure with antimetabolites
- g) Aqueous drainage devices
- h) Miotics are contraindicated

The intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF molecules has shown benefit for this indication [II,C] and is in widespread use.

2.5.2.2 Iridocorneal endothelial syndrome

Iridocorneal Endothelial (ICE) Syndrome, with progressive endothelial membrane formation and progressive iridotrabecular adhesion. Peripheral anterior synechiae, due to prolonged primary angle-closure; this is theoretically a primary angle-closure.

Treatment [II,D]:
- a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medications as needed
- b) Filtering procedure, with antimetabolite according to risk factors
- c) Aqueous drainage device
2.5.2.3 Posterior polymorphous dystrophy

Treatment [II,D]:
   a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
   b) Filtering procedure, with antimetabolite according to risk factors

2.5.2.4 Epithelial and fibrous ingrowth after anterior segment surgery or penetrating trauma

Epithelial and fibrous ingrowth after anterior segment surgery or penetrating trauma

Treatment [II,D]:
   a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
   b) Excision, destruction of the immigrated tissue
   c) Filtering procedure, with antimetabolite according to risk factors
   d) Aqueous drainage device
   e) Cyclodestruction

2.5.2.5 Inflammatory membrane

Treatment [II,D]:
   a) Anti-inflammatory medications and cycloplegics
   b) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
   c) Filtering procedure with antimetabolite
   d) Aqueous drainage device
   e) Cyclodestruction

2.5.2.6 Peripheral anterior synechiae after ALT and endothelial membrane covering the trabecular meshwork late after ALT

After argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT), early and late peripheral anterior synechiae and endothelial membrane covering the trabecular meshwork

Treatment [II,D]:
   a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
   b) Filtering procedure

2.5.2.7 Aniridia

Treatment [II,D]:
   a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed
   b) Trabeculotomy
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c) Filtering procedure with antimetabolites
d) Aqueous drainage device
e) Cyclodestruction

2.5.3 Secondary Angle-Closure With Posterior ‘Pushing’ Mechanism Without Pupillary Block

2.5.3.1 Aqueous misdirection (also known as cilio-lenticular block, ciliary block or malignant glaucoma)

Etiology:
Angle-closure is caused by the ciliary body and iris rotating forward. Aqueous misdirection, or malignant glaucoma, is a rare type of secondary angle-closure glaucoma most commonly encountered after filtering surgery. The syndrome, also known as ciliary block glaucoma, can occur spontaneously or following any type of intraocular surgery.

Pathomechanism:
- The lens may be proportionally abnormally large or swollen, “phacomorphic glaucoma”
- Aqueous humour accumulates in the vitreous body (posterior aqueous humour misdirection) or behind and around the crystalline lens (perilenticular misdirection) or behind the iridocapsular diaphragm or posterior chamber intraocular lens (PCL) after extracapsular cataract surgery, with or without PCL implantation, “retrocapsular misdirection”
- Frequently precipitated by ocular surgery and flat anterior chamber
- Predisposition may be similar in both eyes particularly in small eyes

Treatment:
Medical treatment
- Parasympatholytics (atropine, cyclopentolate) both initially and for long-term pupillary dilation and cycloplegia [I,C]
- Aqueous production suppressants given orally and/or topically [I,D]
- Hyperosmotics (Ch. 3.3.1.3) [I,D]
Miotics are contraindicated!

Surgical treatment
- A patent iridotomy must be present or, if not present, iridotomy should be performed [I,D]
- YAG laser vitreolysis/capsulotomy, especially in aphakia, pseudophakia [II,C]
- Anterior vitrectomy, especially in aphakia, pseudophakia [II,C]
- Cyclo diode laser
- In selected cases lens extraction [II,D]
2.5.3.2 Iris and ciliary body cysts, intraocular tumors

**Treatment:**
- a) Tumour irradiation or excision
- b) Filtering surgery
- c) Cyclodestruction

2.5.3.3 Silicon oil or other tamponading fluids or gas implanted in the vitreous cavity

**Treatment:**
- a) Topical/systemic IOP lowering medications as needed
- b) Silicon oil or gas aspiration
- c) Filtering surgery
- d) Drainage device
- e) Cyclodestruction

2.5.3.4 Uveal effusion

It is due to:
- Inflammation as in scleritis, uveitis, HIV infection
- Increased choroidal venous pressure as in nanophthalmos, scleral buckling, panretinal photocoagulation, central retinal vein occlusion, arterio-venous communication
- Tumor

**Treatment:**
- a) Anti-inflammatory medication (for 1)
- b) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medication as needed (for 1,2 and 3)
- c) Relaxation of scleral buckling; vitrectomy, sclerectomy in nanophthalmus (for Tumor excision or irradiation (for 3)
- d) Cyclodestruction

2.5.3.5 Retinopathy of prematurity (stage V)

**Features:**
- **Signs and Symptoms:**
  - Variable discomfort, pain, redness, corneal oedema IOP ≥ 21 mmHg
  - Axially shallow anterior chamber

**Treatment:**
- a) Topical and systemic IOP lowering medications
- b) Cyclodestruction
- c) Filtering procedure with or without antimetabolite
- d) Drainage devices
2.5.3.6 Congenital anomalies that can be associated with secondary glaucoma

These conditions are extremely variable in pathogenesis, clinical presentation and required management; an extensive discussion is outside the scope of this chapter.

**Etiology:**
Familial iris hypoplasia, anomalous superficial iris vessels, aniridia, Sturge-Weber syndrome, neurofibromatosis, Marfan's syndrome, Pierre Robin syndrome, homocystinuria, goniodysgenesis, Lowe's syndrome, microcornea, microspherophakia, rubella, broad thumb syndrome, persistent hyperplastic primary vitreous.

**Pathomechanism:**
Angle-closure is caused by pushing forward the ciliary body and iris. Increase of volume of the posterior segment of the eye.

**Features:**

**Signs and Symptoms:**
- IOP > 21 mmHg
- Pain, redness, corneal oedema
- Axially shallow anterior chamber
- Laser iridotomy and surgical iridectomy are not effective

**Some differential diagnoses:**
Acute IOP elevation with corneal oedema but open-angle may result from Posner Schlossman syndrome (iridocyclitic crisis), or from endothelitis/trabeculitis as in disciform herpetic keratitis.
Neovascular glaucoma may be associated with an open or closed-angle and may mimic some signs and the symptoms of acute angle-closure.

**Treatment:**
Treatment to be adapted to the primary anomaly, the mechanism of IOP elevation and the quality of life of the patient.
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CHAPTER 3

Treatment Principles and Options
3.1 - GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF GLAUCOMA TREATMENT

The purpose of this chapter is to give a summary overview and it is not meant to be an all-inclusive text.

Figure 3.1. THE WHOM -TO -TREAT GRAPH The rate of ganglion cell loss and resulting functional decay is very different among different glaucoma eyes. Quality of life is clearly reduced when visual field defects become severe, cf. the severe functional impairment. Line A represents the effect of aging alone. In glaucoma loss of visual function is often much more rapid. An older patient, diagnosed late in life, with a moderate rate of progression (B) has a much lower risk of developing severe functional impairment than a younger patient with the same amount of field loss at diagnosis and rate of progression (C). A very slow rate of progression may be tolerated by the patient and treatment left unchanged (D), while a rapid rate of progression (E) needs a considerably lower target pressure.

It needs to be remembered that it is the extent of binocular visual field or the field of the better eye that largely determines the patient’s quality of life, while the rates of progression of each eye separately are needed to determine treatment.

To assess the likely Rate of Progression (RoP) is an important part of patient management and the measured rate is a very important factor that should determine target pressure and treatment intensity (See Ch. Introduction) [I.D]. Many studies have found that progression is usually linear\(^1\), but the goal of intensifying treatment is to decrease rate of progression.
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Please observe that perimetric printouts of progression using the MD or VFI indices are age-corrected, so that a normal eye would not show any age-related deterioration over time.

The goal of glaucoma treatment is to maintain the patient’s visual function and related quality of life, at a sustainable cost. The cost of treatment in terms of inconvenience and side effects as well as financial implications for the individual and society requires careful evaluation (See Ch. Introduction). Quality of life is closely linked with visual function and, overall, patients with early to moderate glaucoma damage have good visual function and modest reduction in quality of life, while quality of life is considerably reduced if both eyes have advanced visual function loss.

Glaucoma is still a leading cause of blindness in Europe. A considerable percentage of glaucoma patients become blind in both eyes or encounter serious field loss in both eyes. Major risk factors for glaucoma blindness are the severity of the disease at presentation and life expectancy. A 60-year-old patient with bilateral moderate visual function damage at diagnosis has a greater risk of blindness than an 85-year-old patient with a similar amount of damage. Similarly a young patient with mild bilateral damage is at much larger risk of disability in his lifetime than an 80-year-old patient with moderate unilateral disease. Thus, treatment must be individualised to the needs and rate of progression (RoP) of each patient (See Fig. 1 Ch. Introduction) [I,D]. The risk of ever encountering loss of quality of life from glaucoma should determine target pressure, intensity of treatment, and frequency of follow-up [I,D].

Thus, patients with severe functional loss or younger patients with manifest disease should have more aggressive treatment and closer follow-up than patients with little or no risk, e.g., very old patients with early field loss or unilateral disease [II,D]. Glaucoma suspects, e.g., patients with elevated IOP and otherwise normal findings, have even smaller risks.

In most patients with advanced glaucoma and reasonable life expectancy, aggressive IOP lowering treatment might be recommended [I,D]. Very old patients with mild loss, relatively low IOP levels and significant health problems, might prefer being followed without treatment (See also Ch. Introduction) [II,D]. When treatment options are discussed with a patient, his general health status and personal preferences must be considered and respected. It is also important to ensure that patients are able to comply and persist with therapy [I,D].

Disease progression rates (RoP) in POAG, the most common form of glaucoma differ very much between patients, from rapid to very slow. This makes it necessary to determine the RoP in patients with manifest glaucoma (See Fig. 1 Ch. Introduction) [I,D]. Many patients with POAG/NTG show no or only small deterioration despite years of follow-up, while rapid progression is common in others, e.g. in exfoliation glaucoma. Glaucoma patients may continue to show progression despite treatment, even with IOP levels within the statistically normal range. Relying on tonometry alone for glaucoma follow-up is, therefore, insufficient regardless of IOP level [I,D]. Determining the rate of visual field progression is a new standard in glaucoma care. The EGS recommends three visual field tests per year for the first two years after...
diagnosis to make it possible to identify rapidly progressing patients [II,D]. After two years of perimetric monitoring without progression being detected the frequency of tests may be reduced [II,D].

Once the progression rate has been determined the target pressure is re-evaluated and be based on the measured rate of progression and IOP values measured during the follow-up time [II,D]. Risk factors are then less important than at diagnosis (See Ch. 2.2).

**Individualized glaucoma treatment aims at providing glaucoma management tailored to the individual needs of the patient; patients with severe functional loss or younger patients with manifest disease should have more aggressive treatment and closer follow-up than patients with little or no risk, e.g., patients with ocular hypertension or elderly patients with mild field loss and low IOP levels**[19-23] [I,D]. (See FC VI)

In most Western countries approximately half of patients with manifest glaucoma are undiagnosed[24-27], and glaucoma is often diagnosed late[8]. Improved case finding and possibly screening of high risk groups are necessary to allow diagnosis at earlier disease stages. Screening options for high risk groups should be evaluated. To discover and treat those at risk of losing functionally significant vision is a more important goal for effective glaucoma management than widespread treatment of patients with ocular hypertension.

Currently, the only approach proven to be efficient in preserving visual function is lowering IOP[28-31] (See Ch. Introduction and FC VI to XI) [I,A]. Other possible treatment areas have been investigated, including ocular blood flow and neuroprotection. There are experimental as well population based studies indicating that perfusion pressure may be relevant in glaucoma[31-37] but very difficult to measure[38] a specific glaucoma phenotype characterised by vascular dysregulation has been described[32,33]. An increase of IOP will lead to a reduction of perfusion pressure. Blood pressure levels may also be important in glaucoma[17,36,37]. However, there is no conclusive evidence to support the idea that perfusion pressure can be increased by manipulating blood pressure or ocular blood flow in glaucoma patients.

Neuroprotection can be defined as a “therapeutic approach” aiming to directly prevent, hinder and, in some cases, reverse neuronal cell damage. Since glaucoma patients can continue deteriorating in spite of an apparently well controlled IOP, the need for effective non-IOP related treatments is widely acknowledged. Several compounds have been shown to be neuroprotective in animal models of experimental glaucoma[39-43].

So far, no compound has reached a sufficient level of evidence to be considered as a neuroprotectant in humans. A large long-term randomized trial using a neuroprotective agent, memantine, was analysed several years ago, but with negative results. A more recent study claiming that topical brimonidine might haven neuro-protective properties in glaucoma patients, has been questioned in a systematic review on neuroprotection in glaucoma[44,45].

**In most western countries, approximately half of patients with manifest glaucoma are undiagnosed**[24-27].
3.2 - TARGET IOP AND QUALITY OF LIFE

3.2.1 Target Intraocular Pressure (Target IOP)

Therapy in glaucoma management aims to lower IOP to slow the rate of visual field deterioration. Target IOP is the upper limit of the IOP estimated to be compatible with a rate of progression sufficiently slow to maintain vision-related quality of life in the expected lifetime of the patient. It should be re-evaluated regularly and, additionally, when progression of disease is identified or when ocular or systemic comorbidities develop [II,D].

There is no single Target IOP level that is appropriate for every patient, so the Target IOP needs to be estimated separately for each eye of every patient (See FC IX and X) [II,D].

The treatment target is a compromise between reducing the risk of symptomatic vision loss and the consequences of therapy. Patient preferences should be taken into account.
Factors to consider when setting the Target IOP include\textsuperscript{19, 28, 46} [I,D]:

- **Stage of glaucoma**
  - The greater the pre-existing glaucoma damage, the lower the Target IOP should be

- **IOP level before treatment**
  - The lower the untreated IOP levels, the lower the Target IOP should be

- **Age and life expectancy**
  - Whilst younger age implies greater life expectancy and, therefore, a lower Target IOP, older age is a risk factor for more rapid progression

- **Rate of progression during follow-up**
  - The faster the rate of progression, the lower the Target IOP should be

- **The presence of other risk factors, e.g., exfoliation syndrome**

- **The side effects and risks of treatment**

- **Patient preference**

When taking the IOP reading, it is advisable to consider CCT [I,C]. Several clinical studies have identified that worse initial visual field loss is the most important predictor of blindness from glaucoma\textsuperscript{7, 47-49}. When considering the Target IOP for one eye, the vision status of the other eye should be taken into account. In a newly-diagnosed patient, the rate of progression is unknown and Target IOP is based on risk factors for progression (See Ch. 2.2.2.1). After sufficient follow-up and with sufficient visual field tests to reliably determine the progression status, usually 2-3 years, the importance of the risk factors for decision-making decreases and importance of the measured rate of progression increases; the rate of progression should be used to adjust the Target IOP, taking into account IOP levels over the observation period, life expectancy, and current levels of visual function damage (See FC X)\textsuperscript{22}. 
3.2.1.1 Setting the Target IOP

There is little evidence base to support any particular algorithm to set the Target IOP, but data from clinical trials may be used as a guide. As clinical trials have shown that progression occurs in eyes that have an IOP within the statistically normal range (<21 mmHg), older recommendations that treated IOP should be simply within the statistically normal range are no longer regarded as sufficiently ambitious.

In newly diagnosed patients, the Target IOP is initially determined according to stage of disease and the starting IOP, with the treatment goal being a specific IOP level or a percentage reduction, whichever is the lower\(^5\) [II,D]. For instance, in early glaucoma, an IOP of <21 mmHg with a reduction of at least 20% may be sufficient. In moderate glaucoma, an IOP <18 mmHg with a reduction of at least 30% may be required [II,D]. Lower Target pressures may be needed in more advanced disease [I,D]. The Target IOP based on stage of disease and IOP then needs to be refined according to the presence of other risk factors, expected longevity of the patient, the burden of therapy and the patient preferences (See FC X)\(^46\) [II,D].

**FC X - Setting the Target IOP**

The above factors need to be considered as a whole in deciding the individual target pressure required

\(^*\) Consider central corneal thickness
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3.2.1.2 Achieving the Target IOP

Initial therapy may be with topical medication or laser trabeculoplasty \([I,A]\). The principles of adjusting therapy to achieve treatment targets are shown in Flow Charts IX - X - XI. To minimize side effects, the least amount of medication required to achieve the desired therapeutic response should be given. If a patient fails to attain the Target IOP during follow-up, and additional therapy is being considered, then the Target IOP should be reaffirmed to ensure that it is still appropriate \([II,D]\).
3.2.1.3 Re-evaluating Target IOP

If the visual field is worsening at a rate that may threaten Quality of Life during the patient’s expected lifetime, then the Target IOP, if previously met, should be lowered; a further 20% reduction has been shown to be effective\(^5\). If the Target IOP had not previously been met, then additional therapy should be considered, in consultation with the patient, weighing the risks and benefits of the additional intervention (See FC XI) [I,D].

If there are sufficient visual fields to judge the rate of progression, and this rate is sufficiently slow not to impact on the patient’s quality of life, then the Target IOP may be revised upward if the Target IOP has not been met or if the patient is on excessive therapy or is experiencing side effects [II,D].

If there are insufficient visual fields to judge the rate of progression and the Target IOP has not been met, then additional therapy should be considered, as above [II,D].
3.3 - ANTIGLAUCOMA DRUGS

Several prospective randomized multi-centre controlled clinical studies have clearly established the benefits of IOP reduction in managing POAG at various stages of the disease whether of the ‘high pressure’ or ‘normal pressure’ variety as well as reducing the conversion of OHT to POAG\(^{10,18,28,52-56}\) \([I,A]\).

Most forms of open-angle glaucoma and many types of chronic angle-closure glaucoma are initially treated with topical and occasionally orally administrated agents that act either on the reduction of aqueous humour production or enhancement of the aqueous outflow or on both. An uncommon exception to initiating treatment with medical therapy is for eyes with a very high level of IOP at presentation causing an immediate threat to sight. Additionally many forms of childhood glaucoma are managed with early surgery \([I,D]\).

Although acute angle closure with or without glaucoma needs rapid laser or incisional surgery, medical treatment usually will be initiated as a first step in most cases. Laser treatment may be a suitable first option for patients with known intolerance or allergy to topical agents or suspected poor compliance \([I,A]\).

When initially selecting medical therapy it is important to consider some relevant patient’s characteristics as well as features related to the drug (See FC XII and XIII).

---

**FC XIII - Medical Management - Choosing Therapy**

**Systemic Contra-indications**
- Exclude allergies
- Ask for specific diseases: asthma, arrhythmia,...
- Note concomitant medications

**Ocular Characteristics**

**Ocular Surface**
- In selected patients, consider preservative-free options

**Concomitant Eye Diseases**

- Prostaglandins
- \(\beta\)-blockers
- \(\alpha_2\)-agonists
- CAIs
- others
3.3.1. Start with Monotherapy

It is recommended to initiate the treatment with monotherapy (See FC XIII - XIV) [II,D]. Treatment is considered “effective” when the achieved IOP reduction on treatment is comparable to the published average range for that drug in a similar population. According to a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials, the highest reduction of IOP is obtained with prostaglandins, followed by non-selective b-blockers, alpha-adrenergic agonists, selective b-blockers and at last topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors57.

It should be noted, however, that treatment effects depend on baseline IOP, with larger reductions in patients with higher pre-treatment pressure levels. At low IOP values medical and/or laser therapy have smaller effect on IOP. Therefore, when evaluating the efficacy of a therapy or a drug it is important to consider the pre-treatment baseline IOP58.

If this initial therapy reduces IOP to the target and is well tolerated, therapy can be left unchanged, but the patient needs to be monitored with regular checking of endpoints [I,D].
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3.3.1.1 Switch to Another Monotherapy

If the initial therapy does not seem effective, with the target pressure not being reached, or the drug is not tolerated, one should switch to another monotherapy rather than adding a second drug [II,D]. This applies also to prostaglandin analogues, (PGA) when used as first choice. As there are non-responders to certain PG analogues the switch to another PGA or another class of monotherapy might be of benefit [II,D]. Laser therapy may also be a therapeutical option (See FC XIII) [I,A].

3.3.1.2 Add Second Drug / Combination Therapy

If the first choice monotherapy is well tolerated and has effective IOP lowering but has not succeeded in reaching the target pressure, the addition of a second drug should be considered [II,D]. While individualizing adjunctive therapy, issues to consider in selecting an adjunctive agent include additive efficacy, safety, frequency of dosing and cost. It is recommended to combine agents with different modes of action, one that affects production of aqueous humour and another that influences outflow [II,D]. In general, treatment with a combination of agents of different classes is associated with superior IOP lowering efficacy compared to each of the components used alone [I,A] (see Tables 3.1-3.2). However poly-drug regimens for glaucoma pose several important clinical challenges: multiple topical treatments may jeopardize adherence\(^{59,60}\), result in reduced efficacy through wash-out of earlier medications with later medications\(^{61,62}\) and increase exposure to preservatives\(^{63,64}\). Therefore, fixed combination therapy, when available, should be preferable to two separate instillations of agents [I, B].

Currently, all fixed combinations available in Europe contain a beta-blocker. However, beta-blocking agents can be associated with systemic side effects and need to be used cautiously in patients with serious concomitant cardiopulmonary diseases. It is therefore mandatory to exclude patients with these contra-indications before prescribing fixed combinations [I,D]. It is not recommended to combine two bottles of fixed combinations as both will contain a \(\beta\)-blocker and double the amount of this active drug with the risk of more systemic side effects [I,D]. On the other hand, fixed combinations containing timolol may be associated with a better local tolerability in some patients, though data are limited\(^{65}\).

Fixed combinations usually have clinical equivalence to unfixed combinations; slight differences in IOP-lowering efficacy may be seen in some cases\(^{66,67}\). Occasionally IOP-lowering agents are available as fixed combinations in some countries and are in development in others. A new fixed combination without a \(\beta\)-blocker, containing a carbonic anhydrase inhibitor (brinzolamide 1.0%) and an alpha 2 adrenergic receptor agonist (brimonidine tartrate 0.2%) has been recently approved by the FDA and submitted to EMEA but is not yet widely available. Also, a new fixed combination of tafluprost 0.0015% and timolol 0.5% has been submitted to EMEA. Combination therapy, either as poly-drug regime or as fixed combination, is not recommended as first-line treatment [II,D]. However, in selected cases, such as advanced glaucoma and/or very high levels of IOP, the requested IOP reduction
may exceed the efficacy range that can be expected by a single agent. Therefore, although the standard treatment algorithm remains unchanged, the time interval between incremental therapeutic steps may be decreased, combination therapy, fixed or unfixed, can be adopted more quickly than usual or even immediately [II,D]. If combination therapy fails to lower IOP sufficiently, one can either substitute the second drug or add a third medication to the fixed combination. At this stage however laser or incisional surgery, if possible, should be considered [II,D].

Table 3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined IOP-lowering topical medications</th>
<th>Timolol 0.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bimatoprost 0.03%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latanoprost 0.005%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travoprost 0.0004%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brimonidine 0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorzolamide 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine 2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine 4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine 2% Metipranolol 0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinzolamide 1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tafluprost 0.0015%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRENT DRUG</th>
<th>Alpha2-agonists</th>
<th>Beta-Blockers</th>
<th>Topical CAIs</th>
<th>Cholinergic</th>
<th>Prostaglandin/Prostamides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha2-agonists</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-Blockers</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical CAIs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholinergic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin/Prostamides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.3.2 The Effect on IOP

The pre-post IOP graph shown below is a useful tool to show the IOP changes induced by treatment and its use should be encouraged in publications.

**Figure 3.2. The Pre - Post IOP Graph.**

A simple graph can be used to show the IOP lowering effect. Different shapes/colours can be used to show different patient series or different observation times. Vertical and horizontal lines show respectively Pre and Post Treatment IOP levels of interest, here placed as examples at 15 and 21 mmHg. Areas of desired effect under the oblique “no effect” line can thus be defined.

Treatment “A” blue dots: eye n 1 lies on the “no effect” line. Eyes n 2 and n 3 both show a large effect, with only the former below the 15 mmHg line. Eye n 4 shows a sizeable decrease of IOP but the absolute level is still >21 mmHg.

Treatment “B” red dots. Eyes n 1 and n 2 show a slight increase and a slight decrease of IOP, respectively; eye n 3 shows a very large effect, as well as eye n 4, both remaining below the 15 mmHg line.
REMEMBER: [I,D]

* Assess each eye individually when deciding the most appropriate therapy.

* It is essential to involve patients as informed partners in decisions regarding the management of their condition.

* The least amount of medication (and consequent inconvenience, costs and side effects) to achieve the therapeutic response should be a consistent goal.

* A therapeutic medical trial on one eye first can be useful to determine the IOP lowering efficacy, although not always logistically feasible or advisable (e.g., very high IOP or advanced disease).

* Usually there is no need to start treatment until all baseline diagnostic data are collected, unless the IOP is very high and there is severe damage.

* After diagnosis it is advisable to measure untreated IOP more than once before initiating IOP-lowering treatment.

The following pages outline the most frequently used anti-glaucoma medications, and emphasize their mode of action, dosage and side effects. A complete list of all possible medications is beyond the scope of the Guidelines.
Antiglaucoma drugs have been available since 1875. The following diagram shows the chronology of the introduction of topical intraocular pressure-lowering medications (Fig. 3.3).

Systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitors are available since 1955

**Figure 3.3.** IOP lowering molecules and year of first clinical use. FC: fixed combination. In black: monotherapy.

There are six classes of topical antiglaucoma drugs. The following tables contain only the most common classes and compounds, their most common side effects and contraindications. They are listed in order of first and second line drugs. The seventh category is systemically administered osmotics. The use of some compounds like epinephrine and dipivefrin has decreased significantly since drugs with better efficacy and fewer side effects became available. The text should be considered as a general guide, and cannot be all-inclusive.
### First Line Drugs

#### Table 3.3 Class: PROSTAGLANDIN ANALOGUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contraindications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prostaglandin analogues</strong></td>
<td>Latanoprost 0.005%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Local: Conjunctival hyperaemia, burning, stinging, foreign body sensation, itching, increased pigmentation of periocular skin, periorbital fat atrophy, eyelash changes. Increased iris pigmentation, (in green-brown, blue/grey-brown or yellow-brown irides). Cystoid macular oedema (aphakic/pseudophakic patients) with posterior lens capsule rupture or in eyes with known risk factors for macular oedema, reactivation of herpes keratitis, uveitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tafluprost 0.0015%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic: Dyspnea, chest pain/angina, muscle-back pain, exacerbation of asthma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travoprost 0.003% - 0.004%</td>
<td>Increase in uveo-scleral outflow</td>
<td>25-35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prostamide</strong></td>
<td>Bimatoprost 0.03%</td>
<td>Increase in uveo-scleral outflow</td>
<td>25-35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.4 Class: Beta-RECEPTOR ANTAGONISTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonselective</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timolol 0.1-0.25-0.5%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td>20-25%</td>
<td>Asthma, history of COPD, sinus bradycardia (&lt; 60 beats/min), heart block, or cardiac failure</td>
<td>Local: Conjunctiva hyperaemia, SPK, dry eye, corneal anesthesia, allergic blepharo-conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levobunolol 0.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metipranolol 0.1-0.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carteolol 0.5-2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Befunolol 0.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta-1-selective</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>±20%</td>
<td>Asthma, history of COPD, sinus bradycardia (&lt; 60 beats/min), heart block, or cardiac–coronary failure</td>
<td>Local: Burning, stinging more pronounced than with non-selective compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaxolol 0.5%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic: Respiratory and cardiac side effects less pronounced than with non-selective compounds, depression, erectile dysfunction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.5 Class: CARBONIC ANHYDRASE INHIBITORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinzolamide 1%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Patients with low corneal endothelial cell count, due to increased risk of corneal oedema</td>
<td>Local: Burning, stinging, bitter taste, superficial punctate keratitis, blurred vision, tearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorzolamide 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic: Headache, urticaria, angioedema, pruritus, asthenia, dizziness, paresthesia and transient myopia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetozolamide</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td>30-40%</td>
<td>Depressed sodium and/or potassium blood levels, cases of kidney and liver disease or dysfunction, suprarenal gland failure, hyperchloremic acidosis.</td>
<td>Systemic: Paresthesias, hearing dysfunction, tinnitus, loss of appetite, taste alteration nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, depression, decreased libido, kidney stones, blood dyscrasias, metabolic acidosis, electrolyte imbalance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methozolamide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorphenamide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 3.6 Class: Alpha-2 SELECTIVE ADRENERGIC AGONISTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apraclonidine 0.5-1.0%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td>25-35%</td>
<td>Oral monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitor users</td>
<td><strong>Local:</strong> Lid retraction, conjunctival blanching, limited mydriasis (apraclonidine), allergic blepharoconjunctivitis, periorcular contact dermatitis, allergy or delayed hypersensitivity (apraclonidine and clonidine &gt;brimonidine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brimonidine 0.2%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production and increases uveo-scleral outflow</td>
<td>18-25%</td>
<td>Pediatric age</td>
<td><strong>Systemic:</strong> Dry mouth and nose (apraclonidine), Systemic hypotension, bradycardia (clonidine), fatigue, sleepiness (brimonidine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clonidine 0.125 -0.5%</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very low body weight in adults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.3.4 Second Line Drugs

Table 3.7 Class: NON SELECTIVE ADRENERGIC AGONISTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-selective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine</td>
<td>Decreases aqueous humour production and may increases uveo-scleral outflow</td>
<td>15-20%</td>
<td>Occludable angles (iridotomy needed) Aphakic patients (macular oedema)</td>
<td>Local: Conjunctival hyperemia, conjunctival pigmentation. Burning, stinging, ocular pain, blurred vision, macular oedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25-2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic: systemic hypertension, headache, anxiety, confusion, chest pain, shortness of breath, tachycardia, sweating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipivefrin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct-acting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocarpine</td>
<td>Facilitates aqueous outflow by contraction of the ciliary muscle, tension on the scleral spur and traction on the trabecular meshwork</td>
<td>20-25%</td>
<td>Post-operative inflammation, uveitis neovascular glaucoma. Patient at risk for retinal detachment, spastic gastrointestinal disturbances, peptic ulcer, pronounced bradycardia, hypotension, recent myocardial infarction, epilepsy, Parkinsonism</td>
<td>Local: Reduced vision due to miosis and accommodative myopia, conjunctival, hyperaemia, retinal detachment, lens opacities, precipitation of angle closure, iris cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systemic: Intestinal cramps, bronchospasm, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbachol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75-3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indirect-acting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demecarium bromide</td>
<td></td>
<td>15-25%</td>
<td>Same as direct acting drugs</td>
<td>Local and systemic: Side effects are similar but more pronounced than with direct acting compounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.125-0.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecothiophate iodide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diisopropyl fluorophosphates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025-0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.9 OSMOTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>IOP reduction</th>
<th>Contra-indications</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Dehydration and reduction in vitreous volume</td>
<td>15-20%</td>
<td>Cardiac or renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isosorbide</td>
<td>Posterior movement of the iris-lens plane with deepening of the AC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td></td>
<td>15-30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.4.1 Prostaglandin Analogues

Since their development in the 1990s, prostaglandin derivatives (latanoprost, travoprost, bimatoprost and tafluprost) (Table 3.3) have progressively replaced beta-blockers as first-choice/first line therapy. This is mainly because they are the most effective IOP-lowering agents, lack relevant systemic side effects and require just once-daily administration. Recently, a number of latanoprost generics as well as preservative-free and BAC-free prostaglandin formulations have entered the glaucoma market. The primary mechanism of action of prostaglandins is to increase uveoscleral outflow, reducing IOP by 25%-35%. Reduction of IOP starts approximately 2-4 h after the first administration, with the peak effect within approximately 8-12 h. Thus, IOP measurements taken in the morning represent the peak effect of the prostaglandin analogues for patients administering the drug in the evening. Clinical trials that measured 24-hour IOP suggested that evening administration is generally preferable because it gave a better circadian IOP profile [II, B]. These studies also reported that eyes treated with PG derivatives have reduced short-term IOP variability as compared to eyes treated with other classes of drugs.

Maximum IOP lowering is often achieved 3-5 weeks from commencement of treatment. Differences among drugs within this class in the capability of reducing IOP did not exceed 1 mmHg. When combined with most of the other antiglaucoma drug classes, prostaglandin agents provide additive IOP lowering.

Non-responders to prostaglandin analogues (e.g. eyes with IOP reductions of less than 10% or 15% from baseline) are fewer than 10%. Some reports indicate that poor responders to one prostaglandin agent might respond to another agent within the same class. Conjunctival hyperemia, generally mild, is a common finding with slight difference in frequency and level among agents within this drug class. It usually decreases over time. Other PG side effects are reported in Table 3.3.

Details on the mode of action, IOP lowering effect, contraindications and side effects of other first line drugs (β-blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, alpha-2 selective adrenergic agonists) and second line drugs are listed in Tables 3.5-3.10.
3.3.5 Local Toxicity of Topical IOP - Lowering Treatment. The Role of Preservatives

Long-term topical glaucoma medications may cause and/or exacerbate pre-existing ocular surface disease (OSD), such as dry eye, meibomian gland dysfunction and chronic allergy\textsuperscript{77}, which, in glaucoma patients, has a much higher prevalence than in the general population\textsuperscript{63,64,78}. OSD may follow chronic use of antiglaucoma medication and/or the preservative benzalkonium chloride (BAC). BAC, a quaternary ammonium compound is the most frequently used preservative agent in eye drops and its usage correlates well with the signs and symptoms of OSD\textsuperscript{63, 64,79-82}. Such signs and symptoms can diminish if BAC-preserved drops are substituted with non-preserved drops\textsuperscript{63}. An unwanted effect of BAC is a reduction in the success rate of filtering surgery\textsuperscript{83-85}. In vitro studies suggest that alternative preservatives are significantly less toxic than BAC\textsuperscript{86-91}. Other therapeutic possibilities are the use of preservative-free or BAC-free medication, decreasing the number of preserved eyedrops i.e. by using fixed combinations; treating the ocular surface with unpreserved tear substitutes and performing earlier laser or surgery. When considering OSD four factors have to be considered: the active compound, the specific preservative, the ability of the patient to use single-dose preparations and the patient’s ocular surface.

The European Medicines Agency (EMEA) has suggested that the use of preservatives should be avoided in “patients who do not tolerate eye drops with preservatives” and in those on long-term treatment, or to use “concentration at the minimum level consistent with satisfactory antimicrobial function in each individual preparation”, with a specific indication to avoid mercury containing preparations\textsuperscript{92}. Not all patients are sensitive to preservatives and not all the local side effects observed with topical antiglaucoma medications are induced by preservatives. Particular attention should be paid to glaucoma patients with pre-existing OSD or to those developing dry eye or ocular irritation over time. This can be done by careful assessment of redness of the eyelid margin, positive corneal and conjunctival fluorescein staining or reduced tear break-up time [I,D].

3.3.6 Generic IOP - Lowering Topical Medications

By definition a generic drug is identical to a brand name drug in dosage, strength, route of administration, performance characteristics and intended use. For the purposes of drug approval, the interchangeability of a generic drug and the corresponding brand-name drug is based on the criterion of “essential similarity”. In ophthalmology this concept is problematic, because it is difficult to prove “essential similarity” in clinical studies. With systemic drugs bioequivalence studies are performed using blood samples to determine whether the plasma concentration within certain limits equals the branded drug. With topical eye drops such studies obviously cannot be performed. No clinical studies are usually required for generic approval in ophthalmology, and a 10% difference between the concentration of the active principle between the generic and the branded products is considered acceptable. Whereas the active principle is assumed to be equal, the adjuvants can vary considerably. This is a critical issue
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because different adjuvants may alter the viscosity, osmolarity and pH of the eye drops and therefore have an impact on both tolerability and corneal penetration. Nevertheless anti-glaucoma generics drugs are currently prescribed at a large scale, as many drugs are becoming off patent. For latanoprost, the generic share is more than 65% in most European countries. To which degree these generics are similar in efficacy and tolerability is not well studied. Only few clinical studies have compared the effect of generic and brand IOP lowering medications in glaucoma, with variable results depending on the type of generic drug\textsuperscript{93,94}. Other studies have shown a difference between the branded and the generic preparations concerning the size and amount of drops in the bottle, the structure of the bottle and the bottle tips\textsuperscript{95-98}. Safety issues with corneal epithelial disorders have also been described with generics, due to an additional stabiliser compound\textsuperscript{99}. When switching patients from branded to generic drugs, the IOP should be closely monitored \[I,D\].

3.3.7 Dietary Supplementation and Glaucoma

Although there is no clinical evidence for clinical benefits arising from the use of dietary supplements in glaucoma, a recent survey has found that 1 in 9 glaucoma patients were using complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). Most were using herbal medicines (34.5%), dietary modifications (22.7%) or dietary supplements (18.8%)\textsuperscript{100}. Based on the fact that some glaucoma patients continue to progress at low IOPs, there is much room for hypotheses, preclinical experiments, clinical trials and speculation. Some data from experimental studies suggest that dietary supplementation may reduce oxidative stress\textsuperscript{101}, or that the omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) decrease IOP in rats\textsuperscript{102}. At the present time there is no robust interventional dietary supplementation study demonstrating the positive effect of such a treatment in glaucoma patients. Observational studies have suggested a reduced risk for glaucoma with higher fruit and vegetable intake\textsuperscript{103} or higher omega 3 PUFAs consumption in selected populations\textsuperscript{104}. Conversely there is evidence that some of these compounds may cause harm, such as an increased intake of magnesium associated with a higher incidence of glaucoma\textsuperscript{105}.

**Dietary Supplementation**

At the present time there is no robust interventional dietary supplementation study demonstrating the positive effect of such a treatment in glaucoma patients.

3.3.8 Management of Glaucoma During Pregnancy and Breast-feeding

Pregnancy-related changes in ocular physiology can influence IOP as well as the reliability of its measurements. Changes in hormonal levels, are thought to induce an IOP-lowering effect that increases throughout pregnancy (but particularly during the 24\textsuperscript{th}-30\textsuperscript{th} week) and can last for months after delivery\textsuperscript{106-108}. The most sensitive period is the first trimester due to concerns relating teratogenicity,
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as the majority of IOP-lowering medications are within class C (See Table 3.10) meaning that adverse effects have been seen in animals or that there are no human or animal data (See Table 3.11). Therefore, for a woman with glaucoma who is of child bearing age, who might wish to conceive, the treatment strategy during pregnancy should be discussed [I,D]. The patient should be instructed to inform the ophthalmologist when pregnancy occurs. The potential risks to the fetus (and neonate) of continuing anti-glaucoma medications must be balanced against the risk of vision loss in the mother [I,D]. As IOP levels generally decrease during pregnancy, temporary treatment discontinuation can be considered under strict follow-up in some patients. However, if continuation of treatment is mandated, the lowest effective dosage of medication should be used. Moreover, systemic absorption should be reduced by punctal occlusion, eyelid closure, and blotting excess drops away during administration\textsuperscript{109} [I,D].

Drugs are classified in Classes A to X for use during pregnancy based on a hierarchy of estimated fetal risk (See Tables 3.10-3.11)\textsuperscript{110}. Although very similar, some countries (e.g., Sweden, Australia, the Netherlands, Switzerland, and Denmark) have their own classification systems.

Table 3.10 Drug classification for use of drugs during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>Controlled studies show no risk. Adequate well-controlled studies in pregnant women have failed to demonstrate risk to the foetus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>No evidence of risk in humans. Either animal findings show risk, but human findings do not or, if no adequate human studies have been done, animal findings are negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>Risk cannot be ruled out. Human studies are lacking, and animal studies are either positive for foetal risk or lacking as well. However, potential benefits may justify the potential risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>Positive evidence of risk. Investigational or post-marketing data show risk to the foetus. Nevertheless, potential benefits may outweigh the potential risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class X</td>
<td>Contraindicated in pregnancy. Studies in animals or human, or investigational or post-marketing reports, have shown foetal risk which clearly outweighs any possible benefit to the patient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brimonidine is a Class B medication; however, there are reports of central nervous system side effects in young children\textsuperscript{111}. The ability of this drug to cross the placenta and the lack of well controlled human studies during pregnancy do not allow ruling out possible adverse effects on the fetus. Betaxolol is also in class B and is characterized by a larger volume of distribution in the fetal circulation, high binding to proteins and therefore fewer central nervous systemic effects on the fetus. Timolol although class C, is available in low dosage (0.1%), in slow-release preparations which can be used once daily. Although these formulations are thought to reduce systemic absorption, strong evidence to support this is still lacking. Once-a-day timolol 0.1% gel may be a valid option if a beta-blocker is considered\textsuperscript{[I,D]}. Prostaglandin-analogues should be considered with caution because of the theoretical risk of increased uterine contractility in pregnancy. If premature contractions appear PG should be discontinued immediately\textsuperscript{[I,D]}.

There have been no reports on any fetal complications related to the use of topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors in humans, but animal studies have shown that high systemic doses, are associated with low weight offspring (Manufacturer’s Information: Azopt product monograph. Fort Worth, Texas, Alcon Ophthalmics, 1998 Manufacturer’s Information: Trusopt product monograph. West Point, Pennsylvania, Merck Inc., 1999).

At clinically used concentrations, BAC has no known impact on the fetus\textsuperscript{112}. Table 3.11 summarizes known adverse effects of anti-glaucoma drugs during pregnancy and breast-feeding.

Although results from animal studies are worrisome, the overall level of evidence for the risk of giving anti-glaucoma drugs to pregnant women is low.

Laser trabeculoplasty is considered to be a safe alternative\textsuperscript{113} except in patients with angle dysgenesis. However, IOP lowering success is lower in younger patients, such as in women of childbearing age\textsuperscript{114}.

Laser cyclodestruction, in spite of having been suggested as a valid option should be considered with caution because of risk of sight-threatening complications\textsuperscript{115}. If surgery is planned, there are a number of considerations to be made. A supine position should be avoided\textsuperscript{116}. For intervention under local anesthesia, topical, subconjuntival or retrobulbar, lidocaine is considered to be a safe option\textsuperscript{117}. The use of anti-metabolites is strictly contraindicated, due to the mutagenic related risk. Depending on previous ocular surgeries and the age of patient, filtering surgery, including tube shunt implantation, can be considered\textsuperscript{112} \textsuperscript{[II,D]}

Topical prednisolone and erythromycin have been shown to cross the placenta to a lesser extent than other medications of their classes, and can therefore be chosen as postoperative medication\textsuperscript{117,118} \textsuperscript{[II,D]}

Special attention should be paid also during breast-feeding. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors and beta-blockers may be used in nursing mothers as suggested by the American Academy of Pediatricians\textsuperscript{119}. These are also the first line choices in infants with congenital glaucoma when medical therapy is being considered.

Fixed combinations are all class C. Prescribing physicians should separately consider each of the drugs involved \textsuperscript{[I,D]}. 


Table 3.11 Adverse effects of IOP-lowering medications during pregnancy/breast-feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
<th>Breast-feeding</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Animal Studies</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Theoretical risk</td>
<td>Reported cases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasympathetic agents</td>
<td>C Teratogenic</td>
<td>Teratogenicity Dysregulation of placental perfusion</td>
<td>Meningism in newborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sympathetic agents • brimonidine</td>
<td>B No significant effect</td>
<td>Delay in labor/ uterine hypotony</td>
<td>No reported side-effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin analogs</td>
<td>C High incidence of miscarriage</td>
<td>Uterine contractions</td>
<td>One case of miscarriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>C Delayed fetal ossification, fetal resorption</td>
<td>Teratogenicity (1st trimester) Cardiac rhythm changes Respiratory</td>
<td>Arrhythmia and bradycardia Impaired respiratory control in newborns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Topical</td>
<td>C Decreased weight gain</td>
<td>Lower fetal weight</td>
<td>No reported side-effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oral</td>
<td>C Forelimb anomalies</td>
<td>Limb malformations</td>
<td>One case of teratoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** there is a lack of well-controlled human studies during pregnancy. Therefore it is not possible to accurately determine the real incidence of the stated adverse effects, or to exclude the existence of any additional unforeseen adverse effects on the fetus.

### 3.3.8 Neuroprotection and Glaucoma Treatment

Neuroprotection can be defined as a “therapeutic approach” aiming to directly prevent or significantly hinder neuronal cell damage. Since glaucoma patients can continue deteriorating in spite of an apparently well controlled IOP, the need for effective non-IOP related treatments is widely acknowledged. Several compounds have been neuroprotectant in preclinical studies\(^{120}\). Only two have reached large scale clinical trials: a large long-term RCT using an NMDA antagonist, memantine, was analysed in 2008 with negative results. More recently, the results from a multi-center RCT of adults with low-pressure glaucoma (Low-pressure Glaucoma Treatment Study, LoGTS) has been claimed to show that brimonidine may have neuroprotective properties in comparison to Timolol\(^{144}\). No direct comparison has been done with other substances such as PG. However, the authors of the study and a systematic literature review have (both?) suggested that more substantial evidence is needed\(^{121,122}\).
3.3.9 Practical Considerations Related to Topical Medical Treatment

The majority of topically applied drugs, particularly if lipophilic, penetrate the eye via the cornea, in a lesser amount via the conjunctiva and thereafter the sclera. On average, the total tear film volume is around 7 μl and the rate of tear film turnover is approximately 15% (±1 μl) per minute but can double (washout effect) after the application of a topical drop with a volume estimated at 30-50 μl\(^{123}\). Although the cul-de-sac and tear film compartment can expand transiently after instillation of a drop it still cannot accommodate this whole volume and less than 5% manages to enter the eye; the rest will run down the cheek or will be drained through the nasolacrimal duct where an individually variable systemic absorption takes place through the highly vascularised nasal mucosa\(^{124}\).

Once the medication is instilled into the conjunctival sac, the spontaneous tear flow will cause complete washout within 5 minutes. The washout effect depends not only on the increased tear fluid turnover, but also on the addition of a second drop within a short period. Therefore when poly-drug regimen is used, a minimum time span between different drops should be respected. When two drugs are instilled only 30 seconds apart, almost 50% of the first drug will be washed out. The recommended delay between drops is 5 minutes with a washout effect of less than 15%\(^{61,62}\) [I,B].

Blinking also may influence washout and allow only 15% of a topically applied drug to remain in the eye approximately five minutes after instillation. As drugs absorbed through the highly vascularised nasal mucosa avoid hepatic first-pass metabolism this might lead to systemic side effects. The instillation of one drop of timolol 0.5% for example may lead to a serum concentration of timolol that equals the intake of an oral 10 mg non-selective beta-blocker\(^{125}\).

There is no evidence that nasolacrimal duct obstruction may increase the efficacy of a topical drug\(^{126}\) however it may reduce systemic side effects particularly from beta-blockers by minimizing the drainage into the highly vascularised nasopharyngeal mucosa\(^{129,127,128}\) [I,D].

Patients should be advised to shake the bottle before use as micro-suspensions tend to settle to the bottom of the bottle leaving the vehicle at the surface. Thus, patients may be simply applying mainly vehicle to the eye, rather than the active drug ingredient [I,D].
GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS [I,D]:
- Monotherapy is the first choice when initiating therapy
- Baseline IOP should be considered when evaluating the efficacy of a therapy
- Fixed Combination therapy should be considered when patients fail to achieve their individualized intraocular pressure (IOP) targets with monotherapy
- The prescription of more than two bottles of IOP lowering eye drops for simultaneous use should be avoided as it can lead to noncompliance
- Fixed combination preparations may be preferable to the use of separate instillation of two agents
- However Fixed Combination are not first-line medications and they are only indicated in patients who need adjunctive therapy, when IOP is not sufficiently controlled by one single agent
- Ocular surface should be evaluated and considered in clinical management of glaucoma patients. In case of ocular surface disease, preservative-free formulations should be considered
- Generic drops can differ from brand drops and it may be necessary to monitor patients more closely after switching
- During pregnancy, the potential risks of continuing anti-glaucoma medications to the fetus (and neonate) must be balanced against the risk of vision loss in the mother
See FC IX to XIII
3.4 - ADHERENCE, COMPLIANCE AND PERSISTENCE IN GLAUCOMA

Glaucoma is a chronic progressive disease that requires continuous long-term cooperation of the patient with the glaucoma management proposed by the doctor.

3.4.1 Terminology

The commonly used term “compliance” has been increasingly replaced in recent times by the term “adherence”. Both are defined as the ‘cooperation of the patient with the recommendations given by the doctor’. However, the former is more passive (“I am taking the medication”), while the latter implies the active part of the patient in the process (“I am taking the medication exactly as you told me”).

“Persistence” is defined as the length of time during which the patient is taking the medication as prescribed\textsuperscript{[29]}. Finally three terms should be mentioned:

- “White coat compliance” means that the patient’s adherence rises a week before the consultation and drops quickly afterwards\textsuperscript{[30]}
- “Dyscompliance” is used when physical problems of a patient, like arthritis, lead to difficulties in correctly applying a therapy
- “Alliance” is a special form of adherence meaning that the people around the patient ensure the correct application of the medication\textsuperscript{[31]}

3.4.2 Measured Adherence

Despite easier medication schemes (for example drugs which require application once-daily) and more information for the patients about the disease, the rate of non-adherence has remained almost the same over the last 25 years; between 30%-70%. It is important to mention that the patients themselves overestimate their adherence and persistence rate (GAPS)\textsuperscript{[32]}.

3.4.3 Factors Associated with Non-Adherence

Four groups of factors encountered as common obstacles to glaucoma medication adherence have been described\textsuperscript{[33]}:

- Situational / environmental (for example a major event in the patients life, unsteady life-style with many travels)
- Medication (for example costs of the drugs, side effects, complicated dosing regimen)
- Patients (for example comorbidity, poor understanding of the disease)
- Provider (for example lacking communication with the doctor)

Other influencing factors:
- Gender (men are more likely to be non adherent)
- Stage of the disease (patients with a less advanced disease tend to be less adherent)
3.4.4 Types of Non-Adherence

Every patient is different and there are several types of non-adherence\textsuperscript{34}.

- Failure to take the medication as prescribed (including under- and overdosing, inadequate doses and wrong timing of dosages)
- Failure to use the correct medication (including the application of the wrong medication or the self administration of not prescribed drugs)
- Failure to apply the medication correctly (including incorrect self administration of the medication)
- Failure to continue applying the medication (including problems with side-effects, issue of costs and missed refills)

3.4.5 Improving Adherence

There are different ways for improving the adherence of patients. The most important measures are informing the patient about his/her disease and finding a therapeutic regimen which fits into the patient’s life-style\textsuperscript{35} [I,D]. Other factors which should improve adherence [I,D]:

- The therapy should be simple i.e. not more than two bottles and an application not more than twice a day
- The patient should be instructed how to apply the drops correctly. If necessary, hints reminders should be given like a daily routine which the patient can connect to the application of the drops. If a patient has physical problems applying the drops as arthritis of the fingers, the therapy should be adjusted accordingly or switched to laser/surgery
- The doctor should inquire at every visit if the patient has side effects of the medication and switch if necessary. A patient who complains about side effects is usually not adherent to therapy.

The patient’s cooperation, described as adherence and persistence, with the prescribed glaucoma management is mandatory to obtain effective IOP lowering and to prevent glaucoma progression. No drug can work unless it is taken.
3.5 - LASER SURGERY

3.5.1 Laser Iridotomy

Indications: [I,C]
Clinically relevant or suspected pupillary block.
Potential prevention of acute and chronic angle closure (See FC X and XI).

Preoperative preparation:
To reduce iris thickness and facilitate perforation instil 1 drop of Pilocarpine 2%-4% [I,D].
If the cornea is edematous, like acute angle closure, use topical glycerin 10% if available,
 systemic acetazolamide, intravenous mannitol or oral hyperosmotic agents (See FC XI).
For prevention of IOP spikes use topical alpha 2 agonist 1 hour prior to the procedure
and immediately afterwards [I,B].

Procedure:
After instillation of topical anesthetic a contact lens with contact lens fluid is placed
onto the cornea. The lens keeps the eyelids open, stabilizes the eye, provides additional
magnification, focuses the laser beam and acts as a heat sink.
Lenses used are: Abraham (+66 diopters), Wise (+103 diopters) or CGI©LASAG CH lens.
Iridotomy site [II,D] is usually chosen in the superior quadrants of the iris well covered
by the upper eyelid (to reduce visual symptoms), in a thin looking area or an iris crypt.
Whole thickness perforation of the iris is assumed when pigment, mixed with aqueous,
flows from the posterior into the anterior chamber. Once a full thickness hole has
been made, it should be enlarged horizontally to achieve an adequate size. Iridotomy
size [II,D] should be sufficient for patency inspite of iris oedema, pigment epithelial
proliferation and pupil dilation. Transillumination through the iridotomy is not a reliable
indicator of success [II,D].

Lasers parameters for Nd:YAG laser iridotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>1-6 mJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
<td>50-70 μm (constant for each laser model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulses per burst</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations**
Set defocus to zero
Focus the beam within the iris stroma rather than on the surface of the iris*
Avoid any apparent iris vessels
Use the least amount of energy that is effective139
Lens capsule damage is possible above 2 mJ energy
With most Lasers less than 5 mJ per pulse is required

* Pretreatment with argon laser to minimize bleeding by coagulating iris vessels is optional (spot size 400 μm, duration 0.2 sec, energy approximately 200-300 mW).
In case of thick dark irides, to reduce total Nd:YAG energy, pretreatment with argon laser in 2 stages may be considered [II,B]. In the first stage a low power argon of 90-250 mW, duration 0.05 sec, spot size 50mm is applied, followed by the high power argon of 700 mW, duration 0.1 sec, spot size 50 mm to create a punched-out crater appearance. Laser iridotomy is completed with Nd:YAG laser.

**Laser parameters for continuous-wave Argon laser iridotomy**
When no Nd:YAG laser is available, Argon laser may be used [II,D]. Laser parameters should be individualized to each patient and adjusted appropriately during the procedure.

**The following parameters are suggested [II,D]:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium brown irides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preparatory stretch burns</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetration burns [II,D]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pale blue or hazel irides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st step: to obtain a gas bubble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2nd step: penetration through the gas bubble</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thick, dark brown irides (chipping technique)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complications:
- Intraoperative complications
  Bleeding from the iridotomy site; this can usually be stopped by gentle pressure applied to the eye with the contact lens. With the argon laser corneal epithelial and/or endothelial burns may develop.
- Postoperative
  Visual disturbances occur in 6-12% (glare, blurring, ghost images, halo, crescent) are less likely to occur when the iridotomy is completely covered by the eyelid.\(^{142, 143}\)
  Transient elevation of the IOP is the most common complication in the early period. Elevation of IOP at 1 hour after iridotomy occurs in approximately 10% of primary angle closure suspect eyes\(^{144}\). Acute and (chronic) rise in IOP is more likely to occur in eyes with peripheral anterior synechiae in whom the small amount of trabecular meshwork not closed is likely to have compromised outflow function (and is secondarily closed by the iris pigment and tissue generated by the iridotomy).
  Postoperative inflammation is transient and mild, rarely resulting in posterior synechiae.
  Closure of the iridotomy may occur during the first few weeks after the procedure, due to accumulation of debris and pigment granules.
  Rare complications include sterile hypopyon, cystoid macular oedema, retinal haemorrhages and malignant glaucoma\(^{145,146}\).

Postoperative management:
- Check the IOP after 1-3 hours [II,D].
- Topical corticosteroids for 4-7 days instilled 3-4 times a day.
- Check the angle regularly with gonioscopy, and the patency of peripheral iridotomy.
- If the patency is uncertain check with gonioscopy, reconsider the mechanism, perform ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) / anterior segment-optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) if available and/or repeat the iridotomy.
- Retroillumination alone for judging the patency is insufficient.
### 3.5.2 Laser Trabeculoplasty (LT)\(^{147-154}\)

**Indications:** [I,D]
Lowering of IOP in primary open-angle, exfoliative and pigmentary glaucoma, high risk ocular hypertension (OH):

1. When IOP is not satisfactorily controlled with medications (i.e. efficacy, tolerability and adherence)
2. As initial treatment (See FC VII)

**Preoperative preparation:** [I,B]
For prevention of IOP spikes instillation of topical alpha 2 agonist (apraclonidine 1% or brimonidine) 1 hour prior to the procedure and immediately afterwards is optional. Use topical anesthesia.

**Procedure:**
Most frequently used lasers are:
- Argon continuous-wave laser (green or blue/green) - argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT)
- Q-switched, short pulsed, frequency-doubled Nd:YAG (532 nm) laser - selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT)

Lenses: Goldmann type gonioscopy lens, Ritch trabeculoplasty lens©, CGA©, Meridian, Latina (SLT), Magnaview.

Identify angle landmarks after inspection of all quadrants and place the laser burns between the anterior pigmented trabecular meshwork (TM) and the non-pigmented trabecular meshwork over 180° or 360°.
Laser parameters for laser trabeculoplasty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser parameters [I, D]</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>SLT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spot size</strong></td>
<td>50 μm</td>
<td>400 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure</strong></td>
<td>0.1 sec</td>
<td>3 nsec (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power</strong></td>
<td>500-1200 mW according to the reaction on the TM; with heavily pigmented TM low power is sufficient</td>
<td>0.4 to 1.2 mJ according to the desired reaction; in heavily pigmented TM start with low levels e.g. 0.4 mJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimal reaction</strong></td>
<td>Transient bleaching or small gas bubble formation</td>
<td>The power is titrated until the appearance of tiny air bubbles, »champagne bubbles«, at the site of the laser burn, then the power is reduced by increments of 0.1 mJ until there are no visible bubbles*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of spots</strong></td>
<td>50-100 evenly spaced spots over 180-360°</td>
<td>50-100 non-overlapping spots spaced over 180 -360°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* some continue with the power that causes champagne bubble formation

Complications:
- Transient elevation of IOP\(^{155,156}\)
- Inflammation (mild)
- Peripheral anterior synechiae (after ALT)
- Corneal endothelial damage in corneas with pigment on endothelium (after SLT)\(^{157}\).

Post-operative management: [II,D]
Check IOP in selected patients (e.g. with advanced glaucomatous damage, one-eyed patients, high pre-laser IOP, exfoliation syndrome, heavily pigmented trabecular meshwork). Use of topical corticosteroids or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication 3-4 times daily for 4-7 days.

Effectiveness of laser trabeculoplasty:
ALT and SLT have the same efficacy\(^{153,158}\). Laser trabeculoplasty is initially effective in 80 to 85% of treated eyes with a mean IOP reduction of 20 to 25% (of 6 to 9 mmHg). The effect wears off over time, for both ALT and SLT\(^{159}\).

LT versus medication: In the Glaucoma Laser Trial, after 7 years of follow-up, patients with ALT had lower IOP (1.2 mmHg) than patients on medical treatment, and no difference in progression of glaucoma\(^{160}\). SLT has shown to decrease IOP to a degree similar to that of prostaglandin analogues after 9 to 12 months follow-up\(^{161}\) and appears to be repeatable (30,31).

Predictors of efficacy:
Higher baseline IOP is associated with greater IOP reduction after SLT and ALT\(^{162,163}\). The effectiveness of ALT is influenced by the treating surgeon, and success is better when surgeons have more experience in ALT\(^{163,164}\).

Pigmentation of the trabecular meshwork (TM) is important. ALT is less successful in eyes with no pigmentation of TM. SLT seems to be independent of the pigmentation of TM. Younger subjects (less than 40 years old) usually respond less to ALT\(^{165}\).
3.5.3 Laser Iridoplasty

Main Indication: [II,D]
Plateau iris syndrome confirmed by a patent iridotomy; the purpose is to enlarge the peripheral angle approach after iridotomy, to decrease the chance of progressive synechial closure.

Lasers:
Different types of continuous wave lasers can be used for photocoagulation, most frequently: argon laser, diode laser (810 nm), and the frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser (532 nm).

Preoperative preparation: [II,D]
Instillation of Pilocarpine followed by the same preoperative preparation as for laser trabeculoplasty.
Lens: Abraham (+66 diopters), Wise (+103 diopters), CGI©LASAG CH lens or the central non-mirrored part of the Goldmann lens.

Contraindications: [I,D]
Flat anterior chamber
Extensive peripheral anterior synechiae.

Laser parameters [II,D]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser parameters [II,D]</th>
<th>Contraction burns (long duration-low power-large spot size)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot size</td>
<td>200-500 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>0.3-0.6 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>200-400 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Aiming beam should be directed at the most peripheral part of the iris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal reaction</td>
<td>Visible contraction of the peripheral iris with flattening of the iris curvature (without bubble formation or pigment release)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spots</td>
<td>20-24 spots over 360° leaving 2 beam diameters between each spot and avoiding visible radial vessels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complications:
Mild iritis
Corneal endothelial burns
Transient elevation of IOP
Post-operative synechiae of the pupil
Permanent pupil dilation
Iris atrophy
Non-dilatable pupil

Post-operative management:
Topical corticosteroids or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication instilled for 4-7 days
Prevention of IOP spikes
3.5.4 Cyclophotocoagulation\textsuperscript{168-170}

**Indications:** [II,D]
When filtration surgery or tubes are likely to fail, have failed, or are not feasible
As an alternative to drainage devices

**Lasers used:**
Diode laser (810 nm); Argon laser
Modes of laser delivery are: trans-scleral, endoscopic and transpupillary

**Trans-scleral cyclophotocoagulation:**
Laser diode cyclophotocoagulation with the G probe is the cyclodestructive procedure of choice because of the reduced incidence of complications compared with other cyclodestructive procedures [I,D].

**Ultrasonic cyclodestruction:**
Ultrasonic circular cyclocoagulation using high-intensity focused ultrasound delivered by a circular miniaturized device was reported as a safe and effective technique to reduce intraocular pressure in patients with refractory glaucoma\textsuperscript{171,172}.

**Technique:** [II,D]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcleral cyclophotocoagulation with diode laser and G probe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anesthesia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G probe positioning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scleral transillumination</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Settings</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applications</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation: 
Endoscopic techniques combined with laser technology allow the photocoagulation of ciliary processes not visible via the transpupillary route. The approach can be limbal or through pars plana. Recently, endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation is most commonly performed in conjunction with cataract surgery in cases with early glaucoma.

Transpupillary cyclophotocoagulation: 
This procedure is limited to eyes in which a sufficient number of ciliary processes can be visualized gonioscopically, as in cases of aniridia, through a large surgical iridectomy or when broad anterior synechiae cause anterior displacement of the iris. New technology using ultra-sound cyclodestruction is currently under investigation.

Complications: Rates of complications are higher in neovascular glaucoma and with treatment protocols using more than 80 J per session. 
- Persistent inflammation
- Hyphaema
- Corneal decompensation
- Vision loss
- Hypotony and phthisis

Post-operative management: Consider analgesia. Topical corticosteroids and atropine instillation for 2-3 weeks. In the immediate postoperative period IOP should be monitored and the anti-glaucoma medication tapered accordingly. The effectiveness of treatment is assessed after 4 weeks.
3.6 - INCISIONAL SURGERY

3.6.1 General Principles

The different techniques of incisional surgery have different indications depending on the type of glaucoma. Their adoption depends on: [I,D]
1. the target IOP chosen for the individual situation
2. the previous history (surgery, medications, degree of visual field loss)
3. the risk profile (i.e. single eye, occupation, refractive status)
4. the preferences and experience of the surgeon
5. the patient opinion, expectation and postoperative compliance

The decision to recommend glaucoma surgery should be made in the light of published clinical trials. In the individual patient, a multitude of factors must be taken into account when deciding treatment including compliance, stage of glaucoma etc. Nevertheless, surgery should be considered whenever medical or laser treatment would appear unlikely to maintain sight in the glaucomatous eye [I,D]. It should not be left as a last resort (See Ch. 3.1). Angle-closure glaucoma is usually initially approached by laser iridotomy or peripheral iridectomy. Primary congenital glaucoma is usually treated with surgery, likely trabeculotomy or goniotomy, or combinations of filtration surgery with antifibrotic agents.

For repeated surgery, cyclodestructive procedures and tube implants are more commonly used (See FC VI).

3.6.2 Techniques

Since glaucoma surgery is successfully practiced in different ways by different ophthalmologists, a detailed description of surgical techniques is not within the scope of this text.

The primary goal of surgery is to achieve a Target IOP without additional medication. Additional medications can be used if a Target IOP is not reached by surgery alone. Success rates of a surgical method in terms of IOP lowering can be best evaluated in the absence of adjunctive medical treatment. The number of preoperative versus postoperative medications may also depend on the variable compliance of the individual patient before and after surgery. Also, it is useful to count the percentage of “successes” below a defined IOP level as in Fig. 3.3. It is also important to consider not just the IOP but complications rates and, most importantly, functional outcomes.
3.6.2.1 Penetrating Glaucoma Surgery

3.6.2.1.1 Trabeculectomy

The most widely used surgical procedure in OAG is the trabeculectomy, which produces a ‘guarded’ fistula between the anterior chamber and the subconjunctival space\(^{178,179}\). The introduction of improved operating microscopes, instruments and suture materials, has led to numerous modifications and refinements of the original operation\(^{180}\). Modifications include the size, shape and thickness of the scleral flap, limbal or fornix based conjunctival flaps, fixed, releasable or adjustable sutures and the use of antimetabolites and other antiscarring agents delivered in different ways to reduce wound healing\(^{181,182}\). In the hands of experts the long-term success rate of filtering surgery alone, or with adjunctive medical therapy in a previously unoperated eye has been reported at up to 90%\(^{178}\); there are large differences however in the criteria used for the definition of success and in the final success rates observed \(^{183-192}\).

The use of stainless steel implants as facilitators for performing filtration surgery should be weighted against the cost of the devices\(^{193-196}\).

Long-term IOP control is achieved in many cases, although some patients do require further therapy or repeat surgery\(^{178,197,198}\).

The alternatives to trabeculectomy in OAG include non-penetrating surgeries and drainage devices\(^{196,199-205}\).

**Indications:** [II,D]

1. In cases where other forms of therapy, like medicines or laser, have failed.
2. In cases where other forms of therapy are not suitable (e.g. where compliance or side-effects are a problem) or appropriate medical treatment is not available.
3. In cases where a Target Pressure is required to prevent clinically significant disease progression that cannot be reached with topical medications and/or laser.
4. In cases which have such advanced glaucoma and high IOP at presentation that other forms of treatment are unlikely to be successful.

Some studies have indicated that in terms of field survival, primary trabeculectomy was superior to medical treatment, but these studies may not be relevant to current medical practice as the evaluation of visual field was not done using todays analyses, and the medical treatment options were very limited\(^{206}\). More recent studies suggest that visual field progression is not significantly different whether initial treatment is medication or trabeculectomy\(^{207,208}\).

The ophthalmologist must assess the risks and benefits of early surgery in each individual case.

**Long-term risks of trabeculectomy:**

Accelerated progression of senile cataracts is frequently seen after filtration surgery\(^{209,210}\). Patients undergoing trabeculectomy should be advised on the symptoms of a developing blebitis/endophthalmitis including red eye, tearing, discharge or decreased vision, and should be warned to immediately seek the help of an ophthalmologist if any of these
symptoms develop in the operated eye\textsuperscript{211} [I,D]. Endophthalmitis is more common if the bleb is thin and cystic - a situation more commonly found with the use of a small treatment area of antimetabolites or full thickness filtration procedures. A long-tube drainage device should be used if the bleb cannot be sited beneath the upper lid [I,D].

3.6.2.1.2 Trabeculotomy

Trabeculotomy, alone or combined with trabeculectomy, is generally used for congenital and paediatric glaucoma and is less effective in adults\textsuperscript{212-214} [I,B]. It also may decrease the need for further filtering and shunting procedures\textsuperscript{215}. A novel glaucoma procedure of trabeculotomy by internal approach was recently introduced\textsuperscript{183,216,217}.

3.6.2.2 Non-Penetrating Glaucoma Surgery

3.6.2.2.1 Deep Sclerectomy

In this technique, a deep lamella of corneosclera underneath the scleral flap is excised thus removing the outer wall of Schlemm’s canal. The outer layer of the inner wall of Schlemm’s canal is frequently also removed. Percolation of aqueous occurs through the porosity of the remaining trabecular meshwork, possibly through micro-perforations. When the scleral flap is repositioned, a “scleral lake” is created. A collagen implant or a hyaluronic acid device is often used to keep this scleral lake open. In a number of cases, a filtration bleb forms; long-term IOP levels appear higher than with trabeculectomy\textsuperscript{218-228}.

3.6.2.2.2 Viscocanalostomy

In this technique, hyaluronic acid is injected into Schlemm’s canal in addition to the dissection and excision of a deep lamella. The mechanism claimed to increase the outflow is the widening of Schlemm’s canal and of the collector channels as well as diffusion of aqueous from the “scleral lake”\textsuperscript{186,229,230}. The majority of randomised controlled trials suggests that the pressure lowering of non-penetrating glaucoma surgery is not as marked as with trabeculectomy\textsuperscript{231-234}.

3.6.2.2.3 Canaloplasty

Canaloplasty is a non-penetrating, bleb-independent, glaucoma surgery that combines a 2-flap dissection to the trabeculo-Descemet’s membrane, like in viscocanalostomy methods, with a circumferential catheterization and viscodilation of Schlemm’s canal. In addition, a 10-0 polypropylene suture is placed within the canal to tension the inner wall and the associated trabecular meshwork with the intention of preventing the Schlemm’s canal collapse thus in theory restoring natural trabeculocanalicular aqueous outflow\textsuperscript{229,235-238}.
This technique is indicated in POAG, pigmentary glaucoma and pseudoexfoliative glaucoma and permits combined procedures with cataract surgery [II,D]. Contraindications to canaloplasty are primary or secondary ACG, neovascular glaucoma or cases needing a low target IOP.

Intraoperative or postoperative complications (hyphema, hypotony secondary to a break in the trabeculo-descemetic window, hypertension, cataract, endophthalmitis) have a lower incidence than trabeculectomy.

Arguments in favour of non-penetrating glaucoma surgery:
- minimal postoperative care (no bleb management)
- reduced incidence of hypotony-related complications and cataract
- reduced incidence of intraoperative complications (iris prolapse, expulsive haemorrhage)

Arguments against non-penetrating glaucoma surgery:
- less efficient in IOP reduction (mean IOP 2-4 mmHg higher) than after trabeculectomy
- difficult technique (learning curve)
- Nd:YAG laser goniopuncture often needed for IOP control
- Anatomical unpredictability

Arguments in favour of trabeculectomy:
- lower long-term postoperative IOP
- fewer IOP-lowering medications needed postoperatively

Arguments against trabeculectomy:
- possible higher rate of cataract formation
- postoperative bleb complications
- higher risk of postoperative hypotony and related complications (choroidal detachment)
3.6.3 Methods of Preventing Filtering Bleb Scarring

3.6.3.1 Antimetabolites

Wound healing is one of the main determinants of the long-term intraocular pressure control after filtering surgery\textsuperscript{243,244}. Excessive wound healing or repair leads to scar formation in the conjunctiva. Risk factors for conjunctival scarring are young age, african-caribbean/hispanic race, inflammatory eye disease (e.g. uveitis, ocular pemphigoid, Stevens-Johnson syndrome), long-term multiple topical medical therapy, aphakia by intracapsular surgery, recent intraocular surgery (<3 months), previous conjunctival incisional surgery, previous failed glaucoma filtration surgery, neovascular glaucoma\textsuperscript{188,245}. Antimetabolites such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and mitomycin-C (MMC) are frequently used in patients undergoing glaucoma filtration surgery in order to reduce postoperative conjunctival scarring and improve drainage [I,A].

The use of these substances continues to be refined. Indications and techniques need to be carefully considered, particularly the use of larger antimetabolite treatment areas to minimise thin cystic blebs\textsuperscript{246,247} [I,D].

The risk of corneal epithelial erosions, epitheliopathy, late hypotony, bleb leaks, and blebitis/endophthalmitis must be considered [I,D]. The use of antimetabolites, especially MMC, is potentially hazardous, and requires careful surgical technique to prevent over drainage and hypotony, or a thin focal drainage bleb with a higher risk of infection [I,D]. New antifibrotic agents and techniques are under investigation to more specifically target and modulate the biological processes of wound healing after filtration surgery, aiming for a lower risk of complications\textsuperscript{243,248-250}.

3.6.3.1.1 General Precautions

The use of antimetabolites will enhance the unfavourable effect of any imprecision during surgery. It is important to assess each individual case for risk factors, and/or for the need of low target IOP and titrate the substance and dosage used accordingly based on local experience.

If aqueous flow is not well controlled persistent hypotony will occur. Strategies to increase control of flow include smaller sclerostomies, larger and/or thicker scleral flaps, tighter suturing of the scleral flap, and releasable or adjustable sutures [II,D].

Research studies suggest that a large surface area of cytotoxic treatment together with large scleral flaps and accurately sutured fornix-based conjunctival flaps lead to more diffuse, posteriorly extended non-cystic blebs giving a considerable reduction in bleb-related complications such as blebitis and endophthalmitis\textsuperscript{197,247,251,252} [I,B].

It is advisable for a surgeon not familiar with these drugs to start with weaker agents (e.g. 5-FU rather than MMC) or lower concentrations of MMC [II,D]. Antimetabolites should not enter the eye [I,D]. 5-FU has a pH of 9.0 and one drop (0.05 ml) of MMC is enough to cause irreversible endothelial damage: precautions for use and disposal of cytotoxic substances should be observed [I,D].

5-FU and MMC are not officially approved for ocular applications. Their use in many cases as adjunctive in filtration surgery, however, has become standard clinical practice.
3.6.3.1.2 Administration

5-Fluorouracil:
- Intraoperative use [II,D]
  Concentration: 25 or 50 mg/ml undiluted solution.
  Administration: intraoperatively on a filter paper or a sponge.
  Time of exposure: usually 5 minutes (shorter time has minimal effect).
  Rinse: with at least 20 ml of balanced salt solution.

- Postoperative use [II,D]
  Relative contraindication if epithelial problems present.
  Concentration: 0.1 ml injection of 50 mg/ml undiluted solution.
  Administration: adjacent to but not into bleb (pH 9), with a small calibre needle (e.g. 30 G needle on insulin syringe). Reflux from the injection site over the ocular surface should be prevented253. Repeated injections are often necessary.

Mitomycin C:
- Intraoperative use [II,D]
  Concentration: 0.1-0.5 mg/ml (care must be taken in diluting it to the desired concentration).
  Administration: intraoperatively on a filter paper or a sponge. Avoid contact with cut edge of conjunctive flap.
  Time of exposure: 1-5 minutes.
  Rinse: with at least 20 ml of balanced salt solution.

- Postoperative use [II,D]
  Concentration: 0.1 ml injection of 0.02 mg/ml solution.
  Administration: adjacent to but not into bleb, with a small calibre needle (e.g. 30 G needle on insulin syringe). Reflux from the injection site over the ocular surface should be prevented253. A very small amount of MMC entering the eye will irreversibly damage the endothelium. It is useful for some needling procedures but recommended only in experienced hands.

3.6.3.2 Alternative Methods of Preventing Filtering Bleb Scarring

Irradiation, PDT and inhibition of growth factors have been used, but no long-term clinical studies to support their use are yet available243,249.

Alternative Glaucoma Surgery
New alternative surgical techniques with the aim obtaining a higher safety profile than filtration surgery were proposed during the last several years. Under the acronym of M.I.G.S. “Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgery” are now collectively grouped both ab-interno and ab-externo procedures, not necessarily involving the use of an implantable device, not always bleb-independent for efficacy. The general aim would be to entail significantly less tissue manipulation than filtration surgery, with less side effects and sizeable IOP-lowering efficacy. There are no well controlled comparative
trials available to support the superiority among any of these procedures nor versus trabeculectomy, for both safety and efficacy\(^{254,255}\). These techniques are currently performed in selected glaucoma patients with early to moderate disease and preferably in combination with cataract surgery [II,D].

**ALTERNATIVE GLAUCOMA SURGERY (*)**
Based on subconjunctival filtration
- trans-scleral filtration, ab-interno device (AqueSys Xen)
- trans-scleral filtration, ab-externo device (InnFocus Microshunt)
Based on suprachoroidal drainage
- suprachoroidal stents, ab-interno (Glaukos iStent Supra, Transcend CyPass)
Based on Schlemm’s canal drainage/bypass/expansion
- trabecular bypass stents/canal expanders (Glaukos iStent, Ivantis Hydrus)
- ab-interno trabeculectomy (Trabectome)
- ab-externo canaloplasty/trabeculotomy (iScience catheter)

(*) THIS LIST IS NOT ALL INCLUSIVE. The EGS does not endorse any product or procedures.

### 3.6.4 Complex Cases

Complicated glaucoma cases such as those that have failed previous surgery, secondary glaucomas, congenital glaucomas, et cetera require specialist treatment. In addition to trabeculectomy, other forms of therapy may be necessary such as drainage devices and ciliary body ablation.

### 3.6.5 Long-Tube Drainage Devices

The use of long-tube drainage devices such as those described by Molteno\(^{256-263}\), Krupin\(^{264-266}\), Baerveldt\(^{267-272}\), Ahmed\(^{268,273-280}\) or Schocket\(^{281-284}\) are generally reserved for patients with risk factors for a poor result with trabeculectomy with antimetabolite [II,D], although recent trials established their efficacy and safety as a primary surgical procedure\(^{258,285}\) [II,B].

Factors that decrease the chances of successful trabeculectomies and, therefore, make tube surgery attractive, include previous failed filtering surgery with antimetabolites, excessive conjunctival scarring due to previous ocular surgery with severe conjunctival or surface disease, active neovascular disease, paediatric aphakia, or where filtration surgery is going to be technically difficult\(^{286,287}\) [II,D].
3.7 - CATARACT AND GLAUCOMA SURGERY

When glaucoma surgery is indicated and there is a visually significant cataract the two procedures can be performed combined or sequentially. The decision is to be made according to the clinical findings, after discussing with the patients advantages and disadvantages of each approach [I,D].

In case of angle closure or narrow angle approach, it is important to evaluate the lens as a component of the raised IOP [I,D] (See also Ch 2.4)

Small-incision phacoemulsification cataract extraction is one of the most relevant surgical advances for our glaucoma patients. It allows faster and better visual recovery, and with appropriate techniques it is safely applicable in cases with small pupil, shallow AC or pre-existing filtering blebs. Furthermore, it can be combined effectively and safely with filtering procedures, including trabeculectomy, miniature drainage implants and deep sclerectomy.[205,288-290]

Different new glaucoma surgical techniques which can be combined with phacoemulsification (i.e. endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation, trabecular bypass stents, ab interno trabeculectomy and canaloplasty) have been proposed in the last years[291]. Randomized clinical trials are presently needed to clarify this topic.

Despite the improved results of small incision phacoemulsification and of filtration surgery with anti-metabolites there is no evidence to support a generalized switch from sequential to combined surgery and vice versa [I,D].

In summary:

- Modern phacoemulsification with clear cornea incisions does not interfere with subsequent glaucoma surgical procedures[292]
- The development or worsening of a visually significant cataract is common after glaucoma surgery[209]
- Cataract surgery performed after trabeculectomy can affect the IOP control[209,293]
- Cataract surgery alone may be of limited benefit in lowering the IOP in open angle glaucoma and the effect appears to be proportional to the preoperative IOP values; such effect may be greater in angle closure glaucoma / narrow angles and appears to be proportional to the degree of anterior chamber opening[294-296]
- Combined procedures allow for greater IOP reduction and fewer IOP spikes in the immediate postoperative period than phacoemulsification alone[297-299]
- The success rate of combined phacoemulsification and filtration surgery is usually not as favourable as filtration surgery alone and the use of antimetabolites is recommended in all cases.
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Lens-induced open-angle glaucoma ∙ 93
Levobunolol ∙ 147
LV ∙ 61, 63

Malignant glaucoma ∙ 100, 109, 117
Manual kinetic perimetry ∙ 58
MD ∙ 16, 18-19, 61, 63-64, 132
Mean defect ∙ 61
Methazolamide ∙ 148
Metipranolol ∙ 142, 147
Migraine ∙ 81
Mission statement ∙ 12
Mitomycin C ∙ 173-174
MMC ∙ 173-174
Monotherapy ∙ 140-141, 145, 158
Myopia ∙ 40, 80-81, 97, 148, 150

NCT ∙ 34, 38
Scleral spur · 40, 45, 150
Secondary Angle-Closure With Pupillary Block · 114
Secondary Childhood Glaucoma · 76-78
Secondary Glaucomas · 90, 100, 175
Secondary Open-Angle Glaucoma · 90, 97, 99
Selective Adrenergic Agonists · 149-151
Short Wavelength Automated Perimetry · 59
Silicon oil · 96, 98, 118
SITA Fas · 58
SITA Standard · 58
Sleep apnoea · 81
SLT · 95, 164-165
Spaeth Grading System · 45
Staging · 62, 64, 101, 110
Standard Automated Perimetry · 58
Static computerised perimetry · 58
Strength of recommendation · 11
Sturge-Weber syndrome · 77, 99, 119
SWAP · 59

T
Tafluprost · 141-142, 145, 151
Target IOP · 11-12, 14, 18, 83, 86, 91, 134-138, 140, 169, 172-173
Test point patterns · 58-59
Timolol · 141-142, 145, 147, 155-157
Tonometers · 33-34, 38, 75
Tonometry · 34-36, 38, 44, 132
Tono-Pen · 35
TOP algorithm · 58
Topical Medications · 137, 142, 152, 170
Trabecular Meshwork · 40, 75, 84, 90-96, 98, 101-103, 105, 109, 115-116, 150, 163-165, 171
Trabeculectomy · 18-19, 102, 104, 106, 109, 170-172, 175-176
Trabeculotomy · 76, 78, 87-88, 116, 169, 171, 175
Transpalpebral tonometry · 35
Travoprost · 142, 146, 151
Trend analyses · 63
Triggerfish · 35
Tube Drainage Devices · 175

U
UGH syndrome · 98
Uveal effusion · 100, 118, 127
Uveitic glaucoma · 94-95

V
Van Herick grading · 47
VFI · 61, 63, 132
Viscocanalostomy · 171
Visual field indices · 60-61, 79
Visual field testing · 55-56, 58

Y
YAG laser iridotomy · 107, 114, 161